

Collaborative Writing Practices And Interactional Written Competence In Higher Education: A Sociocultural Perspective

Dinesh T H^{1*}, Dr. R. Anto Sindhuja²

¹Research Scholar, Reg. No. 23214014011022, PG & Research Department of English, St. John's College, Palayamkottai. Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli. Email: 2020dth@gmail.com

²Assistant Professor & Research Supervisor, PG & Research Department of English, St. John's College, Palayamkottai. Affiliated to Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli. *Corresponding Author Email: 2020dth@gmail.com

Abstract

Collaborative writing has become an important pedagogical approach in higher education, encouraging students to work together, share ideas, and take part in meaningful academic discussion. While a substantial body of research shows that collaborative writing improves writing quality and student participation, considerably less attention has been paid to how it contributes to the development of *interactional written competence*. Drawing on Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory, this paper argues that collaborative writing is more than an instructional technique: it is a social learning process through which students build knowledge together, negotiate meaning, acquire academic writing conventions, and develop the capacity to communicate effectively in writing. Synthesising research on collaborative learning, academic writing, interactional competence, peer collaboration, scaffolding, teacher mediation, and writing self-efficacy, the paper proposes a conceptual framework explaining how collaborative writing supports the development of Interactional Written Competence (IWC) in higher education. The paper closes by considering implications for writing pedagogy, curriculum design, teacher education, and future empirical research.

Keywords: Collaborative Writing, Interactional Written Competence, Sociocultural Theory, Academic Writing, Higher Education, Peer Learning, Writing Pedagogy

1. Introduction

Academic writing is widely recognised as one of the defining competencies of higher education. Through writing, students analyse evidence, construct arguments, communicate disciplinary knowledge, and participate in scholarly discourse. Beyond its role in assessment, academic writing allows learners to engage critically with ideas, develop disciplinary identities, and contribute to knowledge production. Universities increasingly treat writing competence as a core graduate attribute supporting academic achievement, professional communication, and lifelong learning. Writing instruction has historically been dominated by product-oriented and process-oriented pedagogies. Product-oriented approaches emphasised grammatical accuracy, vocabulary, coherence, and textual organisation; process-oriented approaches emphasised planning, drafting, revising, and editing. Both transformed writing pedagogy, but both largely treated writing as an individual cognitive activity, giving limited attention to the social contexts in which writing develops.

Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory shifted this picture by proposing that learning occurs first through socially mediated interaction and is only later internalised as individual competence. In this view, language is the primary cultural tool through which learners negotiate meaning and participate in educational communities. Academic writing, accordingly, is not merely the production of text but a collaborative process involving dialogue, mediation, and shared intellectual activity.

Collaborative writing in which learners jointly plan, draft, revise, and edit texts is one of the pedagogical practices most directly informed by this theory. Rather than dividing tasks among group members, collaborative writing asks learners to negotiate ideas, justify arguments, give and receive feedback, and construct texts together, thereby deepening cognitive engagement while strengthening communication and learner autonomy.

Despite extensive research on its benefits, existing scholarship has mainly examined collaborative writing's effects on writing quality, motivation, grammatical accuracy, or peer interaction. Comparatively little research has asked *why*, theoretically, collaborative writing contributes to writing development and almost none has conceptualised it as the principal mechanism through which learners develop **Interactional Written Competence (IWC)**: the ability to construct, negotiate, revise, and communicate academic knowledge through socially mediated writing.

This gap matters because contemporary higher education increasingly requires students to write collaboratively in seminars, research teams, interdisciplinary projects, online courses, and professional settings. Writing competence today depends not only on linguistic ability but on learners' capacity to interact productively with teachers, peers, and disciplinary communities throughout the writing process, a dimension existing theories of writing competence do not fully capture.

This paper addresses that gap by proposing a sociocultural framework in which collaborative writing is the central mechanism underlying the development of interactional written competence. The paper has four objectives:

1. To examine collaborative writing through the lens of Sociocultural Theory.

2. To analyse the relationship between collaborative writing and interactional written competence.
3. To propose a conceptual framework explaining how collaborative writing contributes to IWC in higher education.
4. To discuss the pedagogical and research implications of this framework.

By bringing together previously disconnected strands of research, the paper aims to provide a theoretical foundation for future empirical work on collaborative writing in higher education.

2. Original Contribution of the Paper

Collaborative writing is already a well-established area of research in applied linguistics and higher education, but existing studies have mainly examined how it improves writing quality, participation, peer feedback, and language skills without fully explaining how it develops learners' capacity to interact and communicate through academic writing. This paper makes four contributions:

1. It treats collaborative writing as a process of learning and development through social interaction, not simply a classroom activity.
2. It introduces **Interactional Written Competence** as a distinct, theorised outcome of sustained collaborative writing.
3. It integrates Sociocultural Theory, interactional competence, academic writing, and collaborative learning into a single framework.
4. It provides a theoretical foundation for future research, assessment design, and pedagogical innovation.

Where prior work has largely asked whether collaborative writing improves writing performance, this paper argues that it also teaches students *how to participate* in academic communities through discussion, negotiation, mutual support, and reflection.

3. Collaborative Writing in Higher Education: Theoretical Foundations

3.1 The Evolution of Collaborative Writing

Collaborative writing reframes writing as a shared learning process rather than a solitary task evaluated only by the teacher. It emerged from constructivist and sociocultural learning theories: where process-writing pedagogy introduced stages such as planning, drafting, revising, and editing, collaborative writing showed that these stages themselves could be improved through joint work sharing ideas, weighing evidence, strengthening arguments, and revising and reflecting as a group.

In contemporary higher education, collaborative writing extends well beyond the classroom. Students routinely co-produce research projects, laboratory reports, policy papers, literature reviews, and publications, all of which demand clear communication, shared responsibility, and the ability to reconcile differing viewpoints into a coherent text. Collaborative writing therefore cultivates both writing proficiency and the interpersonal competencies needed for academic teamwork.

3.2 Sociocultural Foundations of Collaborative Writing

Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory holds that learning first develops through social interaction and is later internalised as independent competence. In collaborative writing, this occurs through discussion, negotiation, feedback, and joint problem-solving, with teachers, peers, and tools serving as sources of *mediation*. Peers also provide *scaffolding* for one another explaining ideas, suggesting revisions, and modelling better strategies.

The Zone of Proximal Development is directly visible here: students can often complete collaboratively a writing task they could not yet complete alone, and through repeated practice they gradually internalise the skill. Language is the central mechanism of this process, as learners use discussion, questioning, and feedback to organise thought, build arguments, and communicate knowledge.

3.3 Collaborative Writing as Knowledge Construction

Collaborative writing turns writing from a demonstration of existing knowledge into a process of constructing new knowledge. By sharing perspectives, examining arguments, and jointly evaluating evidence, students deepen their understanding of a topic; explaining ideas to others sharpens their own thinking, and revising collectively allows arguments to improve iteratively rather than being fixed at the first draft. This aligns closely with the broader goals of higher education research, critical thinking, and innovation positioning students as active producers rather than passive recipients of academic knowledge.

3.4 Interaction and Negotiation of Meaning

Negotiation of meaning discussing, justifying, and reworking ideas in response to differing viewpoints is central to collaborative writing. It sharpens understanding, because ideas must be articulated clearly enough for others to engage with them; it develops critical thinking, as students compare and evaluate competing interpretations; and it builds the specific communicative skills discussing ideas, responding to feedback, accommodating disagreement that underpin participation in academic communities. This capacity for negotiation is foundational to the concept of Interactional Written Competence developed in Section 4.

3.5 Collaborative Writing and Learner Development

Collaborative writing supports development across several dimensions simultaneously:

- **Cognitive** deeper information processing, problem-solving, and analytical reasoning.
- **Social** communication, teamwork, leadership, and empathy.

- **Metacognitive** greater awareness of planning, monitoring, and evaluating one's own writing strategies.
- **Affective** stronger motivation, confidence, and resilience in the face of challenging academic tasks.

Together, these outcomes suggest that collaborative writing functions as a comprehensive educational process, supporting intellectual, linguistic, and personal development at once.

3.6 Limitations of Existing Collaborative Writing Research

Several theoretical limitations persist in this literature. First, most studies evaluate collaborative writing primarily through gains in writing quality or grammatical accuracy – valuable, but insufficient to explain the broader developmental process at work. Second, collaborative writing is typically studied as an instructional technique rather than as a mechanism of sociocultural development, leaving its role in shaping participation in academic discourse communities underexplored. Third, research tends to isolate collaborative writing from related constructs – teacher mediation, classroom interaction, writing self-efficacy – limiting theoretical integration. Finally, few studies offer a comprehensive model linking collaborative writing to writing capabilities that extend beyond textual performance. These gaps motivate the integrated framework developed in the remainder of this paper.

Section Summary. Collaborative writing should be understood as a sociocultural process through which learners construct knowledge, negotiate meaning, and participate in disciplinary discourse communities – not merely as an instructional strategy. The next section builds on this foundation to introduce Interactional Written Competence as the theorised outcome of sustained collaborative writing.

4. Interactional Written Competence: Extending the Sociocultural Perspective

4.1 From Collaborative Writing to Interactional Written Competence

Collaborative writing has traditionally been studied as a pedagogical strategy for improving writing quality and engagement. But beyond improving the written product, it also changes *how* learners participate in academic communication negotiating meaning, constructing disciplinary knowledge, responding to feedback, and engaging in reflective dialogue. These processes point to a distinct educational capability that extends beyond conventional writing competence, which this paper terms **Interactional Written Competence (IWC)**.

Rather than treating writing as an individual linguistic achievement, IWC positions writing as a socially mediated activity in which learners actively participate within academic discourse communities the capacity to communicate, negotiate, construct, revise, and refine knowledge collaboratively through written interaction. This reframes the object of writing research: from evaluating the quality of finished texts to understanding the social processes through which effective writing develops.

4.2 Defining Interactional Written Competence

Building on the theoretical synthesis above, **Interactional Written Competence** may be defined as:

The ability to construct, communicate, negotiate, revise, and refine academic knowledge through socially mediated writing practices involving interaction with teachers, peers, disciplinary communities, and cultural tools.

This definition extends traditional writing competence in four respects: (1) writing is an interactive process rather than an individual product; (2) learning occurs through social participation, consistent with Sociocultural Theory; (3) competence includes the ability to respond to interaction, not just produce linguistically accurate text; and (4) writing becomes a vehicle for knowledge construction and academic participation, not only an assessment activity. IWC thus integrates linguistic competence, communicative competence, collaborative participation, reflective learning, and sociocultural development.

4.3 Dimensions of Interactional Written Competence

The framework identifies six interrelated dimensions of IWC:

Dimension	Description
Collaborative Knowledge Construction	Generating new understanding through dialogue and inquiry, rather than reproducing information.
Negotiation of Meaning	Continuous clarification, justification, and reinterpretation of ideas to refine arguments and understanding.
Reflective Revision	Treating revision as an iterative dialogue with peers, teachers, and disciplinary communities.
Audience Awareness	Sensitivity to readers' expectations, disciplinary conventions, and rhetorical purpose.
Sociocultural Participation	Developing competence through engagement in seminars, peer review, and scholarly dialogue.
Learner Agency	Internalising academic writing practices to become an autonomous, self-regulating writer.

4.4 Collaborative Writing as the Central Mechanism

The paper's central proposition is that collaborative writing is the principal sociocultural mechanism through which IWC develops. It gives learners repeated opportunities to exchange ideas, negotiate interpretations, construct arguments jointly, evaluate evidence critically, give and receive formative feedback, revise reflectively, and internalise disciplinary writing conventions. Each collaborative writing episode strengthens communication, cognition, reflection, and participation simultaneously; over time, these experiences become internalised, enabling learners to perform equivalent tasks independently. Collaborative writing is, in this sense, the bridge between social interaction and individual writing competence.

4.5 A Sociocultural Developmental Model

IWC develops in three broad stages. Initially, learners write collaboratively under substantial **teacher guidance**, receiving mediation and scaffolding through planning, drafting, and revision. As learners gain experience, responsibility shifts toward **peer collaboration**, with negotiation of meaning and collaborative revision becoming the dominant learning processes. With continued participation, learners **internalise** these strategies and become autonomous writers capable of independently organising ideas, evaluating evidence, and participating in disciplinary discourse. IWC is therefore the outcome of progressive sociocultural participation, not the acquisition of isolated skills.

Figure 1. Development of Interactional Written Competence



4.6 Distinguishing IWC from Existing Constructs

Construct	Primary Focus	Limitation	Contribution of IWC
Writing Competence	Linguistic accuracy and text production	Limited attention to social interaction	Integrates writing with collaborative knowledge construction
Communicative Competence	Effective communication	Primarily speech-oriented	Extends communication into academic writing
Interactional Competence	Participation in interaction	Predominantly oral	Applies interactional principles to written discourse
Collaborative Writing	Instructional strategy	Focused on classroom practice	Explains collaborative writing as a developmental mechanism

Academic Literacy	Participation in academic discourse	Broad educational perspective	Specifies the interactional processes through which participation develops
-------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------	--

4.7 Theoretical Significance

This framework reconceptualises academic writing as a socially mediated developmental capability rather than a purely individual skill; provides an integrated sociocultural account linking collaborative writing to writing development; introduces IWC as a coherent construct for empirical investigation; and offers a conceptual foundation for research and curriculum innovation across educational contexts.

Section Summary. This section introduced IWC as the paper's central theoretical contribution the outcome of sustained collaborative writing, defined by six dimensions and developing through progressive sociocultural participation.

5. A Sociocultural Model of Collaborative Writing and Interactional Written Competence

5.1 Collaborative Writing as a Sociocultural System

Collaborative writing is not merely an activity in which learners jointly produce a text; it is a dynamic educational system in which cognitive, linguistic, social, and affective processes operate together. Every stage idea generation, planning, drafting, peer review, revision, editing creates opportunities for interaction with teachers, peers, disciplinary knowledge, and cultural tools. Writing behaviours that are initially supported externally, through instruction and shared responsibility, become internal cognitive strategies through repeated participation. Vygotsky's principle that higher psychological functions appear first on the social plane before being internalised.

5.2 Components of the Proposed Model

The framework comprises seven interdependent components:

- **Teacher Mediation** modelling, questioning, and formative feedback that support learners through increasingly complex writing tasks.
- **Classroom Interaction** discussion that lets learners articulate and clarify ideas before and during writing.
- **Peer Collaboration** reciprocal feedback, explanation, and shared authorship that extend learning beyond teacher-centred instruction.
- **Scaffolding** support (from teachers, peers, or tools) that is progressively withdrawn as competence grows.
- **Collaborative Writing Practices** the central activities (planning, co-authoring, peer review, joint revision) through which knowledge is constructed.
- **Sociocultural Learning Environment** a climate of trust and openness that allows learners to take intellectual risks.
- **Writing Self-Efficacy** confidence in one's writing ability, built through repeated successful collaboration and constructive feedback.

5.3 Dynamic Relationships Among the Components

These components function as an interconnected system rather than independent variables. Teacher mediation strengthens classroom interaction; classroom interaction supports peer collaboration; peer collaboration facilitates mutual scaffolding; scaffolding enables more sophisticated collaborative writing; successful collaborative writing builds a supportive sociocultural environment; and that environment strengthens writing self-efficacy, which in turn encourages further participation. IWC therefore develops through this reinforcing cycle rather than a linear instructional sequence.

5.4 Interactional Written Competence as the Educational Outcome

Sustained participation in this system enables learners to communicate academic ideas effectively, negotiate meaning with diverse audiences, give and respond to constructive feedback, revise reflectively, integrate multiple perspectives into coherent arguments, and participate confidently in academic discourse communities. These capabilities extend well beyond conventional measures of writing quality, capturing the interactional processes through which academic knowledge is created and refined.

5.5 Educational Implications of the Model

The model suggests that educators should treat writing instruction as a collaborative process rather than a series of individual assignments; that meaningful interaction should surround writing activities, not follow only as post-hoc correction; that peer review and feedback should be framed as developmental rather than purely evaluative; that curricula should integrate collaborative and interdisciplinary writing projects; and that institutions should cultivate learning environments where interaction, collaboration, and learner agency are central.

Figure 2. Proposed Sociocultural Model of Collaborative Writing



Section Summary. This section presented an integrated sociocultural model linking mediation, interaction, scaffolding, and learner agency to the development of Interactional Written Competence a framework intended to guide curriculum design, instructional practice, and future research.

6. Discussion

This paper set out to reconceptualise collaborative writing as a sociocultural process contributing to Interactional Written Competence. While existing literature consistently shows that collaborative writing improves writing quality, engagement, and critical thinking, it has generally treated collaborative writing as an instructional strategy rather than a developmental mechanism explaining *how* learners become competent academic writers. The proposed framework, grounded in Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory, addresses this by positioning collaborative writing as the educational context through which learners acquire academic discourse practices negotiating meaning, refining arguments, and internalising disciplinary conventions through mediated interaction.

By introducing IWC as the outcome of this process, the framework bridges two previously separate research traditions: writing-competence models, which emphasise linguistic accuracy and textual organisation, and collaborative-writing research, which emphasises performance gains. IWC integrates both by treating communication, negotiation, reflection, and participation as constitutive of writing competence itself.

The framework also reframes writing self-efficacy. Rather than an individual psychological trait that simply *influences* writing performance, self-efficacy is here understood as itself shaped by sociocultural experience by constructive peer interaction, supportive feedback, and successful collaboration while simultaneously motivating further engagement. This reciprocal relationship, along with the model's emphasis on interaction and learner agency, aligns closely with the growing emphasis on learner-centred, collaborative, and interdisciplinary pedagogy in higher education.

The framework's principles also extend naturally to digital learning environments. Increasingly, collaborative writing occurs through cloud-based editors, learning management systems, and virtual classrooms; although developed primarily from sociocultural theory, the model's core mechanisms interaction, mediation, collaboration remain applicable regardless of technological context, and future work might extend it to examine how digital tools and artificial intelligence reshape interactional writing practices.

Limitations. The framework is conceptual and requires empirical validation across diverse higher education contexts. Additional variables disciplinary differences, multilingualism, digital literacy, institutional culture, and learner identity may also shape IWC. Finally, having been developed within higher education, the model may require adaptation before it can be applied to school education, professional training, or workplace writing contexts. These limitations do not diminish its contribution; rather, they define an agenda for continued theoretical refinement and empirical testing.

7. Educational Implications

7.1 Writing Pedagogy. Writing instruction should move beyond individual assignments toward structured collaborative experiences: discussion, peer review, reflective revision, and shared knowledge construction as a core rather than occasional component of academic writing courses.

7.2 Teacher Education. Teachers should be prepared to act as facilitators of interaction, not solely evaluators of finished texts. Professional development should emphasise mediation, scaffolding, collaborative learning strategies, and feedback literacy.

7.3 Curriculum Development. Curricula should integrate collaborative research projects, interdisciplinary writing tasks, and peer-review workshops that let learners participate actively in academic discourse communities.

7.4 Assessment. Assessment should recognise writing as developmental and collaborative: incorporating peer feedback, drafting histories, and reflective journals alongside the final product.

7.5 Educational Research. The framework establishes an agenda for future research using quantitative, qualitative, mixed-methods, longitudinal, and cross-cultural designs, including discipline-specific adaptations and digital collaborative writing environments.

Figure 3. Educational Implications of the Proposed Framework



8. Future Research Directions

- 1. Empirical Validation** testing the relationships among teacher mediation, interaction, peer collaboration, scaffolding, and IWC using quantitative, qualitative, and mixed-methods designs.
- 2. Assessment Instruments** developing valid, reliable tools that capture collaborative participation, negotiation of meaning, and reflective revision, not just grammatical accuracy.
- 3. Longitudinal Studies** tracking how IWC develops across undergraduate and postgraduate education, including critical developmental stages and long-term effects of collaborative practice.
- 4. Cross-Disciplinary Applications** examining whether the framework holds across the humanities, sciences, engineering, medicine, law, and business, and identifying discipline-specific variation.
- 5. Digital Collaborative Writing** investigating how cloud-based platforms and online peer review shape interactional writing development.
- 6. Artificial Intelligence and Academic Writing** examining how AI-assisted writing tools and intelligent feedback systems affect collaborative processes while preserving learner agency and critical thinking.
- 7. Cross-Cultural Validation** testing the framework's applicability across educational systems shaped by different cultural norms of communication, authority, and feedback.

Collectively, these directions suggest the proposed framework can support a substantial programme of theoretical and empirical scholarship on collaborative writing from a sociocultural perspective.

9. Conclusion

Academic writing remains central to higher education because it allows learners to communicate knowledge, participate in disciplinary discourse, and demonstrate intellectual competence. Writing research has traditionally treated writing primarily as an individual linguistic and cognitive activity, giving limited attention to the social processes through which it develops: an incomplete picture in an educational landscape increasingly defined by collaboration and shared knowledge construction.

Drawing on Vygotsky's Sociocultural Theory, this paper has proposed a framework in which collaborative writing

is the principal mechanism underlying the development of Interactional Written Competence a developmental process through which learners negotiate meaning, engage in reflective dialogue, respond to feedback, and internalise academic discourse practices. The paper's central contribution is IWC itself: a multidimensional construct encompassing collaborative knowledge construction, negotiation of meaning, reflective revision, audience awareness, sociocultural participation, and learner agency, integrated within a single explanatory model.

The framework also invites educators to reconsider writing's role in university curricula not solely as an assessed product but as an ongoing process of interaction, inquiry, and collaborative learning, supporting learner-centred instruction and transferable communication skills. Though conceptual, the framework is designed to be tested: through quantitative, qualitative, mixed-methods, and longitudinal research, through new assessment instruments, and through investigation of digital and AI-mediated collaborative writing environments.

Ultimately, this paper's contribution lies not in offering collaborative writing as another instructional technique, but in repositioning it as the sociocultural process through which academic writers are made. It is hoped that the concept of Interactional Written Competence will stimulate further theoretical debate, empirical validation, and pedagogical innovation in the study of academic writing and collaborative learning.

Proposed Theoretical Propositions

- **P1.** Collaborative writing promotes interactional written competence by facilitating collaborative knowledge construction.
- **P2.** Teacher mediation enhances the effectiveness of collaborative writing by providing instructional guidance and formative feedback.
- **P3.** Classroom interaction mediates the relationship between collaborative writing and interactional written competence.
- **P4.** Peer collaboration strengthens learners' capacity for negotiation of meaning and reflective revision.
- **P5.** Scaffolding supports the gradual internalisation of collaborative writing practices, leading to greater learner autonomy.
- **P6.** A supportive sociocultural learning environment positively influences engagement in collaborative writing.
- **P7.** Writing self-efficacy reinforces learners' willingness to participate actively in collaborative writing activities.
- **P8.** Interactional written competence contributes to improved academic communication, disciplinary participation, and scholarly writing.

References

1. Abe, M. (2020). Interactional competence in asynchronous collaborative writing. *System*, 94, 102338.
2. Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman.
3. Bazerman, C. (1988). *Shaping written knowledge: The genre and activity of the experimental article in science*. University of Wisconsin Press.
4. Bruffee, K. A. (1999). *Collaborative learning: Higher education, interdependence, and the authority of knowledge* (2nd ed.). Johns Hopkins University Press.
5. Canale, M. (1983). From communicative competence to communicative language pedagogy. In J. C. Richards & J. C. Schmidt (Eds.), *Language and communication* (pp. 2–27). Longman.
6. Canale, M., & Swain, M. (1980). Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. *Applied Linguistics*, 1(1), 1–47.
7. Chomsky, N. (1965). *Aspects of the theory of syntax*. MIT Press.
8. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2018). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (3rd ed.). Sage.
9. Elola, I., & Oskoz, A. (2023). Sociocultural approaches to L2 digital collaborative writing. In M. Li & M. Zhang (Eds.), *L2 Collaborative Writing in Diverse Learning Contexts* (pp. 12–31). John Benjamins.
10. Flower, L., & Hayes, J. R. (1981). A cognitive process theory of writing. *College Composition and Communication*, 32(4), 365–387.
11. Gee, J. P. (2015). *Social linguistics and literacies* (5th ed.). Routledge.
12. Hyland, K. (2003). *Second language writing*. Cambridge University Press.
13. Hyland, K. (2019). *Second language writing* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
14. Hyland, K. (2022). *Teaching and researching writing* (4th ed.). Routledge.
15. Hymes, D. (1972). On communicative competence. In J. B. Pride & J. Holmes (Eds.), *Sociolinguistics* (pp. 269–293). Penguin.
16. Ivanič, R. (1998). *Writing and identity*. John Benjamins.
17. Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2017). *Joining together: Group theory and group skills* (12th ed.). Pearson.
18. Kramsch, C. (1986). From language proficiency to interactional competence. *The Modern Language Journal*, 70(4), 366–372.
19. Krashen, S. D. (1982). *Principles and practice in second language acquisition*. Pergamon.

20. Lantolf, J. P. (2000). *Sociocultural theory and second language learning*. Oxford University Press.
21. Lantolf, J. P., & Poehner, M. E. (2014). *Sociocultural theory and the pedagogical imperative in L2 education*. Routledge.
22. Lantolf, J. P., Thorne, S. L., & Poehner, M. E. (2015). Sociocultural theory and second language development. In B. VanPatten & J. Williams (Eds.), *Theories in second language acquisition* (2nd ed., pp. 207–226). Routledge.
23. Li, M., & Zhang, M. (Eds.). (2023). *L2 Collaborative Writing in Diverse Learning Contexts*. John Benjamins.
24. Mercer, N. (2000). *Words and minds: How we use language to think together*. Routledge.
25. Norton, B. (2013). *Identity and language learning* (2nd ed.). Multilingual Matters.
26. Palincsar, A. S. (1998). Social constructivist perspectives on teaching and learning. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 49, 345–375.
27. Richards, J. C. (2006). *Communicative language teaching today*. Cambridge University Press.
28. Storch, N. (2011). Collaborative writing in L2 contexts: Processes, outcomes, and future directions. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 31, 275–288.
29. Storch, N. (2013). *Collaborative writing in L2 classrooms*. Multilingual Matters.
30. Storch, N. (2018). Collaborative writing. In *The TESOL Encyclopedia of English Language Teaching*. Wiley.
31. Swain, M. (2000). The output hypothesis and beyond: Mediating acquisition through collaborative dialogue. In J. P. Lantolf (Ed.), *Sociocultural theory and second language learning* (pp. 97–114). Oxford University Press.
32. Swain, M. (2006). Linguaging, agency and collaboration in advanced second language learning. In H. Byrnes (Ed.), *Advanced language learning* (pp. 95–108). Continuum.
33. Villamil, O. S., & Guerrero, M. C. M. (1996). Peer revision in the L2 classroom: Social-cognitive activities, mediating strategies, and aspects of social behavior. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 5(1), 51–75.
34. Villamil, O. S., & Guerrero, M. C. M. (1998). Assessing the impact of peer revision on L2 writing. *Applied Linguistics*, 19(4), 491–514.
35. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
36. Watanabe, Y., & Swain, M. (2007). Effects of proficiency differences and patterns of pair interaction on second language learning. *Language Teaching Research*, 11(2), 121–142.
37. Wenger, E. (1998). *Communities of practice: Learning, meaning, and identity*. Cambridge University Press.
38. Wood, D., Bruner, J. S., & Ross, G. (1976). The role of tutoring in problem solving. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 17(2), 89–100.
39. Young, R. F. (2008). *Language and interaction: An advanced resource book*. Routledge.
40. Young, R. F. (2011). Interactional competence in language learning, teaching, and testing. In E. Hinkel (Ed.), *Handbook of research in second language teaching and learning* (Vol. 2, pp. 426–443). Routledge.
41. Zheng, Y., Cao, Z., & Yu, S. (2026). Research into practice: Collaborative writing in L2 contexts. *Language Teaching*.