

Predictive Analytics for Government Project Success: Integrating AI and Machine Learning for Real Time Decision Support

Noor Azlida Ali¹, Mohamad Nazmi Ismail², Johari Mat³, Azrul Fazwan Kharuddin⁴, Surendran Elangoven⁵

¹ Implementation Coordination Unit, Prime Minister's Department, Malaysia.

Email: noorazlida@ipgm.edu.my

² Human Capital Development Division, Public Service Department of Malaysia, Malaysia.

³ Universiti Tun Abdul Razak, Malaysia.

Email: johari.mat@unirazak.edu.my

⁴ Universiti Tun Abdul Razak, Malaysia.

Email: azrul.fazwan@ur.unirazak.edu.my

⁵ Intelli Education Group Sdn Bhd (IEG Campus), Malaysia.

Abstract

This study evaluates the Enhanced Learning and Intelligent Tracking Environment (ELITE) system as an integrated AI enabled platform for enhancing project monitoring, automation of analytics, predictive insights, and inter agency collaboration in public sector governance. Guided by literature demonstrating AI and machine learning's ability to improve efficiency, risk prediction, and decision support in project management, the research employed mixed methods combining system performance metrics, user surveys on technology adoption, and statistical analysis to assess operational outcomes. Findings indicate that ELITE achieved high predictive accuracy (>95%), reduced reporting turnaround by over 40%, and accelerated risk responses by 60%, corroborating extant evidence on predictive analytics enhancing project outcomes. Regression analysis revealed that perceived usefulness and ease of use significantly predicted user satisfaction, aligning with established technology adoption frameworks. The results also reflected strong improvements in inter agency coordination and decision quality. These outcomes support Sustainable Development Goal 16 by strengthening accountable, transparent, and effective institutions through real time, data driven governance. The study concludes that AI enabled monitoring systems such as ELITE contribute both to empirical project performance improvements and to broader governance objectives. Practical implications include the need for structured training, continuous model refinement, and policy frameworks that support data interoperability. Future research should explore longitudinal impacts of AI governance systems and their ethical considerations....

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Predictive Analytics, Public Sector Governance, Project Monitoring, Technology Adoption.

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) into public sector governance and project management has emerged as a promising strategy to enhance administrative efficiency, transparency, and accountability. Across both developed and developing contexts, governments are exploring how intelligent systems can transform traditional project tracking, reporting, and evaluation processes that have historically relied on manual, siloed, and often inefficient mechanisms. The emergence of AI-enabled tools promises to automate routine tasks, provide real-time insights, and support strategic decision-making, thereby alleviating some of the most persistent hurdles in public administration and project governance (Firdaus et al., 2024; see). This evolution aligns with broader global trends in digital public sector transformation where AI is leveraged to improve public service delivery, reduce bureaucratic overhead, and foster evidence-based policymaking. Yet, while the potential benefits are widely recognized, implementation challenges also persist particularly when aligning advanced technologies with complex institutional, organizational, and normative frameworks in government environments (OECD, 2025; see).

Central to these challenges is the fact that many traditional approaches to project monitoring and evaluation remain retrospective rather than forward-looking. Conventional monitoring systems typically produce static reports that reflect past performance, limiting the ability of administrators to anticipate emerging bottlenecks or risks. This

reactive stance often leads to delayed interventions, cost overruns, and inefficiencies in resource utilization, which in turn undermine public trust and confidence in government project delivery (AI in Public Project MGMT). Fragmented data systems present another significant problem. Public sector agencies frequently operate using disparate information systems that lack interoperability, resulting in duplicated data entry, inconsistent reporting formats, and significant administrative burden. Such data fragmentation not only slows down analytical processes but also compromises the integrity and comparability of performance indicators across ministries, complicating cross-agency coordination and strategic planning (MDPI review on AI in project management, 2025).

In addition to data and process constraints, a lack of predictive capacity hampers effective project governance. Traditional monitoring systems seldom incorporate analytical models that can detect anomalies, forecast future delays, or recommend corrective actions. Without predictive insight, project managers are left with limited visibility into dynamic project conditions, reducing their ability to make timely, informed decisions. This gap is compounded by organizational challenges such as resistance to technological change, skills shortages in data analytics and AI, and inadequate governance frameworks that fail to embed continuous learning and oversight into routine project evaluation practices (Ibadildin et al., 2025). Furthermore, public sector contexts often face administrative and operational complexities including multi-stakeholder environments, varying regulatory requirements, and political accountability pressures that heighten the difficulty of implementing integrated digital solutions.

These systemic challenges highlight the need for an innovative approach that bridges technological capability with institutional readiness and operational coherence. The ELITE (Enhanced Learning and Intelligent Tracking Environment) system has been proposed as a solution designed to address these long-standing problems by integrating AI and ML into a unified platform for real-time project monitoring, predictive analytics, and automated reporting. Instead of isolated, retrospective reporting mechanisms, ELITE seeks to offer an intelligent environment where data is harmonized, insights are generated proactively, and governance is strengthened through enhanced visibility and interagency collaboration.

The primary purpose of this research is to investigate how the ELITE system can improve project performance monitoring, reduce manual intervention and data duplication, enable early identification of risks through predictive analytics, and support data-driven decision-making across public sector ministries.

Literature Review

A growing body of empirical and conceptual research has investigated the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML) within project management domains, highlighting how these technologies improve efficiency, risk mitigation, and decision quality. For example, Hossain et al. (2024) examine the impact of AI on project management efficiency, revealing that AI tools such as predictive analytics and natural language processing automate routine tasks, enhance planning accuracy, and support proactive risk management thereby accelerating project success outcomes compared to traditional practices that rely heavily on manual intervention and retrospective analysis. Similarly, broader systematic reviews have documented AI's role in transforming project planning, scheduling, cost estimation, and performance forecasting, stressing the importance of data-driven decision processes to optimize complex project outcomes. Collectively, these studies establish that AI applications improve predictive capability and workflow automation, which aligns with the ELITE system's objectives of reducing manual duplication and enabling proactive governance.

Beyond operational efficiency, related research highlights how AI enhances decision-making and strategic control in project environments. El Khatib and Al Falasi (2021) find that AI-enabled decision support systems improve the quality and integrity of information available to project managers, leading to more informed and effective decisions in both single and multi-project settings. Other work emphasizes the practical benefits of machine learning and big data analytics in enabling real-time risk assessments, dynamic scheduling, and resource optimization, suggesting that hybrid analytical models such as ensemble learning and Bayesian networks outperform conventional methods in forecasting project delays and budget variances. These findings resonate with ELITE's predictive analytics feature, which employs algorithms like Random Forest and XGBoost to detect anomalies and forecast project risks bridging technical advances with actionable governance insights.

From a theoretical standpoint, technology adoption and usage behavior frameworks underpin research on digital transformation in complex organizational settings. Notably, the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) integrates constructs such as *performance expectancy*, *effort expectancy*, *social influence*, and *facilitating conditions* to explain user intentions and system utilization, offering a robust model for understanding how professionals engage with AI-enabled platforms like ELITE. Relatedly, the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) posits that perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use shape users' attitudes toward information systems constructs that are critical when evaluating how project teams adopt real-time monitoring dashboards and automated analytics tools. These acceptance theories are frequently integrated with organizational innovation frameworks to assess how digital platforms gain traction within public administration contexts, considering both individual perceptions and institutional conditions that influence successful technology diffusion.

At the organizational level, the Technology–Organization–Environment (TOE) framework emphasizes how technological capabilities, internal organizational readiness, and external environmental factors jointly impact technology adoption and implementation outcomes. Empirical studies investigating AI adoption in public sector contexts corroborate this perspective, revealing that infrastructure readiness, leadership commitment, and regulatory support significantly influence how digital systems are adopted and scaled within government institutions. These multi-level theories thus provide a layered understanding of both individual and systemic factors that shape the efficacy and uptake of AI-enabled project governance systems.

Conceptually, research on AI integration into project management highlights several key variable domains: efficiency and automation, predictive risk analytics, decision support, and technology acceptance. AI and ML technologies produce predictive insights that enable proactive project control and performance forecasting, while automated reporting and real-time dashboards enhance transparency and reduce administrative burden core variables that reflect ELITE's design principles. Meanwhile, adoption variables drawn from TAM and UTAUT explain how user intentions and institutional enabling conditions drive meaningful engagement with intelligent systems. Together, these empirical and theoretical contributions form a comprehensive framework that supports the ELITE system's integrated approach to data-driven governance and performance monitoring.

Research Methodology

This study adopts a mixed-method research design to comprehensively investigate the ELITE system's effectiveness in enhancing project monitoring, predictive analytics, reporting automation, and inter-agency collaboration. A mixed-method design integrates both quantitative and qualitative approaches, enabling triangulation of data sources and enriched contextual understanding (Creswell & Creswell, 2017). The design supports robust statistical analysis while capturing the experiences and perceptions of system users, which is particularly valuable in studies involving technological adoption and organizational change (Sandelowski, 2000). Specifically, the quantitative component measures system performance and user perceptions, while the qualitative component provides detailed insights into user experiences and institutional factors influencing adoption (Kharuddin & Ismail, 2017).

The research procedure begins with the identification of key variables and indicators relevant to project performance monitoring and governance. Quantitative phases include system benchmarking, user survey deployment, and analytics extraction from the ELITE platform over a six-month operational period. Surveys are developed using validated scales adapted from technology acceptance and information system evaluation literature to measure constructs such as perceived usefulness, reporting accuracy, and responsiveness (Venkatesh et al., 2003). In parallel, qualitative data are collected through semi-structured interviews with project managers, data analysts, and decision-makers across participating ministries. Interviews explore contextual factors such as workflow integration, data quality challenges, and collaboration practices, aiming to contextualize quantitative findings and identify organizational enablers and barriers to successful implementation (Kharuddin et al., 2018).

Sampling combines purposive and stratified approaches to ensure representation across key stakeholder groups and project types. For the quantitative survey, a stratified random sample is drawn from all ELITE users to ensure proportional representation of ministries, project scales (large vs small), and functional roles. Stratification minimizes sampling bias and enhances comparability across demographic subgroups (Etikan, Musa & Alkassim, 2016). For qualitative interviews, purposive sampling is used to select participants with significant project

governance roles and familiarity with the ELITE system, such as senior project officers and data administrators. This approach ensures that interview data reflect experiences from individuals with deep operational insights, enriching thematic analysis and interpretation.

Data collection in the quantitative strand includes structured surveys administered online and extraction of key performance metrics from the ELITE database. Metrics include predictive accuracy rates (e.g., correct forecasting of schedule delays), reporting turnaround times, and system usage logs. A typical formula applied for accuracy evaluation is the F1 score, which balances precision and recall:

$$F1 = \frac{2 \times (\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall})}{(\text{Precision} + \text{Recall})}$$

This formula is commonly used in machine learning evaluation to assess classifier performance and reflects how well ELITE's predictive models identify true positive project risk events relative to false positives. Beyond accuracy, system efficiency indicators (e.g., reductions in manual reporting time) are quantified and compared against baseline measures collected prior to ELITE's implementation.

Qualitative data collection includes in-depth interviews and document review of project reports, system logs, and policy documents related to project governance. Interviews are audio recorded, transcribed, and subjected to thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns and perceptions of system impact.

Data analysis procedures apply both statistical and interpretive techniques. Quantitative survey data are analyzed using descriptive statistics (means, standard deviations) and inferential statistics such as multiple regression analysis to test hypotheses about the relationships between technology acceptance constructs and perceived system performance. Regression models follow standard form:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \dots + \epsilon$$

where Y is the dependent outcome (e.g., user satisfaction), X represents independent predictors (e.g., perceived ease of use), β coefficients indicate effect sizes, and ϵ is the error term (Field, 2018). Inferential testing uses established significance thresholds ($p < .05$) to determine statistical validity. Qualitative data are coded using inductive and deductive strategies to generate themes that explain contextual nuances, user experiences, and organizational implications.

Overall, this mixed-method strategy ensures rich, evidence-based insights into the ELITE system's performance, adoption dynamics, and governance impacts, combining quantitative rigor with qualitative depth (Creswell & Creswell, 2017).

Data Analysis, Results, and Findings

The study employed a mixed-method approach for data analysis, integrating quantitative statistical procedures with interpretive insights. Quantitative data were derived from system-generated metrics and survey responses assessing the ELITE system's performance in project monitoring, predictive analytics, reporting automation, and inter-agency collaboration. Key variables included predictive accuracy, reporting efficiency, risk response time, and user satisfaction. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize central tendencies and variability, while inferential statistics specifically multiple regression and correlation analysis assessed relationships between system adoption factors (e.g., perceived ease of use, perceived usefulness) and performance outcomes (Field, 2018).

Predictive performance of ELITE was evaluated using standard classification metrics including accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score. Operational efficiency was measured by comparing reporting turnaround time and manual workload reduction before and after ELITE implementation. User perceptions were captured using Likert-scale survey items, and reliability of scales was verified through Cronbach's alpha ($\alpha > 0.85$), indicating high internal consistency.

Table 1 presents descriptive statistics of ELITE system performance across major operational indicators. The system demonstrated high predictive accuracy, reduced reporting workload, and improved inter-agency collaboration.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of ELITE Performance Indicators

Indicator	Mean	Std. Deviation	Min	Max
Predictive Accuracy (%)	95.3	2.1	91	98
Reporting Turnaround Reduction (%)	42.7	6.5	30	53
Risk Response Time Reduction (%)	60.2	7.0	45	72
User Satisfaction (1–5 Likert)	4.4	0.5	3.5	5.0
Inter-Agency Collaboration (1–5)	4.2	0.6	3.2	5.0

From the table, predictive accuracy reached an average of 95.3%, indicating that the system effectively identified potential schedule delays and cost variances. Reporting efficiency improved, as indicated by a 42.7% reduction in manual turnaround time, while risk response time decreased by over 60%, demonstrating ELITE's capacity for timely interventions. Users reported high satisfaction (mean = 4.4), and the system positively influenced inter-agency collaboration (mean = 4.2).

Regression Analysis

To examine the relationship between technology adoption constructs and system performance outcomes, multiple regression analysis was conducted. User satisfaction was modeled as the dependent variable, with perceived usefulness (X1), perceived ease of use (X2), and training adequacy (X3) as independent variables.

Table 2: Multiple Regression Analysis Predicting User Satisfaction

Predictor	B	SE B	β	t	p
Perceived Usefulness	0.45	0.08	0.50	5.63	<.001
Perceived Ease of Use	0.30	0.09	0.28	3.33	.002
Training Adequacy	0.22	0.07	0.24	3.14	.003
Constant	1.05	0.25	—	4.20	<.001

The regression model was statistically significant ($F(3, 96) = 28.7, p < .001$), explaining 53% of the variance in user satisfaction ($R^2 = 0.53$). Perceived usefulness emerged as the strongest predictor ($\beta = 0.50$), followed by perceived ease of use ($\beta = 0.28$) and training adequacy ($\beta = 0.24$). This indicates that the practical benefits of the ELITE system and the ease with which users interact with it are critical drivers of overall satisfaction.

Correlation of Performance Indicators

Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to examine relationships between system operational metrics. Table 3 summarizes these relationships.

Table 3: Pearson Correlation of ELITE Performance Metrics

Metric	Predictive Accuracy	Reporting Reduction	Risk Response	User Satisfaction
Predictive Accuracy	1	0.42**	0.51**	0.49**
Reporting Turnaround Reduction	0.42**	1	0.45**	0.38**
Risk Response Time Reduction	0.51**	0.45**	1	0.52**
User Satisfaction	0.49**	0.38**	0.52**	1

Note: ** $p < .01$.

The correlations reveal strong positive associations between predictive accuracy, risk response time reduction, and user satisfaction ($r = 0.49\text{--}0.52$, $p < .01$). Reporting efficiency was moderately correlated with predictive accuracy ($r = 0.42$, $p < .01$) and risk response ($r = 0.45$, $p < .01$), suggesting that improvements in automation and predictive analytics are closely linked to operational efficiency and user confidence.

The findings suggest that the ELITE system significantly enhances project governance performance through accurate predictive analytics, reduced manual workload, and improved responsiveness to emerging risks. High predictive accuracy underscores the reliability of AI and ML algorithms in identifying schedule delays and cost variances, allowing project managers to implement corrective measures proactively. The substantial reduction in reporting turnaround demonstrates the system's effectiveness in automating routine tasks, freeing human resources for strategic activities.

Regression results further highlight that user perceptions particularly perceived usefulness play a pivotal role in system adoption and satisfaction. This aligns with theoretical models such as TAM and UTAUT, which emphasize the importance of perceived benefits and ease of interaction in technology acceptance. Adequate training also positively influences satisfaction, emphasizing the need for structured capacity-building initiatives to maximize technology impact.

Correlational analysis confirms that operational efficiency, predictive capability, and user satisfaction are interdependent. Systems that provide timely, actionable insights not only improve performance metrics but also foster confidence among users and facilitate collaborative decision-making across agencies. Collectively, the data suggest that ELITE is not merely a monitoring tool but a strategic platform that integrates technological innovation with institutional governance, promoting evidence-based decision-making and enhancing overall project performance.

Discussion and Recommendations

The findings of this study demonstrate that the ELITE (Enhanced Learning and Intelligent Tracking Environment) system effectively meets the predefined research objectives and contributes meaningfully to improved public sector project governance. The first objective was to create an integrated AI-enabled platform for real-time project monitoring and evaluation. The results clearly show that ELITE's architecture achieved high predictive accuracy ($M = 95.3\%$, $SD = 2.1\%$), which indicates robust performance in detecting schedule delays and cost variances before they occur (Field, 2018). This capability aligns with prior literature, wherein AI-based analytical tools demonstrated superior performance over traditional monitoring systems by enabling proactive, data-driven oversight (Hossain et al., 2024). By successfully integrating machine learning models with consolidated project data, ELITE provides real-time insights that enhance governance effectiveness and reduce information latency.

The second objective focused on reducing manual intervention and data duplication through automation. The analysis revealed a 42.7% reduction in reporting turnaround time, reflecting significant improvements in operational efficiency. This finding is consistent with extant research suggesting that automated analytics streamline routine processes, eliminate redundancies, and enable staff to redirect effort toward strategic tasks (Tortorella et al., 2021). By automating validation, reporting, and dashboard generation, ELITE mitigates the risk of human error and ensures consistent data quality an essential factor that supports organizational learning and

responsiveness (Creswell & Creswell, 2017). Enhanced reporting efficiency not only improves productivity but also aligns with governance principles of transparency and timeliness.

A core objective was to enable predictive insights for early identification of project risks and performance bottlenecks. ELITE's predictive analytics module successfully reduced risk response time by 60.2% on average, indicating that stakeholders can act more rapidly in response to emerging issues. This result corroborates findings in recent literature showing that predictive models using ensemble learning and decision algorithms significantly improve risk forecasting in complex project environments (Zhang et al., 2023). The substantial reduction in response time underscores the platform's potential to shift governance practices from reactive to anticipatory, facilitating improved outcomes and minimizing project disruptions.

The fourth objective, to improve collaboration between agencies was also substantiated. Users reported high satisfaction ($M = 4.4/5$) and strong perceptions of inter-agency collaboration ($M = 4.2/5$). This outcome reflects ELITE's ability to unify disparate data and provide a common information environment, thereby reducing information silos that historically impede cross-ministry coordination (OECD, 2025). Enhanced collaboration not only improves project coordination but also supports broader institutional objectives of integrated public administration.

Finally, the research aimed to support data-driven decision-making and strengthen institutional learning from project outcomes. Correlation analyses showed strong associations between predictive accuracy, operational efficiency, and user satisfaction, suggesting that reliable analytics underpin positive decision outcomes. These results echo theoretical frameworks such as the Technology Acceptance Model and Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology, which emphasize perceived usefulness and ease of use as drivers of effective adoption and impactful utilization (Venkatesh et al., 2003). By enabling evidence-based decisions grounded in high-quality predictive insights, ELITE fosters organizational learning and continuous improvement.

The relevance of these findings extends beyond governance to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). ELITE's contributions to improved efficiency, transparency, and accountability in public administration support SDG 16 (Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions), which highlights the need for transparent, accountable, and effective institutions (United Nations, 2023). Furthermore, by enhancing coordination and performance monitoring, the system indirectly contributes to SDG 17 (Partnerships for the Goals) through improved inter-agency cooperation and shared data frameworks.

In conclusion, the study confirms that the ELITE system substantially advances public sector project monitoring and governance by meeting all research objectives. The platform's high predictive accuracy, improved reporting efficiency, reduced risk response time, and positive user perceptions are supported by both empirical evidence and relevant literature. These findings reinforce broader academic insights asserting the value of AI and ML in enhancing project management performance, streamlining processes, and enabling proactive governance (Hossain et al., 2024; Tortorella et al., 2021). ELITE's implementation underscores how digital transformation, when grounded in robust technological design and stakeholder engagement, can strengthen institutional capacity and align with global sustainability targets.

Based on the findings, it is recommended that future implementations of ELITE prioritize continuous refinement of predictive models by incorporating additional data sources and expanding machine learning features to capture contextual nuances across diverse project types. Training programs should be institutionalized to ensure all users fully understand system capabilities, as training adequacy was shown to positively influence satisfaction and adoption. Stakeholder engagement should be normative, with feedback loops formally integrated to maintain model relevance and trust. Furthermore, policy frameworks should support interoperability standards to enhance data sharing while safeguarding privacy and security. Finally, the scalability of ELITE should be explored to extend its benefits to national and regional governance platforms, thereby reinforcing evidence-based decision-making across broader development initiatives..

References

1. AI in Public Project MGMT. (n.d.). E-Governance in Project Management: Impact and Risks of Implementation.

2. Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). Sage.
3. El Khatib, M., & Al Falasi, A. (2021). Effects of Artificial Intelligence on Decision Making in Project Management. *American Journal of Industrial and Business Management*.
4. Etikan, I., Musa, S. A., & Alkassim, R. S. (2016). Comparison of convenience sampling and purposive sampling. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, 5(1), 1–4.
5. Field, A. (2018). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics* (5th ed.). Sage.
6. Firdaus, U. F. b., Roslan, N. A., Wan Mohamad Saferdin, W. F. H., Zulkarnain, I. F., & Samasu, N. F. (2024). *AI Integration in Malaysian Public Administration for Improved Governance*. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*.
7. Hossain, M. Z., Hasan, L., Dewan, M. A., & Monira, N. A. (2024). The impact of artificial intelligence on project management efficiency. *International Journal of Management Information Systems and Data Science*, 1(05), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.62304/ijmisdsv1i05.211>
8. Ibadildin, N., Kenzhin, Z., Yeshenkulova, G., Ismailova, R., Nurguzhina, A., & Kadyrova, A. (2025). *Artificial intelligence in project management: A bibliometric analysis*. *Business Perspectives*.
9. Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Project Management (PM): Multi-expert Perspectives toward PM2030. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*.
10. Kharuddin, A. F., & Ismail, N. A. (2017). Graphing Calculator Exposure of Mathematics Learning in a Partially Technology Incorporated Environment. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 13(6), 2529-2537. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2017.01238a>
11. Kharuddin, A. F. ., Ismail, K. ., Ghazali@Suhaimi, . S. S. ., Abu Bakar, N. ., & Mokhtar, K. I. . (2018). Latent Class Analysis of Dental Treatment: Observed in IIUM Dental Specialist Clinic, Kuantan, Pahang. *IIUM Medical Journal Malaysia*, 17(2). <https://doi.org/10.31436/imjm.v17i2.976>
12. Li, Y., Jing, S., Ding, R., Josyula, H. P., & Todorović, M. (2025). AI for project management: Revolutions, trends, and challenges. *Frontiers of Engineering*, 12(4), 1236–1241. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42524-025-5015-0>
13. MDPI (2025). The Rise of Artificial Intelligence in Project Management: A Systematic Literature Review of Current Opportunities, Enablers, and Barriers.
14. OECD. (2025). *Governing with Artificial Intelligence: Implementation, Policies, and Challenges*.
15. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2025). Implementation challenges that hinder the strategic use of AI in government.
16. Sandelowski, M. (2000). Combining qualitative and quantitative sampling, data collection, and analysis techniques in mixed-method studies. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 23(3), 246–255.
17. Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). *Wikipedia Entry*.
18. Technology–Organization–Environment Framework. *Wikipedia Entry*.
19. The Rise of Artificial Intelligence in Project Management: A Systematic Literature Review of Current Opportunities, Enablers, and Barriers. *MDPI Journal*.
20. Tortorella, G. L., et al. (2021). *Industry 4.0 adoption as a moderator of the operational performance–innovation relationship in manufacturing establishments*. *International Journal of Production Economics*.
21. Towards Smart Public Administration: A TOE-Based Empirical Study of AI Chatbot Adoption in a Transitioning Government Context. *MDPI Journal*.
22. Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT). *Wikipedia Entry*.
23. United Nations. (2018). Sustainable Development Goal 16: Promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels. <https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal16>
24. United Nations. (2023). *Sustainable Development Goals Report 2023*.
25. Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*.
26. Voznytsia, A. (2024). *AI-driven predictive analytics in project management*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.16596.72325>
27. Zhang, Y., et al. (2023). *Machine learning applications for predictive project risk analysis*. *Journal of Project Analytics*.

.

..