CINEFORUM
ISSN: 0009-7039
Vol. 66. No. S5, 2026 (Special Issue S5)

When the Phone Enters the Family: Parent–Teen Conflict, Trust, Communication and the Digital Generation Gap

Okey Nana[1], Dr. Shipra Damir[2], Priyanka Negi[3]

[1]MPH Scholar, Minerva Institute of Management and Technology Sudhowala Dehradun
Email Id: nanamra32@gmail.com
[2]Assistant Professor, Head of Department - Hospital Administration & Public Health, Sri Dev Suman Uttarakhand University, Badshahithol, Tehri, Garhwal, Uttarakhand. Organization: Minerva Institute of Management and Technology, Sudhowala, Dehradun.
Orcid Id: 0009-0009-6275-5525 . Email Id: shipradamir@minervainstitute.in
[3]Assistant Professor, Dev Bhoomi Uttarakhand University (Uttarakhand), Dehradun (248007).
Orcid Id: 0009000985240284. Email Id: negip4566@gmail.com

ABSTRACT
Mobile phone overuse among teenagers is not only an individual behaviour; it can also affect family communication and relationships. This article examines adolescent phone use in relation to communication, trust, emotional closeness, conflict, parental modelling and differences in how parents and teenagers perceive their relationship. The analysis is based on a study of 150 teenagers and 150 parents, interviews with 10 family therapists, and a six-month follow-up of 50 families. Daily arguments about phone use were reported by 67% of heavy-user families compared with 8% of light-user families. Parents gave a lower average relationship rating (51.8) than teenagers (62.4), producing a 10.6-point perception gap. The study also reported a strong negative association (r = -0.87) between recreational screen time and parent-rated relationship quality. Night-time phone use was associated with higher next-day family conflict (OR = 4.2), with sleep disruption accounting for 62% of this relationship. The findings suggest that the issue involves more than screen duration; monitoring, secrecy, inconsistent parental behaviour and emotional distance may interact with phone use to create recurring family tension. A family-focused approach involving mutually agreed boundaries, positive parental modelling, phone-free routines, alternative activities and open communication is therefore proposed.
Keywords: parent–teen relationship; mobile phone overuse; family conflict; communication; trust; techno Ference; parental modelling; perception gap; digital parenting.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Mobile Phones as a Family Concern Disagreements over mobile phones commonly begin with concerns that a teenager is spending excessive time on the device. However, the effects can extend to family communication, routines, supervision and emotional interaction. Smartphones may influence when family members communicate, whether meals are shared without interruptions, and how teenagers seek support from parents. The study considers both sides of the parent–teen relationship. This perspective is important because identical behaviours may be interpreted differently. Parents may view frequent phone checking as withdrawal, whereas teenagers may consider it a way of maintaining friendships. Similarly, parental restrictions may be intended as protection but may be experienced by teenagers as excessive control. Teenagers may consider the relationship satisfactory because serious arguments are uncommon, while parents may associate relationship quality with openness, shared time and emotional communication.

2. STUDY DESIGN AND RELATIONSHIP MEASURES

The study included 150 teenagers and 150 parents, interviews with 10 licensed family therapists, and a six-month follow-up involving 50 families. Relationship quality was examined through communication, satisfaction, trust and conflict, along with parental feelings, family disagreements, screen time and perceptions of phone use.

Sr No.	Conflict	Arguments related to phone use and household tension
1.	Trust	Responsible use, understanding, criticism and judgement
2.	Shared activities	Meals, outings, family routines and device-free activities
3.	Perceived impact	Whether reducing phone use might improve family relationships

OPEN ACCESS © CINEFORUM

3.CONFLICT AS A REPEATED FAMILY PATTERN

The findings revealed a clear contrast between families with high and low levels of recreational phone use. Frequent phone-related disagreements were reported by 67% of heavy-user families, whereas only 8% of light-user families reported such conflicts. This suggests that excessive recreational smartphone use may influence not only the adolescent's individual behaviour but also the broader pattern of family interaction. These disagreements can become recurring rather than isolated incidents. For example, parents may repeatedly request that an adolescent put the phone away, while the adolescent may postpone compliance or attempt to negotiate, leading to frustration for both parties. Over time, this repeated pattern may contribute to a cycle in which family tension encourages the adolescent to turn to the phone for distraction or emotional relief, while continued phone use further increases the likelihood of conflict.

4. COMMUNICATION AND REDUCED FAMILY INTERACTION

Reduced face-to-face communication was another important finding. According to the study, 71% of heavy users were reported to give one-word responses. This does not necessarily indicate an inability to communicate; rather, communication may increasingly occur through friends, online groups and digital messaging while becoming less frequent within the family. For parents, brief responses may appear to signal disrespect, indifference or secrecy. Teenagers, however, may view them as expressions of privacy or a desire to avoid mis-understanding. When this pattern continues, parents may have fewer opportunities to identify changes in mood, academic difficulties, friendships or other concerns.

5. TRUST, PRIVACY AND SECRECY

Screen-concealment behaviours were reported among 52% of adolescents classified as heavy users. Behaviours such as turning the screen away, clearing browsing records, or using private accounts may occur more frequently when adolescents perceive a high level of parental supervision. This can contribute to a self-reinforcing pattern in which parents increase monitoring in response to secrecy, while adolescents become increasingly protective of their online activities because they feel closely watched.

The concern is therefore not limited to privacy alone; it also involves the development of trust within the family. Healthy trust depends on clear expectations, mutual respect, and confidence that family members will behave responsibly. Increased secrecy may lead parents to question an adolescent's online behaviour even when the activity itself is harmless. Conversely, frequent surveillance may discourage adolescents from communicating openly if they expect their disclosures to result in criticism or punishment. Consequently, family technology rules should seek an appropriate balance between online safety, individual privacy, and responsible use.

6. THE PARENT–TEEN PERCEPTION GAP

The study identified a 10.6-point difference between teenagers' and parents' ratings of relationship quality. Teenagers recorded an average score of 62.4, compared with 51.8 among parents. The difference was statistically significant ($t(298) = 4.8$, $p < .001$). Parents also reported greater levels of worry, frustration and emotional hurt.

1.	Indicator	Reported study finding
2.	Teen relationship rating	62.4 (SD 18.2)
3.	Parent relationship rating	51.8 (SD 22.1)
4.	Perception gap	10.6 points
5.	Statistical test	$t(298) = 4.8$, $p < .001$
6	Parents worrying about relationship nearly every day	68%
7.	Teenagers reporting this nearly every day	33%

The perception gap is relevant because different assessments of the same relationship can make it difficult to agree on appropriate solutions. Parents may respond to perceived deterioration with greater supervision, while teenagers may respond with resistance or increased privacy. The study suggests several possible reasons, including different expectations about parent–child relationships, teenagers' acceptance of greater independence and differences in how each generation interprets phone-related behaviour.

OPEN ACCESS © CINEFORUM

7. DIFFERENT INTERPRETATIONS OF PHONE USE

Issue	Parent perspective	Teen perspective
Connection	Phone use may reduce family interaction	Phone use helps maintain friendships and social connections
Use vs. dependence	Frequent checking may appear excessive or compulsive	Use may feel ordinary, necessary or relaxing
Safety vs. autonomy	Monitoring is intended to reduce online risks	Monitoring may be experienced as reduced independence
i	Irritability or withdrawal may indicate a problem	Restrictions may be perceived as a lack of understanding
Parental modelling	Adults may regard their phone use as work-related	Teenagers may view adult phone behaviour as an example to follow

Absolutely. I can rewrite the entire passage in **original academic language**, while preserving the meaning, numerical findings, section structure, and practical recommendations. I'll also remove repetitive wording and improve the flow so it reads more like an independently written research discussion.

Family Perspectives, Digital Role Models, Technoference And Night-Time Use
Differences in how parents and adolescents perceive smartphone use do not necessarily indicate that either perspective is correct or incorrect. Instead, they demonstrate that the same digital behaviour can have different meanings for different members of a family. Family-based approaches should therefore consider both viewpoints when establishing expectations, communication patterns, and routines related to smartphone use.

8. PARENTS AS DIGITAL ROLE MODELS
The findings suggest a notable association between parents' smartphone habits and those of their adolescents. Parents reported approximately 4.2 hours of recreational smartphone use per day, and parental screen time was positively associated with adolescent screen use ($r = 0.54$). Among parents whose adolescents were classified as heavy users, 54% reported frequently feeling guilty about their own smartphone use. Parents who used their phones for six or more hours per day were 3.2 times more likely to have an adolescent identified as a heavy user, 2.8 times less likely to apply household phone rules consistently, and 1.9 times more likely to report lower satisfaction with their parent–adolescent relationship.
These results are consistent with the principles of Social Cognitive Theory, which emphasizes learning through observation and behavioural modelling. Adolescents may therefore be less responsive to parental expectations when parents' own behaviour does not reflect the same standards. For instance, a request to avoid phones during family meals may have limited influence when parents themselves regularly use their devices at the table.
This does not mean that parents should eliminate smartphone use, since phones may be necessary for employment, communication, education, and other practical responsibilities. Rather, the findings suggest that parental consistency and modelling may strengthen the credibility of family expectations surrounding digital behaviour.

9. TECHNOFERENCE AND EMOTIONAL DISCONNECTION
Another important issue identified by the study is **technoference**, which refers to the interruption or disruption of interpersonal interaction by digital devices. Family members may be physically present together while their attention is directed toward screens, reducing opportunities for meaningful conversation and emotional engagement. Such interruptions may occur from either the parent or adolescent and may become particularly problematic when they occur repeatedly.
The qualitative findings illustrate this issue through the experience of a 16-year-old heavy smartphone user who reported feeling ignored when her mother used a digital device during their conversations. The adolescent subsequently experienced activities such as social media use and listening to music as more emotionally

OPEN ACCESS © CINEFORUM

supportive. This example suggests that smartphone behaviour may be shaped not only by personal preference but also by the communication environment and technology habits established within the family.

10. NIGHT-TIME SMARTPHONE USE AND FAMILY CONFLICT

Late-night smartphone use was strongly associated with family conflict on the following day. Adolescents who used their phones after midnight had an odds ratio of 4.2 for experiencing daily family conflict. Sleep disturbance accounted for approximately 62% of this association, indicating that inadequate or disrupted sleep may be an important pathway linking nighttime smartphone use with subsequent disagreements.

These findings highlight the importance of protecting adolescents' sleep schedules when addressing problematic digital behaviour. Rather than concentrating exclusively on the number of hours spent on a phone during the entire day, families may benefit from paying particular attention to nighttime routines.

A possible sequence is:

Late-night smartphone use → reduced sleep → difficult mornings → irritability → family disagreement → continuing tension

This pattern suggests that improving sleep may provide a practical starting point for reducing family difficulties associated with excessive smartphone use.

11. SMARTPHONE USE AS A FAMILY-SYSTEM ISSUE

Smartphone behaviour can be understood within the broader context of family relationships. Adolescents' phone use may influence how parents respond, while parental monitoring, communication styles, and personal technology habits may subsequently affect adolescent behaviour. Sleep quality and emotional states can further shape these interactions.

Consequently, interventions that focus exclusively on the adolescent may have limited effectiveness when family routines and parental behaviour remain unchanged. A broader approach that considers the behaviour of all family members may provide a more realistic basis for improving digital habits.

12. COMMON PARENTAL CHALLENGES

Parents may unintentionally increase conflict when attempting to manage adolescent smartphone use. Common difficulties include:

Frequent reminders that turn discussions about phones into repeated arguments.

Restrictions that are introduced without explanation and are consequently interpreted as threats to independence.

Excessive monitoring that may encourage adolescents to conceal their behaviour.

Attention to the device itself without considering the underlying purpose of use, such as maintaining friendships, relaxation, entertainment, or coping with stress.

Inconsistent parental behaviour that reduces the credibility of household expectations.

The findings support an approach that combines clear boundaries with explanation, alternative activities, and consistent parental behaviour. Expectations related to sleep, safety, education, and family responsibilities may need to remain firm, but respectful communication can make these expectations easier for adolescents to accept.

13. COMMON CHALLENGES EXPERIENCED BY ADOLESCENTS

Adolescents may not always recognize the extent to which continuous smartphone engagement affects family relationships. A teenager may consider a short conversation sufficient, while a parent may interpret the same interaction as a lack of attention or emotional involvement.

Adolescents may also perceive parental smartphone use as contradictory when parents impose restrictions on their own phone use. However, differences between essential activities and recreational use may not always be obvious to either side. Nighttime phone use creates another challenge because the immediate social or entertainment benefits may appear more important to adolescents than the tiredness and irritability that emerge the following morning.

14. MOVING FROM RESTRICTION TO POSITIVE ALTERNATIVES

A more sustainable intervention strategy involves modifying family routines rather than relying exclusively on punishment or restrictions.

OPEN ACCESS © CINEFORUM

Sr. no.	**Intervention**	**Practical strategy**
1.	Phone-free meals	Keep smartphones away during family meals.
2.	Nighttime charging	Charge devices outside bedrooms.
3.	Shared activities	Encourage walks, games, music, art, exercise, or sports.
4.	Family agreement	Establish acceptable use, limits, and exceptions together.
5.	Parental modelling	Parents follow the same agreed 6.routines.
7.	Digital monitoring	Use screen-time functions to understand actual patterns of use.
8.	Do Not Disturb	Reduce unnecessary notifications and interruptions.
9.	Study routine	Keep phones away during periods requiring concentration.
10.	Positive reinforcement	Recognize successful self-regulation rather than focusing exclusively on violations.

15. FAMILY DIGITAL AGREEMENT

A family digital agreement can clarify expectations regarding essential phone use, recreational activities, mealtimes, study periods, and bedtime. Parents should participate in these expectations rather than applying rules exclusively to adolescents. Shared responsibility can make the arrangement feel less like a form of punishment and more like a family commitment. The agreement should also be reviewed periodically because adolescents' educational demands, social relationships, and responsibilities change over time. Clear expectations combined with reasonable flexibility may therefore be more practical than either complete prohibition or unrestricted access.

16. COUNSELLING AND SCHOOL SUPPORT

Counsellors can assess smartphone-related concerns by obtaining information from both adolescents and parents. Instead of assigning blame, counselling can explore how each family member interprets the other's behaviour. Questions such as “How do you think your parent feels when you are using your phone?” or “What does your teenager experience when you ask them to put the phone away?” can encourage discussion about underlying emotions and needs.

Schools can support families through digital citizenship programmes, education about sleep and distraction, appropriate classroom phone policies, and opportunities for face-to-face social interaction. The objective should be responsible and purposeful technology use rather than rejecting digital technology altogether.

17. COMMUNITY AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The findings support the development of family-awareness initiatives, school-based digital-safety programmes, appropriate technology policies, and further research into adolescent smartphone behaviour. Digital controls and technological safeguards may provide useful support, but they are unlikely to replace communication within families, development of self-regulation skills, and professional guidance when difficulties become significant.

18. LIMITATIONS

The findings should be interpreted with appropriate caution. Much of the evidence is cross-sectional, meaning that definite cause-and-effect relationships cannot be established. The sample is predominantly Adi and was drawn from a single geographical region, which may restrict the extent to which the findings can be generalized to other populations.

In addition, smartphone use was based on self-reported information and may therefore be affected by reporting inaccuracies. Total screen time also does not fully distinguish between different forms of use, such as gaming, social networking, streaming, communication, and educational activities. Furthermore, emotional or family difficulties may arise from numerous factors unrelated to smartphone use.

19. A RELATIONSHIP-CENTRED MODEL OF DIGITAL WELL-BEING

The findings suggest an inter-connected pattern in which family technology habits can influence adolescent smartphone behaviour, while excessive use may subsequently affect sleep, communication, shared activities, and emotional connection. These changes may contribute to irritability, withdrawal, mistrust, or conflict, potentially reinforcing further reliance on smartphones as a source of distraction or emotional escape.

OPEN ACCESS © CINEFORUM

This pattern may be addressed by strengthening nighttime routines, limiting late-night use, increasing meaningful family activities, demonstrating responsible digital behaviour, establishing mutually agreed boundaries, and preserving regular opportunities for face-to-face communication.

20. FINDING:

The findings indicate that excessive recreational smartphone use among adolescents may extend beyond individual behaviour and become relevant to family relationships. Repeated disagreements about phone use may reflect broader concerns involving attention, trust, privacy, independence, safety, and emotional connection.

The reported results including daily phone-related conflict among 67% of heavy-user families compared with 8% of light-user families, a 10.6-point difference in parent–adolescent relationship ratings, a correlation of $r = -0.87$ between recreational screen time and parent-rated relationship quality, and an odds ratio of 4.2 for nighttime use and next-day conflict indicate substantial associations between intensive smartphone use and family difficulties.

However, addressing these difficulties does not necessarily require increasingly restrictive controls. Families can establish protected periods for sleep and shared interaction while recognizing legitimate educational, social, and practical uses of smartphones. Parents can demonstrate the digital habits they expect from adolescents, while teenagers can gradually develop greater responsibility for managing their own use.

The broader objective is to ensure that technology remains useful without displacing conversation, trust, adequate sleep, and shared family experiences. Respectful communication and clearly negotiated boundaries may make digital expectations easier to maintain while reducing secrecy and hostility.

21. BUILDING MUTUAL RESPECT

Families can distinguish between constructive digital activities and patterns of use that interfere with sleep, education, responsibilities, or relationships. Schools can reinforce this distinction through appropriate phone-use expectations, digital citizenship education, and opportunities for participation in sports, arts, clubs, and other face-to-face activities. A consistent approach across home and school can help adolescents understand that responsible technology use is compatible with the benefits of digital technology.

22. SCHOOL FAMILY PARTNERSHIP

Schools and families can cooperate in establishing realistic expectations for adolescent digital behaviour. Teachers and parents can communicate about concerns involving concentration, sleep, and excessive recreational use while also acknowledging the educational benefits of technology.

Responsibility for managing smartphone behaviour therefore does not have to rest entirely with parents. Collaboration between families and schools can provide adolescents with more consistent expectations across different environments.

23. WHEN PROFESSIONAL SUPPORT MAY BE APPROPRIATE

Screening measures such as the PHQ-9 and GAD-7 can identify possible symptoms of depression or anxiety, but these instruments do not independently establish a clinical diagnosis. Emotional difficulties should therefore not automatically be interpreted as consequences of smartphone use.

Professional assessment may be appropriate when there is persistent family conflict, substantial sleep disruption, marked social withdrawal, significant academic decline, or considerable emotional distress. Such assessment should consider information from both adolescents and parents and should examine factors beyond smartphone use alone.

24. A FIVE-STEP FAMILY CONVERSATION

A structured family discussion may help transform repeated disagreements into collaborative problem-solving:

Describe the observed pattern without assigning blame or using negative labels.

Explore why both adolescents and parents use smartphones and what needs the devices fulfil.

Discuss the effects of phone use on sleep, education, family interaction, and trust.

Establish two or three specific and achievable boundaries instead of imposing numerous restrictions.

Review the arrangement after approximately two weeks and modify it where necessary.

This process allows expectations to develop alongside changes in adolescents' educational, social, and family responsibilities.

OPEN ACCESS © CINEFORUM

25. PARENTS' OWN DIGITAL HABITS

Parents' smartphone behaviour forms an important part of the digital environment experienced by adolescents. A brief digital-use audit may help parents identify when and why they use their phones, distinguishing activities such as work, communication, banking, entertainment, and social networking.

The study reported an average of 4.2 hours of recreational smartphone use among parents and a positive association between parent and adolescent screen time ($r = 0.54$). Additionally, 54% of parents of heavy-use adolescents reported frequently experiencing guilt about their own phone use. These findings reinforce the relevance of parental modelling and consistency within the family environment.

26. SHARED ACTIVITIES AND FAMILY CONNECTION

Reducing smartphone use is likely to be more sustainable when screen time is replaced with meaningful alternatives. Regular meals, walks, games, music, creative activities, sports, or family outings can provide predictable opportunities for interaction.

These activities do not need to be elaborate or time-consuming. Short but regular periods of shared activity may provide meaningful opportunities for connection. Simply removing a smartphone without providing alternative activities may cause restrictions to feel punitive.

27. REPAIRING COMMUNICATION AFTER CONFLICT

Following a disagreement about smartphone use, family members can revisit the situation without assigning blame. Discussion can focus on what happened, what expectations were in place, how each person experienced the situation, and what could be handled differently in the future.

Avoiding labels such as “addict,” “lazy,” or “irresponsible” can help keep attention on specific behaviours rather than defining an individual's identity. Describing the behaviour and its consequences is generally more constructive than attaching negative personal labels.

28. UNDERSTANDING THE MEANING BEHIND “PUT YOUR PHONE AWAY”

The same instruction can communicate very different meanings to different family members. A parent may intend the message to mean, “I want to spend some time with you,” while an adolescent may interpret it as, “You do not trust me.”

Communicating the underlying need can reduce this mis-understanding. For example, requesting a defined period of screen-free family time may be more constructive than relying solely on commands to stop using the phone. This approach shifts the interaction away from confrontation and toward connection.

29. NEGOTIATING RULES WITH ADOLESCENTS

Collaboratively developed rules can provide structure while allowing adolescents an appropriate degree of independence. Parents and teenagers can identify their primary concerns separately. Parents may prioritize sleep, safety, education, and family responsibilities, whereas adolescents may place greater emphasis on privacy, friendships, communication, and educational access.

A mutually agreed arrangement might permit recreational phone use after study requirements have been completed while requiring devices to remain outside bedrooms at night. Parents can also demonstrate shared responsibility by following comparable expectations, such as keeping their own phones away during meals.

30. TRUST, PRIVACY AND MONITORING

Trust does not necessarily require unrestricted smartphone access, while ensuring safety does not necessarily require continuous surveillance. Clear expectations, predictable consequences, and opportunities for adolescents to demonstrate responsibility can help establish an appropriate balance.

The findings suggest the possibility of a cycle in which increased secrecy leads parents to increase monitoring, while greater monitoring may in turn encourage further secrecy. Distinguishing reasonable safety measures from unnecessary surveillance may therefore help protect both adolescents' safety and their developing need for privacy.

31.OVERALL CONCLUSION

The article demonstrates that intensive recreational smartphone use among adolescents may have implications beyond individual screen behaviour, particularly for family communication, sleep, emotional connection, and parent adolescent relationships. The reported findings indicate greater family conflict and differences in perceptions of relationship quality among families of heavier smartphone users. Parental digital habits, nighttime

OPEN ACCESS © CINEFORUM

smartphone use, and inconsistent household expectations may also contribute to the broader family environment surrounding technology.

A family-centred response should therefore move beyond simple restriction. Shared digital agreements, consistent parental modelling, protected sleep, meaningful offline activities, respectful communication, and age-appropriate autonomy can provide a more balanced approach to smartphone management. Schools and families can reinforce these practices through coordinated expectations and digital-wellbeing education.

Ultimately, the aim is not to eliminate technology from adolescents' lives but to ensure that smartphone use remains compatible with sleep, learning, family interaction, emotional well-being, and healthy development. Building connection and mutual understanding alongside reasonable boundaries may provide a more sustainable foundation for responsible digital behaviour.

This version is substantially rephrased rather than merely replacing individual words. For academic submission, however, **keep citations for the original study and any theories, instruments, or numerical findings that came from published sources**; rewriting the wording does not remove the need to cite the underlying research.

REFERENCES

1. Bandura, A. (1986): Social Foundations of Thought and Action: A Social Cognitive Theory. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
2. Bowlby, J. (1969): Attachment and Loss: Vol. 1, Attachment. Basic Books, New York.
3. Braun, V. & Clarke, V. (2006): “Using Thematic Analysis in Psychology.” Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77–101.
4. Brehm, J. W. (1966): A Theory of Psychological Reactance. Academic Press, New York.
5. Creswell, J. W. & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018): Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research. SAGE, Thousand Oaks, California.
6. Deci, E. L. & Ryan, R. M. (1985): Intrinsic Motivation and Self-Determination in Human Behavior. Plenum Press, New York.
7. McDaniel, B. T. & Coyne, S. M. (2016): “Technoference: The Interference of Technology in Couple Relationships.” Psychology of Popular Media Culture, 5(1), 85–98.
8. Przybylski, A. K. et al. (2013): “Motivational, Emotional, and Behavioral Correlates of Fear of Missing Out.” Computers in Human Behavior, 29(4), 1841–1848.
9. Sohn, S. Y. et al. (2019): “Prevalence of Problematic Smartphone Usage and Associated Mental Health Outcomes Among Children and Young People.” BMC Psychiatry, 19, 356.
10. Twenge, J. M. et al. (2018): “Increases in Depressive Symptoms, Suicide-Related Outcomes, and Suicide Rates Among U.S. Adolescents After 2010.” Clinical Psychological Science, 6(1), 3–17.

OPEN ACCESS © CINEFORUM