

Existence, Exile, and Ecstasy: Exploring the Shared Aesthetics of Camus, Sufi Philosophy, and Imtiaz Ali's Protagonists

Praveen¹, Dr. Manjinder Kaur Wratch², Sanya Batra³

¹ Research Scholar, Department of Humanities and Social Sciences, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed To Be University) Mullana- Ambala, Haryana

Email: thepraveenrao@gmail.com, Orchid Id: <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-5957-0937>

²Professor, Department of Humanities and Social Sciences, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed To Be University) Mullana- Ambala, Haryana

Email: dr.wratch@gmail.com, Orchid Id: <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-9169-8252>

³.Research Scholar Department of Humanities and Social Sciences Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed To Be University) Mullana- Ambala, Haryana

Email – batrasanya2@gmail.com, Orchid Id - <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-8952-2899>

³.Assistant Professor (English) Department of Applied Sciences, School of Engineering and Technology, CGC University, Mohali-140307, Punjab, India , Email sanya.j4292@cgcuniversity.in

Abstract

This study examines the thematic and aesthetic overlap between Albert Camus' existential philosophy, Sufi spiritualism, and Imtiaz Ali's cinema. The split of self, loss of meaning, and desire for transcendental pleasure are shared themes addressed across Western absurdism, Eastern mysticism, and modern Indian film. Analyzing Camus's *The Myth of Sisyphus* and *The Rebel* alongside the Sufi literature of Rumi and Al-Hallaj identifies motifs of inner exile, metaphysical revolt, and mystical unity. Protagonists in Ali's *Rockstar*, *Tamasha*, *Highway*, and *Jab Harry Met Sejal* face struggles reflecting the absurd man's fight against self-annihilation and the Sufi longing for ego-death. Employing phenomenological and hermeneutic methods, the study shows how Ali's narrative, music, and visuals act as spiritual tools. It concludes that these domains share an aesthetic language defining a self seeking euphoric completeness via rupture, travel, and reflection, turning screen tales into a zone of philosophical resistance.

Keywords: *absurdism; mystical subjectivity; cinematic identity; Camusian Philosophy; inner transformation; transcendental longing*

Introduction

Existentialism, absurdism and mysticism tend to be considered within the scope of different ideologies and historical backgrounds. Existentialism is mainly a Western philosophy which focuses on the essence of human freedom, authenticity, and the search to establish meaning in a meaningless world. Related but different and more pronounced, formulated by Albert Camus, is absurdism, where the grotesqueness of human beings, who endeavour to find meaning in the universe, and the silent callousness of the universe are explored. Camus states that this situation of not getting answers to crucial questions in the human environment is absurd at its very foundation, and therefore, there is a necessity to decide between a lack of hope and a hopeless one or embracing the absurdity of the universe (Camus 10). *The Myth of Sisyphus*.

It alienates these perceptions with the idea of the absoluteness of meaning as seen in the mysticism, especially that of Shia Sufi philosophy, by suggesting that meaning is not only existent but it is transcendent; that the fulfilment is in the destruction of the human self through unification with divinity (Schimmel 112). Sufi mysticism refers to divine desire, inner exile and ecstatic union and focuses on the soul's quest towards wholeness. Notwithstanding the different metaphysical premises, one secular and upsurge, and another spiritual and docile, both of these traditions overlap in their human concerns: alienation, suffering, transmutation, and search after transcendence. The thesis of the present paper is that the existential alienation of the absurd man of Camus is in poetry matched with the spiritual seeking of the Sufi seeker. In both, the split personality is in conflict, and each of them tries to escape it through resignation or resistance. Such themes are not exclusive to the works of philosophy or religious literature, they are very much influential in visual and narrative art, particularly modern film. Movies tend to become emotional and philosophical vehicles in which to battle with the very questions philosophy and mysticism itself tries to answer.

The study set out to treat the thematic and aesthetic overlap among Western existentialism (especially, the absurdism of Camus), Eastern Sufi mysticism, and modern Indian films, i.e., the works of Imtiaz Ali. It explores the paths of protagonists of Ali with regard to internal exile, metaphysical desire, and transcendental rapture, which are the ground principles of absurdism and mysticism. An analysis of characters, visual devices, and music as a manifestation of an existential and spiritual aesthetic underpins its readings of emotional arcs, using a hermeneutic, phenomenological approach. The importance of the present study is that, using the combined cross-cultural and interdisciplinary methods, it provides a new reflection on the relations of various cultural thoughts

and art to some common human problems. It also argues that the Indian cinema, which is mostly left outside due to the academic philosophy, can be used as a valid object of intellectual and spiritual pursuit (Hermansen 24).

In order to fix this discussion, this paper reviews four major films produced by Imtiaz Ali: *Rockstar* (2011), *Highway* (2014), *Tamasha* (2015), and *Jab Harry Met Sejal* (2017). All of these films contain essential elements of the main characters being in existential crisis and undergoing a life-changing experience of emotional split and self-realization. These characters reflect the idiot of the revolt against meaninglessness as well as the Sufi lover who is longing to be annihilated in the divine. In the context of such a comparison, it is the argument of this paper that the combined philosophical and mystical ideas are re-examined rather than reflected in the cinematically sensitive and emotionally deep-rooted picture language provided by the movies directed by Imtiaz Ali.

Theoretical Framework

Camusian Absurdism

The notion of absurdism presented by Albert Camus is the result of the opposition between the human need for meaning, order, and identity on the one hand, and the silence of the universe and its indifference on the other. Camus is actually the most famous one in defining the absurd as the divorce between a man and his life, the actor and his setting. This divorce leads to some existential conflict in which the individual has to struggle with the inability to find any rational sense in an irrational world. To Camus, the human condition is determined not by this suffering but by the absurd sense that, without sufficient meaning, it is still our nature to want to find meaning in life. Camus says the absurd man never gives way to despair or nihilism. Rather, he exists in a heightened state of consciousness of the absurd state, where he denies the religious consolation as well as the philosophical detachment. This rebelliousness forms a sort of revolt (*The Myth of Sisyphus* 6).

According to Camus, the climb itself to exemplify the heights is the fulfilment of the human heart. The thinking is that one needs to picture Sisyphus as a happy man (*The Myth of Sisyphus* 123). In *The Rebel*, he goes further with this philosophy in the view that metaphysical rebellion is equally spurred by something other than the absurd: by an appeal to justice, dignity, and authenticity of the individual against oppressive edifice (*The Rebel* 21–25).

Camus's absurd hero does not seek a solution to overcome the absurdity through illusion but accepts it and thereby makes suffering gain an aspect of meaning. This change is in accordance with art's expression, emotional angst, and seeking of self-integrity. This way, the absurd man is not a passive subject of meanings but the generator of the meaning that stands alone and is generated by the man from the inside without enlisting the divine intervention or transcendental promises. Not a destructive rebellion, but a creative one, that is, a position of existential insight and ethical heroism. These concepts are the philosophical basis of the interpretation of the characters of Imtiaz Ali, especially in *Rockstar* and *Tamasha*. Such characters as Jordan and Ved reflect the inner crisis of the maniacal state of the contemporary man and his rebellion against the form.

However, they are not the heroes in the sense of a classical storyline but emotional vagabonds who oppose the established traditions of society and fail to create their own unique identity in the realm of chaos and obedience. What they suffer turns into their means of creation, and it echoes the attitude which Camus held toward revolt in order to prove that all is not absurd.

Sufi Philosophy

Sufi thought is the mystical branch of Islam, and it focuses on the inner, subjective way to divine truth rather than observance of the external religious formalism. The key to Sufism is called *fana*, which is the annihilation of the self. *Fana* means the rupture or destruction of the individual, his or her ego or the false and constructed identity that prevents communion with the Divine. A Sufi maintains that through spiritual exercises such as remembrance (*dhikr*), meditation, poetry, and even music, a mystic wants to purge the ego of all the temporal attachments so as to unite with the Beloved, another nomenclature of God within Sufism (Schimmel 104).

The destroyed self may not be destroyed after all, but instead is reborn into the divine consciousness and makes the state of what is called *baqa*, or subsistence in God. Divine longing is another major theme in Sufism and is usually represented in terms of romantic love. It is a longing not to be united with the earthly partner but to be united with spirituality. This has been expressed dramatically by the thirteenth-century Persian poet named Jalaluddin Rumi, who was a Sufi mystic. In *The Essential Rumi*, he says: "The soul is like a flame to God, in that the soul desires the union with God, as the flame does, with the sun" (Rumi 89). Love, in the case of Rumi, is the power that draws the seeker out of exile and makes him or her united. The kind of love is delirious, anguishing, and life-altering, which appeals to the interior travels that are described in the characters created by Imtiaz Ali.

The Sufi doctrine of unity of all existence (*wahdat al-wujud*) is called metaphysical unity. It is an idea anchored in the lineages of Al-Hallaj and later Ibn Arabi, who proposed that all that seems to be multiple is a hallucination; the sole true existence is that of God. This extreme mystical realization can be found in the notorious exclamation

of Al-Hallaj to proclaim that he is the Truth, saying: “Ana al-Haqq” (“I am the Truth”). In *The Tawasin*, Al-Hallaj intertwines poetry and prose to bring out his oneness with God, and at most times, he identifies himself with God. His ecstatic utterances were supposed to be blasphemous and eventually caused his execution according to the orthodox theologians (Al-Hallaj 55). Nevertheless, his work has stood as one of the pillars in Sufi representations of identity, oneness, and divine insanity (Badri 55).

These Sufi concepts (*fana*, longing, and unity) make up an extreme philosophical counterpart to existential alienation and absurdism. Whereas absurdism denies metaphysical comfort, the Sufi world accepts the metaphysical as the sole home. However, the breakage of the self and transcendence are recognized in both traditions. When the actors in the context of cinema, such as Jordan in *Rockstar* or Ved in *Tamasha*, are read as modern Sufis, they undergo a process of inner annihilation no longer to encounter God, but to come closer to a better, real Sufi version of themselves. Their pilgrimages are repetitions of the Sufi path, not in its religious direction, but in the form of fullness, loss, dislocation, and transcendence.

Phenomenological and Hermeneutic Methods

In the context of unravelling the common aesthetic and philosophical strand concerning existentialism, Sufi mysticism, and Indian cinema, this presentation undertakes a phenomenological and hermeneutic approach. Such approaches to interpretation make it possible to respond not only to the written text (philosophical and mystical texts) but also to the audiovisual narration in the film. As opposed to the inevitably structuralist or empirical approach, phenomenology and hermeneutics put the emphasis on the type of experience of life, subjectivity, and the process of making meanings through viewing and narration.

Phenomenology, as developed by Edmund Husserl and further developed by philosophers including Maurice Merleau-Ponty, focuses on the first-person perspective of consciousness. It is intended to study perception, sense, and the way people understand the world, especially when they relate directly to the phenomena. In the context of this paper, phenomenology is applied in the perception of how characters created by Imtiaz Ali are confronted with alienation, emotional break, and inner change as something concrete: as a subjective being. An example can be seen in the case of Jordan, who descends into pain and ecstasy in *Rockstar* not only as documentary material narratively but phenomenologically as an episode that conveys a mood of a certain way of being in the world.

First is the tradition of philosophical interpretation, especially in the writings of Hans-Georg Gadamer, which builds on this orientation towards experience, taking the act of understanding, of interpretation, as its main focus. In his book *Truth and Method*, Gadamer insists that meaning can never be extrapolated out of context; rather, it is historically and culturally conditioned by the horizon of meaning of the person doing the interpreting (Gadamer 302). The technique is particularly appropriate to study symbols and metaphysics in Sufi poetics, the works of Camus, and movie constructs. Hermeneutics can be applied to a layered decoding of the works of Imtiaz Ali, not only as entertainment but as texts that have a great deal of metaphoric content, spiritual implications, and existentialism. The combination of the two methods enables the analysis on a multidimensional level.

Those techniques, combined, can provide a multidimensional analysis. Phenomenology is useful in highlighting emotional textures and the psychological interiority of characters who are in existential crises or experiencing spiritual awakening. Hermeneutics makes it possible to read texts and symbols of their walks and to make it a continual interpretive cycle with Camusian revolt and Sufi longing as their concepts. Such practices of criticism can result in treating films not as ordinary cultural products being consumed like any other, but as a philosophical and spiritual investigation — that is to say, as texts like scripture or philosophical treatises that speak volumes to the human condition.

Exile and Inner Rupture: The Split Self

Both the existential and the mystical tradition revolve around the theme of inner exile, estrangement in self. This exile occurs in the philosophic works of Albert Camus because it is a result of creating meaning in life. According to Sufi thought, it is the result of the parting of the individual soul from the Divine. In either of these traditions, this feeling of rupture is followed by a great transformation: the transformation to revolt and the act of creation, or to spiritual surrender and the passage beyond. The characters portrayed by Imtiaz Ali are a product of such internal divisions, which indicates the state of the existential man of the absurd and also the spiritual desire of the Sufi seeker.

Camusian Alienation and Revolt

Camusian alienation is not only social or political but metaphysical. It is the awareness that life has been scattered, that there is a primordial lack of harmony between the aspirations of man and the mute world. This alienation is what Camus calls the absurd: “the feeling of absurdity as defined by Camus can strike every man in the face at every street corner” (*The Myth of Sisyphus* 12). But Camus does not call us to despair; rather, to revolt, a restless, conscious refutation of absurdity via how and why we give our life meaning. Ved of *Tamasha* is the embodiment

of the ridiculous, confused man torn between societal norms and his own authenticity. His mental explosion takes place because of the conflict between his conformist everyday reality and his real, creative identity. This division further aggravates as he tries to revisit his life of being a robotic office worker and lands in an existential collapse. The ultimate rebellion of Ved is a revolt of identity against a senseless life: the refusal of performative normalcy and an embrace of narrative autonomy, following Camus and representing another version of the absurd hero who manages to say yes and no at the same time (*The Rebel* 21).

Similarly, Jordan in *Rockstar* carries Camusian alienation. Since Jordan is the novice, Janardhan, recharacterized as the mangled, tormented artist Jordan, his torment, especially his unrequited love, does not degenerate him into nihilism but makes him an artist. His rebellion expresses itself in music, which turns into the means of affirming identity in the face of cosmic disintegration. Like Sisyphus, Jordan is checking over his emotional suffering with ceaseless push of the boulder, and in the effort itself, he finds purpose.

Sufi Yearning and Self-Destruction

Whereas Camusian revolt entails direct opposition, Sufi mysticism entails yearning, throwing oneself (*fana*) and self-effacement as a way of transcendence. The account of the Sufi seeker is characterized by a strong desire to have oneness with the Divine, and the metaphors used characterise nostalgia of lust or romantic love. The Almighty is compared to the flame that desires to merge with the sun by Jalaluddin Rumi, who explains the desire of the lineage to integrate with its source that is the soul: “The soul is like a flame to God, in that the soul desires the union with God, as the flame does, with the sun” (*The Essential Rumi* 89). This desire is not an appreciative but a transformative one, and it causes the death of the ego and the birth of divine consciousness. Such Sufi desire is depicted in the characters portrayed in the films of Imtiaz Ali. Jordan does not just love Heer romantically; it is metaphysical love. He goes into pain, disaffection, and rebellion after she is lost to him.

His fits of breakdown, lonesome wandering, and frenzied musical performances invoke the Sufi journey of crisis and submission. In one of the most moving moments, Jordan sings *Kun Faya Kun* at the Nizamuddin Dargah, a ritual and symbolic act of abandonment, in keeping with the Sufi principle that the means in the end is to submit to divine love as a source of ultimate beauty and strength.

The theme finds its most extreme form in its comparison with the tale of Al-Hallaj, the tenth-century Sufi mystic who pronounced, “Ana al-Haq” (“I am the Truth”), a phrase that meant the mystical joining of the self and God (Al-Hallaj 55). This statement was regarded as blasphemy and resulted in the execution of Hallaj, but according to Sufi culture, this utterance refers to ultimate freedom of the self in the presence of God. This mystical dissociation is reflected in the psychological collapse, the raw emotion, and the artistic insanity of Jordan. It is not religious enlightenment, but the cinematic reflection of *fana*. He transforms into a character that rises above the man he was due to the pain, a representation of the euphoria of God’s drunkenness (*wajd*).

Ved also takes the same course. His denial of a life programmed by other people’s approval and his acceptance of narrating serve not only as ontological protestation but spiritual awakening as well. Ved is not really religious, but there is something mystical about his rebirth experience after his breakdown, as he no longer “does” things but simply “is.” This condition is in agreement with *baqa*, or the Sufi state of spiritual subsistence following the death of the ego.

Travel as a Spiritual and Existential Journey

The concept of a journey is used as a rich metaphor in both existentialist philosophy and Sufi mysticism to give expression to the inner struggle to attain sense, self-discovery, or mysticism. Travel, regardless of being taken physically, emotionally, or spiritually, is an experience that is more than its movement; it is a breakout of familiarity, an encounter with the unknown, and a dynamic process of change. Travel in such philosophical and mystical structures is reflected in Imtiaz Ali-oriented cinema as a narrative and aesthetic device. His heroes not only cross the geographical landscape but also the emotional and metaphysical landscape, an attack on the absurd man in his search for authenticity and on the Sufi pilgrim whose journey has been toward divine love.

Absurd Man’s Journey — Meaningless or Liberating?

Travel is also a form of absurd encounter, according to Albert Camus, as it happens when the traveller, faced with the huge and heartless world, suddenly finds the constructed systems meaningless and seeks out subjective truth. “The man is more of a man by what he conceals than what he discloses,” Camus says in *The Myth of Sisyphus*. “He will discover his act of defiance only when he travels” (65).

In this meaning, travelling brings the subject into relation with the absurd: the unfamiliar settings, the imponderability of human beings, and the endless disruption of the habitual assault on the worldview of an individual. In that confrontation, however, is liberation: to be able to make up one’s own meaning in the face of the absurd. In *Jab Harry Met Sejal*, Harry, the main character of the movie, is a rootless wanderer. He is a tourist

guide, yet he feels alien to it. Travelling around Europe in his journey with Sejal is not about the places; it is about himself. Harry exists in a world which does not satisfy him, just like the absurd man of Camus. The persona of a playboy tour guide conceals his inner need to be loved, accept somebody, and be himself. His world, the existential background of his journey, consisting of the cities, empty roads, and clubs, functions as a frame for his internal conflict. When at last Harry is faced with his sense of nothing, he is set on a path of rebellion against absurdity, deciding to end living a life that is empty.

Equally, Veera escapes the deterministic lines of family, class, and gender networks. The fact that she was kidnapped ironically frees her from the life that she was supposed to live. As she goes into the interiors of India, she feels the freedom that she never got inside the walls of affluence. Veera, like Camus's absurd man, embraces doubt and suffering as ways of discovering truth. The landscapes turn into existential stages that allow her to gradually abandon the social conditioning and create her own values. Within the absurdist structure, not only does Harry wander, but so does Veera, because both characters are challenged with the absurdity of their deprived lives. It is through travelling that Harry, as well as Veera, start rebelling, by declaring agency over their identities. *Highway* thus brings forward the escape.

Sufi Safar (Journey) — Path Toward the Beloved

Travel, or *safar*, is considered in Sufi philosophy and is provided with spiritual meanings. It is not merely a physical act but rather a symbolic and sacred ritual of internal change. This journey of the seeker to the Beloved — used to define the Divine — does not lead to a specific place, but to moral purification. As the Persian Sufi poet Attar of Nishapur shows in *The Conference of the Birds*, the spiritual path involves traversing various valleys where one must subject oneself to a series of tribulations, asceticism, and oblivion before being unified in harmony with the divine nature.

In this sense, the road actually represents the intermediate point between ego and soul, illusion and truth. Imtiaz Ali already reflects the path of the Sufi traveller through his main characters. In *Highway*, Veera explores the harsh, unpolished landscapes, physically travelling while internally cleansing herself. Every mile separating her home from some new place represents a step to spiritual rebirth. The rough open places also provide her with the solitude and silence that mystical transformation requires, what Sufis term *khalwa* (spiritual retreat). Her jokes, pain, and confessions on the road allude to the *hal* of the Sufi, which is by no means rational, but a state that must be felt (Nietzsche 50).

In the context of *Jab Harry Met Sejal*, the experience of travelling by Harry becomes a spiritual *safari*, which occurs as Harry starts opening himself up to be affected spiritually. His love, which begins as cynicism, then begins to resemble that of the Sufi lover, in which he desires union. The quest is made into a metaphor of *tawajjuh*, the concentration of the heart toward the divine. Harry is also forced to struggle through repetitive processes of removal and reengagement, and it culminates in a scene of clear understanding. This is similar to the Sufi who ends up getting lost and finding oneself in this aimless repetition of yearning.

To the Sufis, a journey is a homecoming, not homecoming in the worldly sense, but homecoming to the purified self. According to Rumi, "You should have been born with wings so there should be no reason why you crawl in life" (*The Essential Rumi* 112). Travel, then, is not escape but elevation. The cinematic experience that Ali creates duplicates this spiritual act, as it converts earthly movement into transcendence. His movies transform the road, the train, the countryside, and even the urban areas into images of revelation, places where the outward journey leads to inner, spiritual awakening.

Ecstasy and Transcendence in Cinematic Language

It is part of the current democratic civilization as a whole, and of a more specific sensory, emotional medium, cinema, which has the unique possibility to reconcile the intellectual and spiritual, the inner and the outer. Visual and audio components of the movies do not act as mere ornamentation in Imtiaz Ali's productions but as visceral conveyors of philosophical and mystical significance. This section discusses how Ali combines music and cinematic sensibilities to create instances of ecstasy and transcendence, which concord with both Sufi mysticism and querulousness (Wartenberg 100). In this light, cinema is not only a medium of narration but also a point of spiritual and emotional enlightenment.

Role of Music and Visuality

In films by Imtiaz Ali, music is a central element, both as a plot device and as a language of spirituality. His use of qawwali, Sufi poetry, and emotionally charged soundscapes closely relates to the Sufi tradition, whereby music is considered a sacred expression guiding the seeker toward the divine. In *Rockstar*, the song "Kun Faya Kun" features not just a performance at the Nizamuddin Dargah but a form of spiritual rupture. The trance that Jordan enters while giving the performance resembles *wajd*, an ecstatic state that Sufis enter by listening to devotional music, symbolizing the loss of ego and contact with the Beloved (Schimmel 178). This parallels Rumi's

incorporation of music and dance in *Sema*, the whirling ceremony facilitating spiritual union. Rumi observes that the inward music is rare to hear, yet we are all listening to it (Rumi 214).

The beat in both Rumi's poetry and Ali's music serves as a barrier between the ordinary and the transcendental. Music thus becomes a medium of access to heightened sensitivity, allowing characters and audiences to experience catharsis and momentary transcendence. The improvised breakdown of *Ved* in the theatre scene of *Ved*, or the emotional outpouring of Veera in the mountains in *Tamasha*, exemplifies visual rhythm, ambient music, and raw emotional intensity, combining to evoke mystical intensity, akin to Sufi ecstasy and existential rupture.

Cinema as Spiritual Medium

The cinematic language employed by Imtiaz Ali, through lighting, framing, and dreamlike narrative elements, forms a spiritual grammar that reflects the phenomenological depth of spiritual experience. His work abounds in radiant visuals, figurative sets, and unconventional transitions, which disrupt the linear progression of the narrative. These techniques invite the viewer not only to watch but also to feel, to experience a phenomenological immersion akin to religious or philosophical contemplation.

Philosophical support for this approach is evident in the theory of cinema developed by Andrei Tarkovsky. Tarkovsky asserts that cinema must capture what he terms *sculpted time*, allowing viewers to reflect on the spiritual dimension of human existence (Tarkovsky 63). This ethos is visible in Ali's films, where slow-motion shots, interspersed memories, and repeated sound motifs merge past and present, creating temporal liminality. In *Rockstar* and *Tamasha*, the blending of past, present, and self-discourse disrupts traditional chronology, allowing the audience to enter the character's internal world, both hermeneutic and volatile.

Ali frequently employs light as a metaphor: sunlight peeking through trees, flames dancing on faces, or interior shadows signalling emotional ductility. When Veera emerges into sunlight after confinement in a dark cellar, the change is not merely physical but symbolic, representing her spiritual rebirth. Such scenes demonstrate how cinema can perform an equivalent of religious ritual, guiding both character and audience through purification, discontinuity, and transcendence.

By combining Middle Eastern philosophical language with emotive narrative, spiritual symbolism, and visual aesthetics, Ali establishes a cinematic language resonant with both existential and mystical traditions. His films, like Tarkovsky's, create reflective spaces—a secular sacred, in which cinema transcends mere storytelling to effect metaphysical and emotional transformation.

Intersections of Absurdism, Sufism, and Bollywood

Imtiaz Ali's cinema occupies a crossroad of three philosophically rich traditions: Camusian absurdism, Sufi mysticism, and Bollywood's narrative-emotive discourse. Balancing these components, Ali develops a cinematic language that metaphysically charts existential crises, mystical longing, emotional ambiguity, and symbolic journeys. His films transcend storytelling, becoming performances of cinematic contemplation, in which music, movement, and memory serve as means of philosophical and spiritual exploration (Böwering and Orfal 120).

The notion of revolt—the refusal to despair in a meaningless world—is central to absurdism. Sufism, conversely, regards suffering and desire as spiritual actions guiding the soul toward reunion with God. Ali's characters exist at this intersection, spiritually rebelling even when not politically so. In *Ved*, rebellion manifests against the absurdities of modern adulthood; in *Rockstar*, Jordan transforms emotional anguish into artistic transcendence. These protagonists echo Camus's absurd man, who recognizes life's meaninglessness yet persists in self-expression rather than submission (Camus 123). Simultaneously, they mirror the Sufi lover's pilgrimage through pain, wherein suffering is not defeat but a path to spiritual realization (*fana* and subsequent *baqa*).

Bollywood, often dismissed as formulaic or escapist, becomes Ali's playground, where conventional genres, romantic adventure, dramatic action, music, and emotionalism—are reconfigured as existential inquiries. His characters pursue authentic experiences, participating fully in life and transcendence. Ali's cinema thus resists narrative norms, ideological stagnation, and the suppression of emotionality, portraying fractured identities and scattered souls as pursuits of intrinsic value (Tarkovsky 63).

Ali's films depict cinema as a healing space. Journeys through deserts, cities, and interiors offer recovery. Emotional pain is not pathologized, and yearning becomes strength. Drawing on Camusian lightness and Sufi submission, Ali suggests that the world offers no ultimate solution; instead, the quest for self-realization constitutes salvation itself.

The combination of absurdism, Sufism, and Bollywood in Ali's oeuvre demonstrates that diverse philosophical traditions can converge through art. His films affirm the self as a process of becoming: incoherent, desirous,

trance-like, and incomplete. These explorations of rupture and resistance render Ali's cinema both emotionally compelling and intellectually significant.

Implications of the Study

In comparative aesthetics, philosophy, and film studies, this research has profound implications. It offers insights into the psychological and cultural dilemmas of contemporary Indian youth. By juxtaposing Camusian absurdism, Sufi mysticism, and Ali's cinematic narratives, the study situates popular Indian cinema within a broader philosophical and spiritual discourse. This synthesis of ostensibly opposing traditions provides a new aesthetic lens for interpreting meaning, rupture, and transformation across cultural and disciplinary boundaries.

Ali's cinematic hybrid aesthetic does not merely depict philosophies but embodies them through visual rhythm, symbolic journeys, emotional rupture, and musical transcendence. Consequently, his work occupies a central position in exploring how philosophical thought can be conveyed cinematically, extending the field of discourse beyond traditional boundaries.

Philosophically, the study introduces a novel approach to understanding meaning and identity crises, highly relevant in today's rapidly changing world. Themes of self-alienation, revolt, and inner exile in Camus, alongside Sufi symbols of yearning, *fana*, and union, form poles of inquiry into the modern self. Ali's emotionally complex characters exemplify narrative strategies for deconstructing and reformulating identity through pain, love, and revolt (Flynn 80).

In the Indian socio-cultural context, Ali's characters, urban, educated, and emotionally fragmented, reflect a generation grappling with tension between tradition and modernity, order and freedom, anticipation and aspiration. Their experiences exemplify existential homelessness and emotional alienation, positioning cinema as both a mirror and a site of potential healing.

Finally, this study encourages contemplation of trans-cultural aesthetics of emancipation and transcendence. Through absurdist rebellion or Sufi surrender, Ali illustrates change as a process rather than an end, resonating with postmodern concepts of a fragmented self while affirming art's redemptive power. His films bridge East and West, mysticism and existentialism, presenting Bollywood as a philosophical cinema of rupture and resistance.

Conclusion

The study once again confirms that Camusian absurdism, Sufi mysticism, and the cinematic representations created by Imtiaz Ali, despite being firmly embedded in the different realms of philosophy and culture, still have in common an aesthetic of existential yearning and transcendental breaking. The attempt by the absurd man to make sense of a universe that does not care, the Sufi seeker to achieve annihilation and union with God, the emotionally fractured characters of Ali movies are united by one journey—the quest to move beyond the ordinary self and into a more fulfilling existence. These anti-idioms unify in the language of cinema created by Ali who turns sorrow into language, disillusion into gesture, and failure into visual epiphany.

The protagonists of Imtiaz Ali—Ved, Jordan, Veera, and Harry—represent the revolt and surrender, the duality which has its place in both absurdist and mystic philosophies. With journeys and songs and rupture and love, there is the experience, not resolution, but transformation. Their internal exile is turned into the world of existential inquiries and spiritual awakening. Travel as a way of escape, music as a background, and love as a salvaging experience do not exist in the cinematic universe created by Ali, but rather as a spiritual allegory, a cry of existence, and a rupture that draws the self closer to the core.

In addition, the paper proves that cinema as such can be an excellent carrier of philosophical discussion and the expression of spirituality. Film turns out to be a playing field in which visual aesthetics, narrative action, and sound play are tools to create meaning and meaning-making in the hands of Ali. Similar to a mystical book or an existential treatise, his movies make one think, make one introspect, and make one feel emotional. They challenge the distinctions between the East and the West, between modernity and modernity, worldly and worldly, and between secularity and religiosity, and this is because, in its higher understanding, cinema can serve as a philosophical and spiritual genre as defined by the protagonist. In a world where the trend of fragmentation and separation dominates, bound by the emotional influx, Imtiaz Ali's cinema provides another form of resistance, not by loud ideologies, but rather through empathy, discontinuity, and self-purging. Through the act of positioning his characters or human beings within the realm of spiritual and existential crises, he challenges the viewers to pursue a self-journey of clarity, change, and wholeness. This way, his movies bear witness to a conception of the individual not as something fixed, but as an evolving state of consciousness—restless, fractured, and yet, in the end, able to be transcended.

Works Cited

1. Al-Hallaj, Mansur. *The Tawasin*. Translated by A. J. Arberry, Curzon Press, 1978.
2. Ali, Imtiaz, Director. *Highway*. Performances by Alia Bhatt and Randeep Hooda, Window Seat Films, 2014.
3. Ali, Imtiaz, Director. *Jab Harry Met Sejal*. Performances by Shah Rukh Khan and Anushka Sharma, Red Chillies Entertainment, 2017.
4. Ali, Imtiaz, Director. *Rockstar*. Performances by Ranbir Kapoor and Nargis Fakhri, Eros International, 2011.
5. Ali, Imtiaz, Director. *Tamasha*. Performances by Ranbir Kapoor and Deepika Padukone, Nadiadwala Grandson Entertainment, 2015.
6. Attar, Farid ud-Din. *The Conference of the Birds*. Translated by Afkham Darbandi and Dick Davis, Penguin Classics, 1984.
7. Badri, Malik. *The Dilemma of Muslim Psychologists*. MWH, 1979.
8. Bowering, Gerhard, and Bilal Orfali, editors. *The Comfort of the Mystics: A Manual and Anthology of Early Sufism*. Brill, 2013.
9. Camus, Albert. *The Myth of Sisyphus*. Translated by Justin O'Brien, Vintage International, 1991.
10. Camus, Albert. *The Rebel: An Essay on Man in Revolt*. Translated by Anthony Bower, Vintage International, 1992.
11. Flynn, Thomas R. *Existentialism: A Very Short Introduction*. Oxford UP, 2006.
12. Gadamer, Hans-Georg. *Truth and Method*. Translated by Joel Weinsheimer and Donald G. Marshall, Continuum, 2004.
13. Hermansen, Marcia. "The Academic Study of Sufism at American Universities." *American Journal of Islam and Society*, vol. 24, no. 3, July 2007, pp. 24–45.
14. Nietzsche, Friedrich Wilhelm. *On the Genealogy of Morality: A Polemic*. Translated by Maudemarie Clark and Alan J. Swensen, Hackett, 2009.
15. Rumi, Jalaluddin. *The Essential Rumi*. Translated by Coleman Barks, HarperOne, 2004.
16. Saran, Renu. *Imtiaz Ali: A Journey with Characters*. Diamond Books, 2017.
17. Schimmel, Annemarie. *Mystical Dimensions of Islam*. U of North Carolina P, 1975.
18. Tarkovsky, Andrei. *Sculpting in Time: Reflections on the Cinema*. Translated by Kitty Hunter-Blair, U of Texas P, 1987.
19. Wartenberg, Thomas E. *Existentialism: A Beginner's Guide*. Oneworld, 2008.