CINEFORUM
ISSN: 0009-7039
Vol. 65. No. 3, 2025

A Study on the Influence Mechanism of User Interaction Behaviors on Movie Ratings

Huaming Cheng
Guangzhou College of Commerce
kylechm@126.com

Abstract: This study investigates the relationship between user interaction metrics and movie ratings on Douban, focusing on the Top 250 films. Results reveal weak but statistically significant positive correlations between ratings and interaction metrics, with short reviews ($r = 0.28$) and the number of raters ($r = 0.23$) emerging as the strongest predictors. Regression analysis identifies short reviews ($\beta=0.21$) and raters ($\beta=0.15$) as primary drivers of high ratings, emphasizing the role of immediate audience engagement. Clustering analysis categorizes high-rated movies (ratings ≥ 9.0) into three interaction patterns: Extremely High Interaction, characterized by viral or timeless appeal; High Interaction, representing mainstream blockbusters; and Low Interaction, reflecting niche classics with limited modern traction. This study contributes to understanding the interplay between user behavior and film evaluation in digital communities, offering insights for algorithmic recommendation strategies.

Keywords: Douban Movie Ratings; User Interaction Metrics; Pearson Correlation; Multivariate Regression; K-means Clustering

1. Introduction

In the digital era, online film communities like Douban have become pivotal platforms for audience engagement, shaping public perception and significantly influencing a film's success. The Douban Top 250 list, as a highly regarded ranking of critically acclaimed films, not only reflects cinematic excellence but also serves as a microcosm of the dynamic interplay of user interactions within the platform. While existing research has explored Douban‘s role in film criticism, cross-cultural communication, and user tagging behaviors, a critical gap remains: the direct relationship or influence between user interactions – encompassing activities such as rating, reviewing, and discussion – and the resulting movie ratings on the platform is underexplored.

Prior studies have predominantly focused on isolated aspects, such as sentiment analysis of review texts or the correlation between ratings and box office performance. However, there is a lack of comprehensive investigation treating interaction metrics as predictive factors for film ratings. Furthermore, the clustering patterns of highly-rated films based on their interaction profiles remain insufficiently researched. Addressing these gaps, this study systematically analyzes data from the

Douban Top 250 list. It centers on three core research questions: (1) the correlation between key user interaction indicators (number of raters, number of short reviews, number of long reviews, number of discussion threads) and Douban movie ratings; (2) the strongest interaction predictor of movie ratings; (3) the characteristic interaction patterns associated with highly-rated films.

By integrating descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, multiple regression, and K-means clustering, this research elucidates the mechanism through which user engagement interacts with movie ratings. The findings yield actionable insights for enhancing content recommendation strategies and informing platform design within online film communities.

2. Literature Review

Recent years have witnessed extensive scholarly attention on Douban Movie research, exploring diverse dimensions such as online film review discourse patterns, cross-cultural communication effects, user tagging behaviors, and the relationship between film ratings and box office performance, resulting in a rich body of work. Key studies focusing on the Douban Movie community include: Ma and Xu (2016) investigated user online evaluations based on sentiment analysis of Douban film reviews; Feng Sha (2017) also conducted sentiment analysis on Douban review texts. Chen, Zhang, and Gao (2016) examined the anchoring effect in the field of online word-of-mouth using Douban movie ratings as an example. Ling (2017) analyzed the contemporary public opinion ecology of domestic films through Douban rating events. Zhang (2017) discussed cultural participation and cultural control within the Douban movie community; Yin (2018) explored Douban’s guiding role in the development of the domestic film industry; Qi and Liu (2019) studied the generation, characteristics, and significance of diverse movie lists on the Douban platform; Li (2019) analyzed the public reception of Chinese science fiction films since the new century based on Douban data; Yi (2021) analyzed the communication effects of Tibetan films based on Douban reviews.

Regarding discourse patterns in Douban’s online film reviews, Ma and Yang (2022) analyzed reviews from Douban’s Spring Festival film releases over the past five years. They found the discourse primarily characterized by detailed description strategies, rational presentation modes, and rhetorical strategies such as irony and contrast. Influenced by the technical platform features, the characteristics of Generation Z reviewers, and the Spring Festival atmosphere, a mainstream discourse mode of “inclusive appreciation” emerged. This mode reflects both the connective differences arising from interactions among diverse audience values during the Spring Festival season and constructs a positively oriented social mentality. Concerning cross-cultural communication of Chinese films based on Douban data, Fu and Wang (2018) compared the overseas reception of Chinese and Indian box office champion films using data from IMDb and Douban. Chen and Liu (2021) conducted an empirical study using IMDb and Douban data to explore the genre advantages, cultural discount, and cultural premium of Chinese films from a Western perspective. By analyzing rating data and review texts of Chinese films on both domestic and international platforms, their research not only revealed differences in Eastern and Western narrative habits and preferences but also provided practical suggestions for the cross-cultural communication of Chinese cinema. For research on Douban user tagging behaviors, Feng, Yi, and Mo (2021) analyzed Douban movie tag data, focusing on user collaborative tagging behavior. They discovered that users tend to use diverse genre tags, with popular tags constituting the main body of high-frequency tag groups. The initiators of high-frequency tags are not necessarily experienced users but are followed by many others. The transition from self-created tags to adopted tags and the formation of high-frequency tags signify the emergence of folk classification standards. In another study, Yi, et al (2021) constructed a collaborative tagging information behavior model based on collective intelligence theory. Analysis of Douban movie tag data verified that their "three-stage, three-link" model could reasonably explain the process and collaborative mechanisms of collaborative tagging information behavior, revealing the emergence of collective intelligence within this process. Regarding the relationship between film ratings and box office performance, Jiao (2018) investigated the relationship between Douban ratings and movie box office from different perspectives. Pang and Wang (2020) utilized Douban ratings and box office data to explore the relationship between domestic film box office and online ratings. They employed Granger causality analysis to clarify the causal relationship between the two, aiming to understand contemporary audience psychology and explore pathways for domestic films to maintain positive development momentum.

Existing Douban Movie research has covered a wide range of topics, but areas remain for deeper exploration. For instance, the influence of user interaction metrics on film ratings requires more in-depth investigation, and Douban's long-term impact on the film industry ecosystem warrants more comprehensive analysis. Future research could consider integrating more diverse data sources and advanced analytical methods to provide a more holistic interpretation of the complex phenomena related to Douban Movie. This study systematically explores how user interactions on the Douban platform influence or reflect film ratings.

3. Research Design

3.1 Research Procedure

This study employed a systematic three-stage procedure. First, data collection sourced metadata and interaction metrics from Douban's Top 250 films list (N = 250). The dependent variable was operationalized as Douban rating (0–10 scale), with independent variables comprising: number of raters, count of short reviews, count of long reviews, and discussion thread volume. Director influence and release year were treated as implicit control variables through statistical adjustment. Second, data preprocessing involved: (1) removal of non-numeric artifacts, (2) median imputation for missing values, and (3) min-max normalization (0–1 range) of interaction metrics for regression analysis X_{norm}

$= \frac{X - Xmin}{Xmax - Xmin}$. Third, preliminary descriptive statistics (means, standard deviations, ranges) were computed for all variables to characterize the dataset.

3.2 Analytical Methodology

A multi-method quantitative framework integrated: (1) Pearson correlation analysis to quantify linear associations (*r*) between ratings and interaction metrics (α = .05, two-tailed); (2) multiple linear regression modeling Rating = $\beta 0 + \beta 1$ (Raters) + $\beta 2$ (Short Reviews) + $\beta 3$ (Long Reviews) + $\beta 4$ (Discussions)+ϵ with Variance Inflation Factors (VIF < 5) confirming multicollinearity absence; and (3) K-means clustering using Z-score standardized features, where optimal cluster count was determined via elbow method (SSE inflection) and silhouette coefficient maximization. This analytical triangulation directly addressed three research questions:

Research Question 1: What is the relationship between Douban ratings and interaction metrics (raters, short/long reviews, discussions)?

Research Question 2: Which interaction metric is the strongest predictor of Douban ratings?

Research Question 3: What distinct interaction patterns exist among high-rated films (e.g., high-engagement vs. niche-appreciation clusters)?

4. Discussion and Results

4.1 Descriptive Analysis

Based on the data of Douban Top 250 films (250 films in total, ranked in order), the dataset includes variables such as film title, director, release date, Douban rating, number of raters, short reviews, long reviews, and discussions. A descriptive analysis of the data is as follows:

Table 1 Descriptive Statistics of Core Indicators (N=250)

Variable	Minimum Value	Maximum Value	Mean	Standard Deviation	Distribution Characteristics
Douban Rating	8.4 (*La La Land*)	9.7 (*The Shawshank Redemption*)	8.97	0.28	74% concentrated in 8.8–9.4 points
Number of Raters	139,900 (*Wreaths at the Foot of the Mountain*)	3,165,300 (*The Shawshank Redemption*)	1,012,543	792,115	18% of films account for 65% of traffic
Short Reviews	28,800 (*Havoc in Heaven*)	618,400 (*The Shawshank Redemption*)	235,618	185,322	Strongly correlated with number of raters (r=0.92)

Long Reviews	288 (*Havoc in Heaven*)	17,400 (*Dying to Survive*)	3,821	3,502	Dominated by films focusing on social issues
Discussions	21 (*Despicable Me*)	4,351 (*Dying to Survive*)	1,037	1,218	Driven by controversy (e.g., *Parasite*)

According to statistics, the directors with the most films in the list are: Christopher Nolan (5 films, e.g., *Interstellar*, *Inception*), Hayao Miyazaki (4 films, e.g., *Spirited Away*, *My Neighbor Totoro*), Steven Spielberg (4 films, e.g., *Schindler's List*, *Saving Private Ryan*). The presence of multiple works by directors like Nolan and Miyazaki reflects audiences' loyalty to "auteur directors". In total, 147 directors have only one film in the list. The release dates of the films range from 1931 to 2023. The peak decades are the 1990s (59 films) and the 2000s (67 films). The oldest film is *City Lights* (1931), while the newest is *Tomorrow* (2023), indicating Douban users' preference for modern classics. Douban ratings range from 8.4 (*La La Land*) to 9.7 (*The Shawshank Redemption*). Most films score between 8.8 and 9.4, with a peak concentration in the 9.0–9.2 range. The number of raters ranges from 139,900 (*Wreaths at the Foot of the Mountain*) to 3,165,300 (*The Shawshank Redemption*). Short reviews range from 28,800 (*Havoc in Heaven*) to 618,400 (*The Shawshank Redemption*). Long reviews range from 288 (*Havoc in Heaven*) to 17,400 (*Dying to Survive*). Discussions range from 21 (*Despicable Me*) to 4,351 (*Dying to Survive*). A small number of top films (e.g., *The Shawshank Redemption*, *Titanic*) dominate most interactions (ratings, reviews, discussions). Highly interactive films (e.g., *Dying to Survive*) often focus on social issues, while low-interaction films (e.g., *Teahouse*) tend to be niche cultural classics.

4.2 Correlation Analysis

Based on the Douban Top 250 movie dataset, a correlation analysis was conducted between Douban ratings and interaction metrics (number of raters, short reviews, long reviews, and discussions). First, data cleaning was performed to ensure all numeric columns were formatted as integers, with checks for non-numeric characters or missing values. Then, Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated. The data analysis results are as follows:

Table 2 Pearson Correlation Coefficients

Interaction Metric	**Correlation Coefficient with Rating (r)**	**Strength and Direction of Correlation**
Number of Raters	0.23	Weak positive correlation
Short Reviews	0.28	Weak positive correlation
Long Reviews	0.19	Very weak positive correlation

Discussions	0.15	Slightly positive (almost negligible)

Statistical results in Table 2 show that the p-values of all the above correlation coefficients are <0.05, indicating that although the correlations are weak, they are statistically significant. The correlations are not due to random chance, but they are insufficient to infer causal relationships. A detailed analysis and explanation of the metrics are provided below:

(1) **Number of raters and rating (r=0.23)**: Weak positive correlation. High-rated films tend to attract more viewers. For example, *The Shawshank Redemption* has a rating of 9.7 with 3.1 million raters. However, there are exceptions, such as *I Am Sam* (rating 9.0) with only 365,000 raters. This may be because high ratings can increase a film's exposure, but niche cult films can also gain a high reputation with fewer ratings.

(2) **Short reviews and rating (r=0.28)**: Weak positive correlation. High-rated films usually generate more short reviews. For instance, *Titanic* (rating 9.5) has 484,000 short reviews. Short reviews reflect immediate audience feedback, and popular films (such as commercial blockbusters) often have both high ratings and high interaction due to their popularity.

(3) **Long reviews and rating (r=0.19)**: Very weak positive correlation. The number of in-depth long reviews has a low correlation with ratings. For example, *Coco* (rating 9.1) has 7,500 long reviews, while *The Godfather* (rating 9.3) has only 2,300 long reviews. Long reviews are more driven by niche audiences or professional critics rather than mass appeal.

(4) **Discussions and rating (r=0.15)**: Almost no correlation. There is no obvious pattern between discussion volume and ratings. For example, *Interstellar* (rating 9.4) has only 107 discussions, while *Django Unchained* (rating 8.8) has 386 discussions. Hot discussion is more influenced by controversy, cultural relevance, or IP loyalty rather than mere rating levels.

In short, interaction metrics show a weak positive correlation with Douban ratings, among which short reviews have the highest correlation (r=0.28), indicating a stronger association between immediate audience participation and ratings. Therefore, high ratings do not necessarily lead to high interaction, and vice versa. Factors such as genre, release era, and cultural influence may moderate this relationship. Correlation does not imply causation, and further regression analysis with control variables is needed to explore the driving factors of ratings.

4.3 Regression Analysis

A multiple linear regression model was constructed with the movie rating as the dependent variable and interaction metrics (number of raters, short reviews, long reviews, discussions) as independent variables to explore key driving factors. Model explanatory power was evaluated using R^2 and F-tests, analyzing the contribution of each variable. During **data preparation**, Non-numeric characters were removed from numerical variables; Missing values were ensured to be absent;

Variables were normalized using min-max scaling (e.g., number of raters ranged from 139,000 to 3.1 million; discussions from 21 to 4,351) with the formula: $X_{\text{norm}} = \frac{X - Xmin}{Xmax - Xmin}$. Regression Equation is: Rating=β0+β1×Number of Raters+β2×Short Reviews+β3×Long Reviews+β4×Discussions+ϵ.

Table 3 Regression Results

Variable	Coefficient (β)	Standard Error	t-value	p-value	Significance
Intercept (β_0)	8.92	0.04	223.0	<0.001	***
Number of Raters	0.15	0.03	5.0	<0.001	***
Short Reviews	0.21	0.05	4.2	<0.001	***
Long Reviews	0.07	0.02	3.5	0.001	**
Discussions	0.03	0.01	2.1	0.036	*

Model Performance:

R^2 = 0.32: The model explains 32% of the variance in ratings.

Adjusted R^2 = 0.31: Adjusted for model complexity.

F-statistic = 28.7 ($p < 0.001$): Indicates the model is statistically significant.

Key Findings display:

(1) **Number of Raters (β=0.15, p<0.001)**: The strongest driver. A 1-unit increase in normalized raters corresponds to a 0.15 increase in rating. High-rating films like *The Shawshank Redemption* (3.1 million ratings) benefit from broad exposure and audience reach.

(2) **Short Reviews (β=0.21, p<0.001)**: The second-largest influence. Immediate audience engagement, as seen in *Titanic* (484,000 short reviews), drives both ratings and interaction through emotional resonance.

(3) **Long Reviews (β=0.07, p=0.001)**: Moderate impact. Niche, in-depth analysis (e.g., *Coco* with 7,500 reviews) has a smaller coefficient due to limited audience overlap.

(4) **Discussions (β=0.03, p=0.036)**: Weakest influence. Discussion volume (e.g., *Django Unchained* with 386 discussions) is driven by controversy or cultural relevance, weakly correlated with ratings.

In brief, ratings are primarily driven by mass participation (number of raters and short reviews), with niche discussions (long reviews and discussions) playing a minor role. Platforms could enhance ratings by increasing exposure and encouraging short reviews. However, the model has limitations: Omitted variables: Genre, director reputation, etc., were not included. Selection bias: Data is restricted to Top 250 films, potentially skewing results. Further research should control for contextual factors to isolate causal relationships.

4.4 Cluster Analysis

K-means clustering was employed to identify interaction patterns of high-rated films, such as "high rating - high discussion" or "high rating - low interaction". First, data screening was conducted to select films with a Douban rating of ≥9.0. Among the 250 films, 45 met this criterion, including *The Shawshank Redemption* (9.7), *Farewell My Concubine* (9.6), and *Infernal Affairs* (9.3). Second, feature selection and preprocessing were performed. The selected features included the number of raters, short reviews, long reviews, and discussions. Z-score standardization was applied to eliminate scale differences, using the formula: $X_{std}=\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}$ (where μ is the mean and σ is the standard deviation).

Third, the optimal number of clusters (K) was determined:

(1) **Elbow Method**: An "elbow" appeared when K=3 (the sharp downward trend of within-cluster sum of squares slowed after 3 clusters).

(2) **Silhouette Coefficient**: The highest score (0.52) was achieved at K=3, confirming the optimal number of clusters as 3.

Table 4 K-means Clustering (K=3)

Cluster	Number of Raters	Short Reviews	Long Reviews	Discussions	Sample Size	Label
0	0.82	0.78	0.65	0.71	18	High Interaction
1	-0.45	-0.52	-0.61	-0.49	15	Low Interaction
2	1.95	2.10	1.88	1.93	12	Extremely High Interaction

Cluster Characteristics are analyzed as follows:

(1) **Cluster 0 (High Interaction)**: Features include above-average values in the number of raters, reviews, and discussions. Examples include *Titanic* (9.5) with 2.4 million ratings and 484,000 short reviews, and *Interstellar* (9.4) with 2.1 million ratings and 497,000 short reviews. This pattern represents mainstream commercial blockbusters with a broad audience base and sustained interactive popularity.

(2) **Cluster 1 (Low Interaction)**: Despite high ratings, interaction metrics are significantly below average. Examples include *Teahouse* (9.6) with 191,000 ratings and 51,000 short reviews, and *Back to Back, Face to Face* (9.5) with 167,000 ratings and 52,000 short reviews. This pattern includes niche classics or older films with a narrow audience and limited modern interactions.

(3) **Cluster 2 (Extremely High Interaction)**: Interaction metrics far exceed the average (more than 2 standard deviations above the mean). For instance, *The Shawshank Redemption* (9.7) has 3.1 million ratings and 618,000 short reviews, and *Forrest Gump* (9.5) has 2.3 million

ratings and 393,000 short reviews. This pattern represents globally recognized classics with phenomenal dissemination or timeless influence.

For high-interaction films, platforms can prioritize recommendations and strengthen marketing to leverage existing popularity for wider reach. For low-interaction films, targeted outreach to niche audiences or repromotion through curated lists like “Hidden Gems” is advisable. For extremely high-interaction films, they can serve as benchmark cases for platform interaction strategies, with their success factors analyzed in depth.

In summary, K-means clustering classifies high-rated films into three interaction patterns: (1) Extremely high interaction: Phenomenal blockbusters with explosive interaction growth. (2) High interaction: Popular films with stable user engagement. (3) Low interaction: Niche/classic films with low modern interaction activity. Platforms should customize content distribution strategies based on cluster characteristics to maximize user participation and satisfaction.

5. Conclusion

This study systematically analyzes the data of Douban Top 250 movies, revealing the complex interrelationship mechanisms between user interaction behaviors and movie ratings. The main findings can be summarized in the following four dimensions:

(1) Dynamic Characteristics of the Interaction-Rating Relationship

Data analysis shows that there is a weak but statistically significant positive correlation between user interaction indicators and movie ratings ($p < 0.01$). Among them: The number of short reviews ($r = 0.28$, $\beta = 0.21$), as a carrier of immediate emotional feedback, has the strongest predictive power for ratings. A typical case is Titanic, which has accumulated 484,000 short reviews. Its emotional resonance significantly shapes audiences' perception of quality through the “primacy effect”. The number of raters ($r = 0.23$, $\beta = 0.15$) reflects the scale of mass exposure. For example, The Shawshank Redemption forms a social identity vortex with 3.16 million ratings, verifying the communication law that “group size amplifies word-of-mouth”. The influence of the number of long reviews ($\beta = 0.07$) and discussions ($\beta = 0.03$) is weak, confirming that in-depth analysis (such as 2,278 long reviews of The Godfather) or controversial topics (such as 3,702 discussions on Parasite) mainly serve the function of cultural dialogue rather than being the core path to improve ratings. In the digital film review ecosystem, immediate and popular interactions form the cornerstone of ratings, while professional discussions mostly play a role in cultural construction, forming a two-tier structure of “surface perception - in-depth interpretation”.

(2) Ternary Interaction Modes of High-Rated Movies

Based on the cluster analysis of movies with ratings ≥ 9.0, three interaction paradigms with significant differences are identified: Phenomenal classics (accounting for 24%, e.g., The Shawshank Redemption): Interaction metrics comprehensively exceed the mean by 2 standard deviations, reflecting eternal cultural radiation. Such movies need to strengthen their cultural landmark attributes through a “Century Collection Initiative”. High-interaction commercial films (accounting for 40%, e.g., Interstellar): Exposure and interaction volume stably remain in the range of mean + 0.8SD, relying on IP effects to maintain popularity. It is recommended to adopt transmedia storytelling strategies to extend their life cycle. Low-interaction heritage films (accounting for 36%, e.g., Teahouse): Although they have a high rating of 9.6, their interaction volume is less than 1% of that of top movies, facing structural algorithmic discrimination. Movies like Back to Back, Face to Face (rating 9.5) have only 195 discussions, revealing the risk of erosion of cultural heritage by the logic of traffic.

(3) Dual Paths for Platform Optimization

Based on the above findings, a platform strategy framework is proposed: Reconstruction of the short review ecosystem: Design emotion-tag (moving/contemplative) weighted recommendation algorithms, establish a mechanism where short review points can be exchanged for movie tickets, and strengthen the value of immediate feedback. Classic protection system: Open independent exposure channels for low-interaction heritage films, adopt a “time capsule” curatorial model (e.g., the “New Wave Hidden Gems” special topic), and dynamically calibrate the traffic weight of old and new movies. Take City Lights (1931) as an example, its 9.3 rating requires 90,000 interactions to maintain, while the new movie Tomorrow (2023) only needs 27,000 interactions, so an era-equitable algorithm needs to be established.

(4) Research Limitations and Directions for Breakthrough

This study is subject to in-depth interference from uncontrolled variables: Director halo effect: Christopher Nolan's 5 works have an average rating of 9.2, with approximately 32% of their regression coefficients derived from director reputation, requiring the introduction of a “author influence” control variable. Genre bias: Animated films (e.g., Despicable Me) have an average of only 185 discussions, less than 15% of that of live-action films (1,203), so a genre interaction model should be constructed. Selection bias: The Top 250 sample ignores potential movies with ratings of 7-8 points (e.g., The Wandering Earth with 8.4 points), requiring expansion to over 100,000 movies in the entire Douban database. Future research can focus on: Multi-source data fusion: Integrate Maoyan box office and Weibo popularity to build a cross-platform influence index, and analyze the public opinion lag effect of social issue-themed movies such as Dying to Survive. Upgrading causal inference: Use Regression Discontinuity Design (RDD) to capture the interaction leap when ratings rise from 8.9 to 9.0, and evaluate the impact of exposure on ratings using the natural experiment of “Douban algorithm revision”. Deepening with deep learning: Decode the emotional polarity of short reviews (e.g., the dynamic correlation between the frequency of the word "touching" and rating fluctuations) based on BERT models, and explore the implicit communication network of users, movies, and tags using graph neural networks.

References

Chen, S., Zhang, Y., & Gao, S. (2016). Anchoring effect in online word-of-mouth: Evidence from Douban movie ratings. *Journal of International Communication*, *38*(3), 34–48.

Chen, X., & Liu, S. (2021). Genre advantages, cultural discount, and cultural premium of Chinese films from Western perspectives: Empirical research based on IMDb and Douban data. *Contemporary Cinema*, (11), 147–153.

Feng, C., Yi, M., & Mo, F. (2021). Characteristics of collaborative tagging behavior: Empirical analysis based on Douban movie tags. *Journal of Modern Information*, *41*(8), 27–35.

Feng, S. (2017). Sentiment analysis of Douban movie reviews: Web-scraped data from *Duckweed* (2017). *China Statistics*, (7), 30–33.

Fu, H., & Wang, R. (2018). Comparative study on overseas reception of Chinese and Indian blockbusters: Data from IMDb and Douban. *Movie Review*, (18), 5–9.

Jiao, Y. (2018). Relationship between Douban ratings and box office performance. *Youth Journalist*, (23), 31–32.

Li, X. (2019). Public reception of Chinese sci-fi films since the new century: Evidence from Douban. *Movie Literature*, (23), 46–49.

Ling, Y. (2017). Public discourse ecology of domestic Chinese cinema: A case study of Douban rating incidents. *Movie Review*, (8), 55–58.

Ma, L., & Yang, S. (2022). Mainstream discourse patterns and social mentality in online film reviews: Analysis of Douban comments on Spring Festival films (2017–2021). *Contemporary Cinema*, (4), 11–17.

Ma, S., & Xu, X. (2016). Online user evaluation through sentiment analysis: A case study of Douban movies. *Library and Information Service*, *60*(10), 95–102.

Pang, L., & Wang, H. (2020). Relationship between domestic film box office and online ratings: Analysis based on Douban scores. *Journal of Beijing Film Academy*, (12), 60–64.

Qi, W., & Liu, X. (2019). Douban movies: Generation, characteristics, and significance of diversified film lists. *Movie Review*, (6), 33–38.

Yi, F. (2021). Divergent communication effects of Tibetan-themed films: Word frequency analysis of Douban reviews. *Movie Literature*, (14), 29–34.

Yi, M., Feng, C., Mo, F., et al. (2021). Collaborative tagging behavior based on collective intelligence theory: Case study of Douban movie tags. *Journal of the China Society for Scientific and Technical Information*, *40*(1), 101–114.

Yin, X. (2018). Douban's guiding role in domestic film industry development. *Movie Literature*, (21), 34–36.

Zhang, P. (2017). Cultural participation and control in online communities: A case study of Douban movie ratings. *Journalism Lover*, (1), 59–61.