

Between Canon and Archive: Colonial Inheritance and National Memory in Anuja Chauhan's *The Zoya Factor*.

Varshini Priya B.^{1*}, Dr. Kalaiselvi R. H.²

¹ Research Scholar, Department of English, Auxilium College (Autonomous), Vellore-6, affiliated to Thiruvalluvar University, Serkkadu, Vellore-632115, Tamil Nadu, India.

Email: varshupriya02@gmail.com

² Assistant Professor, Department of English, Auxilium College (Autonomous), Vellore-6, affiliated to Thiruvalluvar University, Serkkadu, Vellore-632115, Tamil Nadu, India.

Corresponding Author

Varshini Priya B.

Email: varshupriya02@gmail.com

Abstract

Cricket holds a significant place in Indian cultural consciousness. It was brought in and introduced under British rule, which has now grown to become one of the most powerful symbols of postcolonial national pride. The present paper explores that paradox through Anuja Chauhan's novel, *The Zoya Factor* (2008), by questioning how a colonial inheritance is transformed into an object of collective belonging without its colonial past disappearing altogether. While the novel celebrates India's first World Cup triumph in 1983 as a pivotal moment in national selfhood, the colonial histories that constituted the sport are excluded from the frame. Racial hierarchies that are embedded in institutions like the Bombay Quadrangular and the imperial structures in which cricket found its footing in India are neither challenged nor denied. Rather, they become subsumed by the other characters' triumphs, hopes, and shared joy as the novel focuses on these themes. The paper employs Aleida Assmann's distinction between canon and archive, as developed in her article *Canon and Archive* and her book *Cultural Memory and Western Civilization*, to present the view that selectivity is not an absence, but a structural characteristic of cultural memory. The colonial history of cricket is still present in the sphere of the archive, albeit in a different place from the sphere of functional memory, which is productive of contemporary national identity. Through close reading, the paper demonstrates how the novel shifts the focus from the colonial past to the postcolonial present, and how the sport of cricket becomes a more universalistic symbol of accomplishment than of colonial rule. Therefore, the study posits that *The Zoya Factor* exemplifies a wider form of postcolonial remembering in which colonial history is neither erased nor fully foregrounded. Rather, it exists in archival reserve while narratives of sporting triumph become canonical, showing how popular fiction plays a significant role in the continuing cultural reorganization of the colonial past into the future-oriented national imaginary.

Keywords: Cricket Nationalism, Postcolonial Identity, Cultural Memory, Canon and Archive, Anuja Chauhan, Functional Memory..

Introduction

Cricket was not an indigenous game of India; it was introduced here as a part of British colonial culture, which was initially played among British colonial administrators and military officers. It gradually became popular among the Indian subjects and was frequently spread through racial and communal clubs. At the end of the Twentieth century, cricket became a great symbol of Indian nationalism, creating a bond between various Indian communities with different languages and religions. This phenomenon brings in a pertinent question: how does a colonial institution get transformed into a postcolonial symbol of national pride? What cultural processes are required for this transformation to happen, so that the colonial past is not so prominent in the collective memory? *The Zoya Factor* by Anuja Chauhan is a literary case study and is analyzed in the light of cultural memory studies, especially through Aleida Assmann's concept of canon and archive. The novel's portrayal of cricket is presented as a mechanism of a wider culture, with the colonial context being noted, but often, an inactive archive. The post-colonial success, such as India's 1983 World Cup triumph, on the other hand, is celebrated and has become a part of the culture, less a historical fact and more a legend. This selective representation is a basis for the unity of the nation, as demonstrated in the novel, which portrays the process of this substitution. The paper does not use a historical argument to clarify this transformation; instead, it uses an in-depth analysis of the text. Memories of the past are gradually replaced by moments of joy, laughter, love, and shared expectations. Cricket's legacy as an imperial sport remains in the 'cultural memory,' but it is predominantly 'archival' knowledge. The memory of a national victory, on the other hand, is made canonical by its repeated expression and emotional investment. In this sense, *The Zoya Factor* is an example of a broader process of postcolonial remembrance, where the inherited

colonial structures are not rejected outright but are instead re-represented in a way that allows the borrowed institution to become a permanent symbol of national identity and pride.

Literature Review

The Zoya Factor has been studied from various perspectives by existing scholarships. Kulkarni places the novel firmly within the realm of Indian cricket fiction, pointing out that, throughout the book, cricket is a metaphor for the love of the nation, and that despite its particular focus on India, the novel draws parallels to a longer history of cricket in Anglophone fiction, as it also features in Victorian, Australian and New Zealand fiction (Kulkarni 38–39). This genealogy is relevant to the current discussion because it situates the writing of a cricket in an imperial literary tradition while the text of cricket writing becomes a means by which the reader is encouraged to express postcolonial feeling. Trivedi and Kushwaha explore the comic and romantic tone of the novel, noting that cricket is a national passion that provides the backdrop for the romance that unfolds for a wide audience (626–628).

There is also criticism around gender, consumerism and the commercialization of the novel's female protagonist, Zoya, who, as an agent of the cricket board, exemplifies how agency and image management are linked (Chadha and Saraswat 934–951). A further strand situates the novel through the literary geography of Delhi; here Chauhan's post-liberal Delhi "steers clear of the historical density found in more pre-liberal images of Delhi" (Sethi 94). None of this scholarship directly focuses on the colonial institutional history of cricket as a structuring absence in the novel's sporting nationalism. This article fills that niche by theorizing the novel's link with affective intensity and its structural inheritance of unnarrated material, using the cultural memory framework of Aleida Assman.

Methodology and Theoretical Framework

The present article employs a qualitative, close reading of the text, *The Zoya Factor*, working between the two poles of cultural canon and archive, as posited by the work of Aleida Assmann. According to Assmann, cultural memory functions on two complementary registers. The canon, or working memory, consists of a limited set of normative and formative texts, places, persons, artifacts, and myths that are intended for active circulation and communication in varied presentations and performances (Assmann, "Canon and Archive" 100). The archive, or storage memory, is defined as being "an abstract and amorphous realm" of preserved but uninhabited material: available for retrieval but not actively, affectively circulated (Assmann, Cultural Memory 397). Assmann explains that the archive is not erasure, because, though their immediate addresses may be lost, "their archive is open to new contexts" and can be reinterpreted (Assmann, "Canon and Archive" 99). This distinction is used to analyze the functioning of cultural memory in a popular novel, in everyday narrative structures, where ideological implications are often not explicitly acknowledged.

The methodology is divided into three parts. First, it outlines the elements of the novel and highlights those moments when the cricket nationalism of the novel or its characters is given significant narrative, emotional, and comedic space, emphasizing the moment of the World Cup win as a marker of individual and collective identity. Secondly, it traces the institutional history of cricket in India during the colonial era, highlighting the racially divided tournament cricket of early days and the administrative structure from which the existing cricket board evolved. Third, it examines the structural relationship between those registers, the need of the archival register's inertia in order to sustain the coherence of the canonical register as a representation of national pride.

Analysis

The chick lit, *The Zoya Factor*, centers on the 2011 Cricket World Cup. It is about the advertising woman named Zoya Solanki, who was born on the very day India won the World Cup in 1983. She meets the Indian cricket team as part of her job and finds herself in the middle of it. The team players and coaching staff believe that her presence brings them success. The narrative follows the team's involvement in an upcoming World Cup match, with a touch of comedy and love from the clash of the two characters, Zoya and Nikhil Khoda, representing superstition and rational discipline. In the novel, cricket serves as a pivotal institution that structures a variety of elements such as courtship, career paths, family stories, and national feeling. The author uses a comic style, mocks the advertising business, and uses a romantic plot to ensure that cricket has an almost sacred cultural role. This significance is created not through a systematic study of the historical development of the sport in India over the past hundred years, but through repeated references to the 1983 win. The imbalance between a strong narrative investment in a singular historical moment and a general narrative silence about the preceding century is what is going to be the focus of the analysis.

The most distinguishable example of the novel's canonizing function is the retro narrative of the birth of Zoya by her family and her family's friends. Gajju recalls the occasion, asserting that she is "born lucky" and directly linking this assertion to the day of the "Prudential Cup." He proclaims to Zoya's father that the child is "the reason we have won" (Chauhan 147). Furthermore, he expresses a desire for the family to name the child "Nike," identifying her with "the Greek goddess of victory" (Chauhan 147). This is the passage alluding to the entire

canonizing mechanism described in this article. Instead of the actual institutional history of the sport that is being described, Gajju uses classical mythology, even invoking a deity that has no connection to the colonial cricket administration that organized the Prudential Cup tournament in the first instance. Within Assmann's framework, this scenario exemplifies the exact opposite of the historical interrogation of working memory as a structure that is constructed from a narrow range of formative figures and moments that are to be actively disseminated and presented in different ways, not in the form of a historical investigation (Assmann, "Canon and Archive" 100). In this scene, the 1983 victory is not recalled as historical fact; it is rendered as myth. The performance necessitates a replacement of a timeless mythological narrative for a historically specific colonial context.

The main character and captain of the Indian cricket team, Nikhil Khoda, is the representative of the canonical register as he values self-generated discipline, not superstition, as others do. In a key speech to Zoya, he makes it clear that the team can only succeed if they are prepared, as the players have won victories "because we have all trained with single-minded determination for the past six months" and "this team is slowly learning to have faith in itself" (Chauhan 78). The term "in itself" is highly loaded with an ideology that is part of the canon that is theological and spiritual. In Khoda's vision of a postcolonial sporting identity, the team's achievements are attributable to the will and effort in this moment and in the particular place alone, rather than to the context in which the game was initially played, the colonial era, and the racially divided early tournaments that created Indian cricket. Self-belief, which is a divine mandate of Khoda, requires that one leave history behind. In the light of Assmann's conception of canonization as a process of a continuing reaffirmation in the present that secures "a lasting place in the cultural working memory of a society" (100), Khoda's remark emerges as a ritualistic reaffirmation of the canon itself. It is a reaffirmation of national potential in the present and the future, one that deliberately excludes the historical context in which this potential was institutionalized.

The novel's intense canonical moments are contrasted with the archival framework, as it structurally inherits but never narrates with the same intensity. Cricket was introduced to India through British colonial administration, and its earliest organized form on the Subcontinent, the Bombay tournament evolved into the Quadrangular and then the Pentangular. These tournaments clearly divided the competing teams by communal and racial divisions, and there were separate teams for Europeans, Parsis, Muslims, and Hindus. The national cricket association of India was only formed in 1928, sometime after colonial administrative culture had already taken a toll on the institution of cricket on the subcontinent. The 1983 victory, which the novel mythologizes through Gajju's Nike comparison, took place at Lord's—the symbolic center of the sport's English origins. Consequently, the moment of national pride is enacted within the very colonial framework that the narrative appears to transcend, according to its emotional logic. The direct institutional descendant of this colonial administrative history is the cricket board's offer of a commercial endorsement contract to Zoya, which is clearly evident in the institutional plot of the narrative. Chauhan does not explicitly state this, but it is clear that the cricket board is a direct descendant of this colonial administrative history, extending a commercial endorsement contract to Zoya. Assmann's insights regarding the archive provide further clarification: archived materials have, as she states, "only lost their immediate addressees" and have become "de-contextualized and disconnected from their former frames which had authorized them or determined their meaning," even while remaining accessible for retrieval (Assmann, "Canon and Archive" 99). The institutional authority of the cricket board over Zoya is a form of such a legitimizing archive, but one that does not explicitly call out its colonial past.

This structural split becomes most analytically significant when read alongside Carvalho's observation that the novel organizes its central characters around a sustained duality, opposing "belief in the self-versus belief in luck, rationality versus emotions, head versus heart, Western versus Indian" (Carvalho). This critical perspective, when interpreted historically rather than solely psychologically, suggests that the extension of the novel itself does not explore cricket, which represents the Western, colonial element within this opposition, whereas the superstitious and talismanic engagement with the game, exemplified by Gajju's mythologizing of Zoya's birth, serves as one of the mechanisms through which a colonized population has historically navigated an imposed institution. Chauhan's novel hints at this duality but does not take the conflict between Khoda and Zoya into institutional or colonial history, instead returning to the frameworks of romance and personal psychology. Kulkarni's broader assertion that cricket fiction within the Anglophone tradition traces back through "Victorian, Australian, and New Zealand cricket fiction," wherein "some of the greatest English writers of the Edwardian period wrote about cricket," situates Chauhan's novel within a literary genre whose origins are imperial, even as its content, in this instance, is exclusively appropriated to postcolonial objectives (qtd. in Kulkarni 39). Thus, the archive persists not only in the institutional history recounted by the novel but also within the very literary genre that the novel occupies.

The conclusions reached here indicate not only an ambiguous cultural trend, but also a clear-cut mechanism. The novel does not simply silence the colonial history of cricket; it actively looks for different ways of narrating it – Gajju uses classical mythology and Khoda contemporary self-determination – when the colonial narrative might otherwise emerge. Assmann's description of canonization as an "ever new circle of circulation and communicat[ion]" ("Canon and Archive" 100) is fitting for Gajju's constant re-telling of Zoya's birth as well as

Khoda's constant assertions of his team's self-belief throughout the novel. This is not forgetting, but it is a long and repeated action, which preserves the archive whole in an active way, keeping the canon alive.

The formal mechanism of this substitution is interesting, since it goes beyond the individual lines to the overall rhythm of the text. It is a date that is mentioned in the novel when the emotions are strong, before the games are played, during press conferences, and in private conversations between Zoya and her family, as a refrain. There is no new historical material introduced - each return is an emotional investment which is re-performed. This is consistent with Assmann's statement: "The canon lives on in 'repeatable acts of ritual commemoration', which are linked to periodic feasts and to dates recur in the community's calendar" (Cultural Memory 397). In the novel, the World Cup of 1983 serves almost as a feast day in its own right, with its meaning not based on a comprehensive historical exploration of the origins of the institution that was the site of the event, but on how often it is retold and how emotionally consistent it is. The rhythm of the colonial history of the Bombay Quadrangular, the racialization of early Indian cricket, and the establishment of the national board in 1928, however, are not a part of the story itself, but rather are referred to in the scholarly apparatus that surrounds the text, if they are mentioned at all, outside of the emotional warp and woof of the narrative. The only thing that is clearly marked is the asymmetry of the repetition: When the repetition is there, it is the canon. When it is not, even if it is historically there, it goes into the archive.

Discussion and Findings

The key observation that comes from this analysis is that the novel's ability to generate simple forms of national pride depends upon its ability to keep the colonial archive in a state of imperceptibility rather than on its complete disappearance. The institutional legacy of racially segregated tournament cricket, the symbolic meaning of winning the trophy in particular at Lord's, are still present in the novel's institutional architecture, the cricket board, the tournament system and the game itself bear witness to the material inheritance of colonialism. The close reading shows that whenever this archive is brought forward within the narrative, as in the case of the birth of Zoya, or Khoda's call for team self-belief, the text uses another register, mythological or expressed in the present tense through will, in an inert way and thus continues to preserve the colonial underpinnings. If the story of racially segregated tournament cricket or the symbolic meanings of its success were as much told as was the talismanic birth-coincidence, the sense of pride would inevitably be more complex. It would involve a consideration of what success in an inherited colonial form means and what it means to be successful in a form that is still considered a postcolonial form, but one that is at the same time still defined by the conditions of the colonial form in which it operates. The comedic, future-oriented, romance-driven narrative mode of the novel cannot handle such complexity, and the colonial substrate is delicately set aside in Assmann's conceptualization but not repressed; it remains available but unperformed.

This finding contributes to the existing scholarly discussion in a particular direction. Previous criticisms have focused on the novel's exploration of gender, commodification, and urban settings; this analysis, however, elucidates that the same selective, lightly historicized narrative strategy identified concerning the treatment of Delhi—the avoidance of historical complexities (Literary Voice 94)—also applies to the depiction of cricket. The narrative habit is not exclusive to the urban context but is more a technique that Chauhan's fiction uses to create an Indian identity in the future and an "here and now" India, while lightly bracketing the historical complexities wherever they might hamper pride. Building on the idea that cricket can serve as a unifying "national obsession" with a narrative backdrop for romance, as Trivedi and Kushwaha argue (626), the current analysis suggests that this function is not merely ancillary to the comic and romantic pleasures of the novel but is instead actively contingent on the archival elements lying inert. A cricket nationalism complicated by colonial history would be a less effective means of the uncomplicated emotional unity the novel's comedic register would promote.

An additional finding concerns the role of genre in facilitating this structural dependency. Chauhan's narrative modes of romance and comedy are more concerned with resolution and onward movement toward a satisfactory ending than with historical reckoning. Chadha and Saraswat's exploration of Zoya's commodification under the contractual rule of the cricket board shows that the novel is able to make a critical engagement with gender and corporate rule (49-69). This ability to complexity makes it particularly significant that this colonial institutional history is not yet matched by any comparable critical attention. The novel is not without complexity; rather, it turns the power of complexity toward other things, toward gender and consumer culture, letting the colonial genealogy of cricket take its place "the space of the archive" in Assmann's terms, "de-contextualized" and "open to new contexts," but not activated as a narrative subject worthy of their attention. This partial attention of the critical eye suggests that the canon, and the archive structure that it produces, is not a common feature of a novel's engagement with history but a targeted and selected one, more specifically, one involving cricket and national pride.

Conclusion

The Zoya Factor does not offer a falsehood about the history of cricket, instead it steers clear of it. In this intentional omission, which is asserted consistently over the course of one popular romance, the story documents a post-colonial nation struggling with the burden it cannot fully assert and yet which it can still use for its own purposes. There are two record books kept in every country. The first is written in bright ink and is honored each year on the anniversary of the sport, to the degree that the names of the participants take on a godly quality; the other is written with a lighter hand, locked in a drawer that is unused, and documents how the sport was introduced to the nation, by whom, under what rules, and on what terms. In this sense, The Zoya Factor presents itself as a story about the first record book, but with deliberate neglect of the second one, which remains unread but unforgotten, hidden under each page. Gajju opts for Nike instead of the Bombay Quadrangular, as myth is more easily embraced than history. Khoda tells his team that they must believe only in themselves, implying that if they were to carry some of the old pain with them, then they would not have any faith in anything. This is not merely an act of deception, but rather a manifestation of the age-old mechanism employed by memory within a youthful nation: showcasing the trophy in the foreground while allowing the empire that erected the stadium to gather dust in obscurity to avoid bringing back the harsh past. In Chauhan's narrative, cricket is a wholly Indian game because the colonial roots are archived and not ignored.

Future Scope

The purpose of this study has been to focus on a single novel and one thematic focus. The results obtained, however, suggest several potential lines of further research. A comparative analysis that also includes other popular cricket narratives, including journalistic works, sports memoirs, and television broadcasts of big cricket matches during the major tournaments, could be used to determine if the structural relationship between the heroic victories and the archived colonial origins is uniform in other, less obviously related genres. Furthermore, further research might explore the extent to which contemporary nonfiction representations of Indian cricket are more likely to include the sport's colonial past as part of its national success rather than background histories that are suppressed. This proclivity may have some linkage with changing attitudes of Indian viewers towards "sporting nationalism." Lastly, the methodology applied in this study, which utilizes Assmann's distinction between canon and archive in the context of popular fiction rather than in relation to monuments or state rituals, may be extrapolated to other postcolonial cultural forms. These forms could be those where a colonial institution has been domesticated in a simple nationalistic way, like sports, languages, and civic institutions throughout the post-colonial context...

References

1. Assmann, A. (2008). Canon and archive. *Cultural Memory Studies: An International and Interdisciplinary Handbook*, edited by Astrid Erll and Ansgar Nünning, Walter de Gruyter, pp. 97–107.
2. Assmann, A. (2011). *Cultural Memory and Western Civilization: Functions, Media, Archives*. Cambridge UP.
3. Carvalho, C. (2021). National romances: Singleton desire and the discovery of India in chick lit narratives. *South Asia: Journal of South Asian Studies*, 44(5), 834–850.
4. Chadha, A. K., & Saraswat, S. (2024). Navigating socio-cultural realities through the lens of modern Indian womanhood in Anuja Chauhan's *The Zoya Factor*, *Those Pricey Thakur Girls* and *Baaz*. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts*, 12(4).
5. Chauhan, A. (2008). *The Zoya Factor*. HarperCollins India.
6. Kulkarni, V. V. (2023). A critical study of Anuja Chauhan's *The Zoya Factor* as Indian cricket fiction. *International Journal of Advance and Applied Research*, 10(3), 38–41.
7. Sethi, R. (2022). Spatial stories of the everyday and the ordinary in Anuja Chauhan's *The Zoya Factor*. *Literary Voice*, 17(1), 93–98.
8. Trivedi, N. J., & Kushwaha, R. G. (2025). Wit, war, and wooing: The language of love in Anuja Chauhan's romantic comedies. *IJARST*, 5(1), 625–633.

..