CINEFORUM
ISSN: 0009-7039
Vol. 66. No. S9, 2026 (Special Issue S9)

Beyond the Picturesque: Representing Goa's Traditional Livelihoods in Cinema and Tourism Media

Dr. Reyna Sequeira[1]*

[1]Associate Professor and Head, Department of Sociology, Government College of Arts, Science and Commerce, Quepem, Goa, India
***Corresponding author**
Dr. Reyna Sequeira
Email: reynasequeiraqueen@gmail.com

ABSTRACT
Visual representations can celebrate a destination's heritage while providing little understanding of the people who sustain it. This conceptual paper examines that tension through Goa's salt-making and pottery livelihoods. Bringing the sociology of tourism into conversation with cultural representation and living-heritage approaches, it distinguishes scenic visibility, explanatory visibility and narrative agency. These dimensions address whether workers appear, whether their conditions of production become intelligible, and whether they influence the account through which their work is understood. A limited reading of an official tourism webpage illustrates how craft recognition can coexist with a consumer-oriented account of heritage. Published research on Goan salt production supplies a contrasting account of work, ecological dependence and economic constraints. These sources establish a conceptual problem rather than a representative account of Goa's media. The paper proposes a comparative framework for studying fiction cinema, documentary film and tourism communication without assuming that their purposes or effects are identical. It argues that responsible representation should connect aesthetic appreciation with workers' knowledge, material conditions and freedom to change occupations. Its contribution is an operational distinction between being pictured and being recognised as a knowledgeable social actor, alongside a research design through which that distinction can be tested.
Keywords: Goa; salt makers; Kumbhars; tourist gaze; visual representation; traditional livelihoods; cultural heritage.

1. INTRODUCTION

A salt pan can be framed as an expanse of reflected light, a workplace or a system maintained through accumulated knowledge. A clay vessel can appear as a souvenir, a useful object or the outcome of skilled work. None of these representations is automatically false. Each, however, directs attention towards particular relations and away from others. The sociological question concerns what viewers are invited to understand about the people, environments and institutions behind a recognisable image.

This paper uses the picturesque to describe a mode of representation that foregrounds visual charm, recognisable difference and pleasurable consumption. It does not treat beauty as a defect. The problem arises when aesthetic appreciation becomes the principal explanation of a working community. People may be present within the frame while their decisions, rights and circumstances remain outside its account.

Goa's salt-making and pottery livelihoods provide a productive comparison. The first connects a visually striking landscape with seasonal production; the second connects familiar objects with embodied skill. Both invite inquiry into how cultural value is attached to work. Their comparison also prevents heritage from being reduced to a single type of object or place: a productive landscape and a manufactured artefact can both become detached from the social relations that sustain them.

The central argument is that visual inclusion alone is an insufficient measure of representational justice. Analysis must also consider explanation and authority. A worker can occupy the centre of an image without being named, heard or recognised as someone able to interpret the occupation. Conversely, a short representation may offer meaningful recognition through a precise caption or a worker's own account.

Three questions organise the discussion: How can representations make traditional livelihoods visible while limiting understanding of them? What distinguishes the treatment of work across cinematic and promotional genres? How can research assess recognition without requiring every image to perform the work of a full ethnography?

2. CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS

2.1 The tourist gaze and the organisation of attention

OPEN ACCESS © CINEFORUM

Urry and Larsen's [1] account of the tourist gaze directs attention to the social organisation of looking. Tourism images do more than record places: they participate in expectations about what is worth seeing. For the present argument, this perspective raises a specific question: which aspects of a livelihood become legible as an attraction, and which remain difficult to accommodate within a destination image?

The question should not be answered in advance. A panoramic view may introduce an unfamiliar occupation; a promotional video may direct buyers towards producers. Yet neither outcome follows simply from the presence of appealing imagery. The analytical task is to examine the relationship between the invitation to look and the information that accompanies it.

2.2 Representation, authenticity and explanatory limits

Hall's [2] treatment of representation as the production of meaning provides a basis for examining how labels, images and narratives make social groups intelligible. Calling a practice traditional can recognise historical depth. It can also imply that its value depends on remaining unchanged. The consequences of that label depend on the surrounding account and on how represented people interpret it.

MacCannell's [3] discussion of tourism and authenticity helps pose a related question about encounters organised for visitors. A demonstration may communicate real expertise while presenting only a selected part of production. Selection does not itself establish deception. Researchers must ask who arranges the encounter, what it leaves unexplained and whether participants can negotiate its terms.

These perspectives support a relational critique: representations should be assessed against their claims, purposes and contexts. A souvenir photograph need not contain a complete occupational history. More demanding claims to cultural documentation, community partnership or sustainability require more substantial evidence.

2.3 Living heritage and occupational freedom

UNESCO's [4] safeguarding framework includes traditional craftsmanship and emphasises community participation. It offers a basis for understanding heritage as practice and transmission rather than simply a collection of objects. This paper extends that orientation into a normative question: can public appreciation of heritage coexist with adequate support for the people who reproduce it?

Safeguarding should not be interpreted as an obligation to remain in inherited work. A person may value family knowledge while seeking a different occupation. Adaptation, mechanisation, supplementary employment and exit therefore belong within the analysis. A representation that celebrates continuity while treating every departure as cultural failure risks placing an unequal burden of preservation on producers.

3. APPROACH AND SCOPE

This is a conceptual paper supported by a purposive reading of selected scholarly and institutional sources. It is not a systematic literature review or a completed content analysis of cinema. The sources were chosen to connect tourism representation, visual research, community participation and Goan livelihood context. They do not constitute an exhaustive bibliography.

The discussion brings together three kinds of material: theoretical works on tourism and representation; a published review of community salt production in Goa; and an official tourism webpage discussing Goan arts and crafts. The webpage provides one illustrative textual example of promotional framing. Its discussion does not establish the frequency of a pattern across tourism communication, and no inference about its photographs or audience response is made from the page's wording alone.

No interviews, participant quotations, film-scene observations or original occupational statistics are reported. The author's wider research interests in Goan livelihoods motivate the comparison, but unpublished fieldwork is not treated as an analysed dataset here. Cinema enters as a domain to which the proposed framework can be applied, rather than as a corpus already examined.

This distinction matters because an argument about possible mechanisms differs from an empirical claim about their prevalence. The paper develops the former and outlines the evidence required for the latter. Rose's [5] methodological orientation supports examining visual material in relation to its contexts and interpretive processes rather than treating an image as self-explanatory.

4. GOA'S LIVELIHOODS AS A REPRESENTATIONAL PROBLEM

4.1 Salt-making: landscape and production

Mani et al. [6] describe Goan solar salt production as community-based, seasonal work dependent on managed water movement and preparation of production spaces. Their review discusses economic pressures, labour

OPEN ACCESS © CINEFORUM

requirements and embankment damage. These are historical observations from a 2012 publication and should not be read as a current census of operating salt pans.
This account makes it possible to distinguish the visible surface of a salt landscape from the work through which it functions. A photograph of salt heaps may communicate place and beauty. An explanatory account might additionally connect the harvest to preparation, maintenance and judgement. The difference is analytical: it concerns what the representation enables a viewer to understand, not a presumption that every landscape image erases labour.
A particularly important question concerns environmental causality. If a production season is disrupted, does the account explain the relevant evidence, or does it fall back on a general narrative of a disappearing tradition? Such a narrative can express concern while leaving the causes of vulnerability unspecified. Documentary research should establish those causes locally rather than infer them from the age or appearance of workers.

4.2 Pottery: objects and producers

Pottery raises a parallel issue through the relationship between the finished object and its maker. The Ministry of Tourism's Incredible India webpage on Goa's arts explicitly recognises pottery and skilled potters. Its account introduces craft through products, markets and the visitor's opportunity to acquire items [7]. This is evidence of inclusion, rather than evidence that pottery is wholly absent from official promotion.
Read as promotional discourse, the page nevertheless offers a more developed account of visitor appreciation than of producers' working conditions. This observation is restricted to that page. It identifies a question for comparative research: when craft enters destination promotion, how often does recognition extend from the object to the organisation of production?
For Kumbhar livelihoods, relevant empirical questions would include access to materials and workspace, the distribution of tasks, pricing, changing demand and opportunities for learning. These are proposed lines of inquiry, not findings established for every Goan pottery settlement. Producers' own descriptions must determine which issues matter in particular settings.
The comparison with salt-making is therefore not a claim of identical circumstances. Salt landscapes and pottery objects make different aspects of work available to the camera. A comparative study should preserve those differences while examining the shared possibility that cultural appreciation becomes separated from material explanation.

5. FROM BEING PICTURED TO BEING RECOGNISED

5.1. Scenic visibility

Scenic visibility concerns whether and how a livelihood appears. Relevant indicators include the presence of workers, tools, objects and productive environments; the duration of attention; and the placement of these elements within the composition. It is a necessary starting point because an occupation cannot acquire direct visual recognition if it is never represented.
Presence is nevertheless ambiguous. A worker can function as evidence of skill, a marker of local atmosphere or an anonymous background figure. Establishing which interpretation is supported requires attention to captions, editing, dialogue and narrative context. A single image may support several readings, and researchers should record that ambiguity rather than force it into a predetermined judgement.

5.2 Explanatory visibility

Explanatory visibility concerns whether a representation makes the livelihood intelligible as work. Does it connect a recognisable action with preparation, knowledge, exchange or environmental dependence? Does it distinguish an individual story from a general claim about a community? Does it identify the basis for assertions about decline or renewal?
This dimension avoids equating information with exposure. Workers need not disclose earnings, family conflict or private difficulties to qualify for recognition. Explanation can instead concern publicly shareable knowledge: why an operation is timed in a certain way, what makes a vessel useful, or how products reach buyers. The appropriate depth depends on genre and on consent.

5.3 Narrative agency

Narrative agency concerns the authority to interpret what is being represented. Indicators include whether people speak in their own language, whether their explanations shape the sequence, whether expertise is attributed, and whether they can question an external narrator's interpretation. A speaking role is relevant but insufficient: editing can retain a voice while removing its argument.

OPEN ACCESS © CINEFORUM

Agency also has a production dimension. Consultation, negotiation over identification and discussion of circulation can affect the relationship between filmmaker and participant. These arrangements cannot always be inferred from the finished text; they require production records or interviews. The framework therefore separates observable features from practices requiring additional evidence.

5.4 Material accountability

Material accountability adds a question about consequences: what, if anything, connects representation with benefits, burdens or decisions affecting producers? Evidence might concern agreed payment, referral arrangements, visitor management, access to the finished film or shared control over an archive. These indicators should be investigated rather than assumed from promotional language.

The four dimensions are not a ranking in which every long documentary necessarily outperforms every short advertisement. They are questions suited to different kinds of evidence. A concise producer-approved caption may demonstrate stronger attribution than a lengthy film that repeatedly uses unnamed workers as atmosphere.

6. ANALYTICAL PROPOSITIONS

The framework generates four propositions for subsequent investigation. First, increased scenic visibility need not be accompanied by increased explanatory visibility. This would be supported where workers repeatedly appear but the account offers little connection between their actions and the conditions of production. It would be challenged by cases in which brief appearances consistently communicate substantive occupational knowledge.

Second, recognition of products may be more extensive than recognition of producers. Testing this requires comparing references to objects, makers and production relations within the same material. It cannot be established simply by counting attractive photographs or by assuming that commercial promotion has a uniform effect.

Third, narrative agency and material benefit may diverge. A participant may speak extensively while receiving no agreed benefit; a short commercial listing may generate direct sales while offering little narrative space. Neither arrangement can be evaluated fully without asking participants about their priorities and the terms of involvement.

Fourth, representations of continuity may unintentionally narrow acceptable futures. Evidence would include accounts that praise inherited work while dismissing producers' stated preferences for adaptation or alternative employment. Counterexamples would show heritage being valued alongside occupational choice. These propositions make the argument contestable rather than treating picturesque representation as an explanation for every possible outcome.

7. CINEMA AND TOURISM MEDIA: A COMPARATIVE RESEARCH AGENDA

Fiction cinema, documentary film and promotional media should be compared without collapsing their purposes. Fiction can use a location as a narrative setting; documentary may claim to explain social experience; promotion usually invites attention or consumption. The analytical standard should consider what each text claims to do, while still allowing scrutiny of stereotypes and exclusions.

A future study could define a publication period and construct separate strata for fiction films substantially set in Goa, documentaries addressing Goan work, official tourism material and commercial travel content. Search procedures, eligibility rules and exclusions should be documented before analysis. Films shot in Goa but presented as another location should be identified separately from films representing Goa as Goa.

A general Goa-focused sample is needed alongside a livelihood-focused sample. Searching only for salt-making and pottery will find relevant appearances but cannot demonstrate their absence from the wider destination image. The first sample would establish a denominator for claims about visibility; the second would enable detailed analysis of occupational narratives. Any numerical conclusions must remain limited to the sampled material.

For audiovisual material, the study could use sequences as interpretive units while recording shot boundaries, timestamps, dialogue and sound. For webpages, it could distinguish images, captions and surrounding prose. A common coding framework would cover naming, occupational explanation, attributed knowledge, narrative position, evidence of constraint and possible futures. Genre-specific notes would preserve differences that a single code cannot capture.

A pilot should test whether independent researchers interpret the categories consistently. Disagreements should inform codebook revision; numerical reliability should be reported only where appropriate to the coding approach and actually calculated. Interpretive decisions and contradictory examples should remain visible in an audit trail.

Interviews and participant review would add evidence that textual analysis cannot supply. Producers could discuss what a representation explains, misidentifies or leaves unresolved. Filmmakers and promotional teams could explain production choices. Audience research would be required before claiming that particular images change

OPEN ACCESS © CINEFORUM

visitors' knowledge, attitudes or purchasing behaviour. The presence of an invitation within a text is not evidence that audiences accept it.

Reyna Sequeira, in her book As Dear as Salt: The Story of Neglect and Decay in a Traditional Occupation in Goa, examines the historical significance and gradual decline of traditional salt-making in Goa. Focusing on salt-producing communities in Batim, Arpora and Agarvaddo, the study highlights the occupation's cultural and economic importance and the challenges arising from changing economic conditions, institutional neglect and declining occupational sustainability. The work emphasises the importance of recognising traditional salt makers not merely as symbols of cultural heritage but as communities whose livelihoods, knowledge and occupational practices deserve attention [8].

8. ETHICAL AND PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

A community-centred approach begins before filming. Participants should understand the purpose, likely audiences and practical limits of withdrawal once material circulates. Agreement to be photographed should not be treated automatically as agreement to commercial reuse or disclosure of private information. Translation and subtitles require particular care because a participant's meaning can be altered even when the original voice remains audible.

The practical goal is a representation that producers can recognise as an intelligible account of their work. This may involve naming expertise, showing stages selected by participants or allowing disagreement within a community to remain visible. It should also allow refusals. Some knowledge, locations or activities may appropriately remain undocumented.

Tourism organisations could commission short accounts that connect products with makers and explain how visitors can engage on agreed terms. Possible benefits should be specified and assessed: direct purchasing, paid interpretation, educational partnerships or access to an archive. Increased publicity is not itself proof of improved livelihoods. Visitor pressure, interrupted work and unequal access to opportunities also require attention.

For researchers, the key responsibility is evidentiary precision. Accounts of salt workers and Kumbhars should distinguish locality, date and source. Migration, gender, caste and religious affiliation should be investigated where relevant and disclosed with care; they should not be inferred from clothing or appearance. No community should be presented as homogeneous merely because it shares an occupational label.

9. LIMITATIONS

The paper's contribution is conceptual and methodological. It does not establish how frequently Goa's cinema or tourism media omit traditional livelihoods, nor does it demonstrate audience effects or tourism-related income changes. Its official-webpage example is illustrative and text-based. Its salt-production context includes an older review whose observations require updating before use as contemporary baseline data.

A fuller literature review should engage recent empirical work on film-induced tourism, craft economies, participatory visual methods and Goa's social history. Subsequent research should also examine representations that explain livelihoods successfully, rather than selecting only cases that support a critique. These limitations define what the present argument can support and what further evidence must establish.

10. CONCLUSION

The distinction between being pictured and being recognised provides a focused way to examine Goa's traditional livelihoods in cinema and tourism media. Scenic visibility asks whether work appears. Explanatory visibility asks whether its organisation becomes intelligible. Narrative agency asks who interprets it, while material accountability asks how representational arrangements relate to participants' interests.

Applied to salt-making and pottery, this framework redirects attention from the attractiveness of a landscape or object towards the relationships that make production possible. It does not require abandoning aesthetic pleasure or denying the value of promotion. It requires precision about what images explain and participation in decisions about how people's work circulates. Moving beyond the picturesque means allowing producers to appear as contemporary social actors whose knowledge, choices and futures matter alongside the heritage associated with them.

REFERENCES

1. Urry J, Larsen J. The tourist gaze 3.0. London: SAGE Publications; 2011. doi:10.4135/9781446251904.
2. Hall S, editor. Representation: cultural representations and signifying practices. London: SAGE Publications in association with The Open University; 1997.

OPEN ACCESS © CINEFORUM

3. MacCannell D. The tourist: a new theory of the leisure class. Berkeley (CA): University of California Press; 2013. Available from: https://www.ucpress.edu/books/the-tourist/paper
4. UNESCO. Convention for the safeguarding of the intangible cultural heritage [Internet]. Paris: UNESCO; 2003. Available from: https://www.unesco.org/en/legal-affairs/convention-safeguarding-intangible-cultural-heritage
5. Rose G. Visual methodologies: an introduction to researching with visual materials. 5th ed. London: SAGE Publications; 2022. Available from: https://www.sagepub.com/shop/buy-a-book/visual-methodologies-5-277282
6. Mani K, Salgaonkar BB, Das D, Bragança JM. Community solar salt production in Goa, India. Aquat Biosyst. 2012;8:30. doi:10.1186/2046-9063-8-30.
7. Ministry of Tourism, Government of India. Discover the vibrant arts of Goa [Internet]. Incredible India. [cited 2026 Oct 15]. Available from: https://www.incredibleindia.gov.in/en/goa/goa/discover-goas-vibrant-arts-scene
8. Sequeira R. As dear as salt: the story of neglect and decay in a traditional occupation in Goa. Goa: Goa 1556; 2013.

OPEN ACCESS © CINEFORUM