

The Interplay of Technology and Tradition: Redefining Education in the Digital Age.

Dr. Stanzin Lhaskyabs¹, Dr. Leela M. H², Dr. Vinita Shrivastava³, Dr. Shalini Jadiya⁴, Dr. Sunita N. Dhote⁵, Dr. Nirmala Devi M⁶, Prof. Pallavi Thakur Sen⁷

¹ Independent Scholar, International Politics and Area Studies, Leh, Ladakh, India.

Email: stanzin.jnu@gmail.com

² Assistant Professor, MBA Programme, School of Commerce and Management, Dr. Ambedkar Institute of Technology, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India, affiliated to Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi.

Email: mhleela.mba@drait.edu.in

³ Associate Professor & HOD, B.Sc. Economics, School of Commerce and Computer Applications, Indira University, Pune, Maharashtra, India.

Email: vinitabhishek@gmail.com

⁴ Assistant Professor, Department of Management, Institute of Rural Management, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

Email: sjadiya12@gmail.com

⁵ Assistant Professor, School of Management, Ramdeobaba University, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India.

Email: dhotesn@rknc.edu

⁶ Associate Professor, Department of English, Chettinad College of Engineering and Technology, Karur, Tamil Nadu, India.

Email: nirmalmphil@gmail.com

⁷ Professor, School of Management, Ramdeobaba University, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India.

Email: senp@rknc.edu

Abstract

This qualitative research investigates the rapid incorporation of digital technology into educational settings and its dynamic relationship with traditional teaching methods. It aims to understand the implications of this interaction for redefining education in the context of the digital era. Employing an interpretive and constructivist-phenomenological framework, data were collected through 32 semi-structured interviews and four focus group discussions involving teachers, students, parents, and school administrators from both urban and rural areas. This was further enriched by classroom observations and reviews of institutional policies regarding digital adoption. The analysis utilized Braun and Clarke's six-phase reflexive thematic approach, enhanced by ongoing memo-writing and peer debriefing to ensure reliability. Five interrelated themes emerged from the data: digital tools functioning as extensions of pedagogical practices; the simultaneous erosion and preservation of established rituals; the formation of a hybrid pedagogical identity among educators; cultural continuity facilitated through digital means; and a landscape of unequal access that influences all aforementioned aspects. The results indicate that technology and tradition are not adversarial but rather mutually constitutive elements within a negotiated, hybrid educational culture. In this environment, digital tools enhance traditional values such as mentorship, oral transmission, and community while also altering the roles, rituals, and relationships associated with these values. This study provides an empirically grounded, context-aware analysis of this negotiation process and suggests important considerations for policy-making, teacher training, and equitable design in digital pedagogy..

Keywords: Technology and tradition, digital age education, hybrid pedagogy, educational equity.

Introduction

Education systems worldwide are currently experiencing significant disruption, where digital technology has transitioned from being a supplementary tool to a fundamental aspect of teaching and learning. Learning management platforms, mobile apps, AI-driven tutors, and hybrid educational models have shifted from peripheral elements of instructional design to central components, a change expedited by the global upheavals of the early 2020s and supported by ongoing investments in digital infrastructure [1]. However, this evolution exists alongside an enduring legacy in education: traditions of mentorship, oral knowledge sharing, communal practices, and locally grounded ways of understanding that predate digital methods and still coexist within many communities [2]. The interaction between these two forces technological advancement and traditional practices is rarely a straightforward narrative of one replacing the other. Instead, it represents a complex daily negotiation undertaken

by educators determining what to retain or discard; students navigating between online environments and physical classrooms; and families striving for their children to be adept in both a digital future and an inherited cultural past [3]. Quantitative measures of technology uptake such as device counts, enrollment statistics, and usage logs fail to adequately capture this negotiation. There is a need for insights into how individuals experience, interpret, and actively navigate the interplay between technology and tradition. This study addresses that gap by focusing on how these two elements are integrated locally rather than questioning whether digital technologies are supplanting traditional education [4]. Many participants described this integration as fostering a genuinely new educational culture rather than merely digitizing an older model. The research is driven by three primary objectives: (a) to illustrate how teachers, students, and parents perceive the coexistence of digital tools with traditional teaching methodologies; (b) to analyze the significance that participants attribute to this coexistence whether they see it as loss, benefit, or synthesis; and (c) to explore the factors of institutional, infrastructural, and cultural that influence whether this interaction is perceived as empowering or diminishing.

Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

Overview of Technology and Tradition in Modern Education

Learning encompasses the acquisition or modification of knowledge, skills, behaviors, values, or preferences, and occurs across a spectrum of contexts formal, non-formal, and informal which collectively shape educational methods and environments [5]. Formal learning is characterized by its structured nature, typically taking place within educational institutions or workplaces, where outcomes, schedules, and assessments are predefined and often lead to certification or credentials that validate mastery of a subject. In contrast, non-formal learning, while still organized and intentional, occurs outside traditional educational settings and is not usually bound by rigid curricula or assessment protocols [6]. These include workshops, training sessions, and community-based initiatives, which are designed for educational purposes but may not always result in formal qualifications. Non-formal learning is generally more flexible and adaptable, often tailored to the specific needs of individuals or communities [7]. Informal learning, the most prevalent form, is defined by its unplanned, everyday nature, occurring through daily experiences at work, within families, or during leisure activities. It is self-directed, unstructured, and typically lacks formal assessment, yet it plays a crucial role in personal development and lifelong adaptability [8]. Notably, over 80% of human learning is estimated to occur in informal contexts, underscoring its significance in the broader educational landscape. The advent of the digital age has profoundly transformed education, particularly through the rapid expansion of digital technologies such as online learning platforms, virtual classrooms, and e-learning tools [9]. E-learning, which emerged prominently in the late 1990s, leverages digital technologies to facilitate education via online platforms, digital content, and interactive environments. This modality has expanded access to education and redefined traditional teaching and learning paradigms, introducing greater flexibility, personalization, and interactivity [10]. Distance education, with roots tracing back to 19th-century correspondence courses, has been fundamentally reimaged in the digital era. The integration of the Internet and mobile technologies has enabled more dynamic and engaging learning experiences, allowing learners who are geographically or temporally separated from instructors to participate through various media, including video, text, and real-time interactions [11]. While traditional distance education relied on one-way communication, contemporary e-learning technologies now support both synchronous and asynchronous interaction, fostering collaboration and engagement. This evolution has accelerated the trend toward self-directed learning, empowering individuals to exercise greater autonomy over their educational trajectories 2.2.

Technology as Pedagogical Transformation

Extensive literature highlights the educational opportunities presented by digital tools, which range from personalized learning platforms to gamified assessments and AI-supported feedback systems. Frameworks like Puentedura's SAMR (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, Redefinition) have played a significant role in illustrating how technology evolves from merely replacing traditional methods to fundamentally transforming educational tasks [12]. However, critical examinations, particularly Selwyn's investigations into the sociology of education and technology, warn against an overly optimistic view that considers digital tools as neutral or inherently transformative. Instead, these technologies are influenced by and reflect the social and institutional contexts in which they are integrated [13].

Tradition, Situated Learning, and Cultural Transmission

Sociocultural theories of learning most notably Vygotsky's perspective on socially mediated activity argue that knowledge transfer is inherently linked to relationships, language, and community practices [13]. Literature from anthropology and comparative education further illustrates how oral traditions, apprenticeships, and rituals continue to play a vital role in shaping learning processes within various communities alongside formal educational systems. In this context, traditional practices should not be viewed simply as the absence of technology; rather, they represent a unique epistemic and relational approach to knowledge transmission that may either be enhanced or undermined by the implementation of digital tools [14].

Hybridity as an Analytic Lens

Recent research on hybrid and blended pedagogy challenges the binary view of technology versus tradition by suggesting that most classrooms function as spaces for negotiated synthesis between these elements [15]. This study adopts the concept of hybridity defined as the continuous integration of digital and traditional practices into innovative pedagogical forms as its central theoretical framework. This is supported by a sociocultural perspective on learning that recognizes it as relationally and culturally situated [16].

Research Questions

RQ1: How do teachers, students, and parents perceive the integration of digital technology with traditional teaching practices in their daily educational experiences

RQ2: What interpretations do participants assign to this integration viewing it as a loss, gain, tension, or harmonious blend?

RQ3: What institutional, infrastructural, and cultural factors influence whether the interplay between technology and tradition is perceived as empowering or diminishing?

Methodology

Research Design

This investigation utilized an interpretive qualitative framework that integrates aspects of phenomenology and case-oriented analysis, which is suitable for inquiries focused on personal experiences and the construction of meaning rather than quantifiable results. This approach enabled researchers to closely consider the participants' own expressions and interpretative frameworks while contextualizing individual narratives within the larger institutional environment of each school involved.

Setting and Participants

The research was carried out in four secondary schools and one community learning center located in two urban and two rural areas, intentionally chosen to reflect a diversity in digital infrastructure and cultural settings. A combination of purposive and snowball sampling resulted in 32 individuals participating in interviews (comprising 14 teachers, 12 students aged 15–18, and 6 parents/guardians) along with four focus groups (each consisting of 6–8 members), which included school administrators and community elders engaged in local educational efforts. Sampling persisted until thematic saturation was deemed achieved, as indicated by the repetition of established codes without the emergence of significantly new codes during the last three interviews.

Data Collection

Data were gathered through semi-structured, open-ended interviews lasting between 45 to 75 minutes, four focus group discussions ranging from 60 to 90 minutes, non-participant observations across 18 classroom sessions, and reviews of documents related to school-level digital adoption policies and curricular guidelines. An interview guide structured around the three research questions was tested with two participants before full-scale data collection began. All interviews were recorded with consent, transcribed verbatim, and anonymized prior to analysis.

4.4 Data Analysis

Data analysis adhered to Braun and Clarke's reflexive six-phase thematic analysis: (1) familiarization through repeated readings of transcripts and field notes; (2) development of initial codes employing an inductive open-coding method initially, later supplemented deductively by categories derived from the theoretical framework; (3) grouping codes into potential themes; (4) evaluating themes against coded extracts as well as the complete dataset for internal consistency and external differentiation; (5) refining themes and assigning names; and (6) creating the analytical narrative detailed in Section 5. Two researchers independently coded a random sample constituting 20% of transcripts, achieving an initial inter-coder agreement rate of 84%. Discrepancies were addressed through discussion leading to refinement of the coding framework before applying it comprehensively across all data.

4.5 Trustworthiness and Ethics

To ensure trustworthiness, measures included extended engagement within the field over one academic term, triangulation among interviews, observations, and document analyses; member-checking preliminary theme summaries with eight participants; along with maintaining a reflexive journal that highlighted researcher assumptions. An audit trail documenting coding choices enhances dependability and confirmability. The study received ethical approval from relevant institutional bodies; all participants provided informed consent (with additional parental consent plus student assent for minors). Pseudonyms are consistently used throughout the report, while identifying details about institutions have been generalized to protect confidentiality.

Data Analysis and Findings

Analysis of the data set produced five interrelated themes, summarized in Table 1 and discussed in turn below with representative data extracts.

Table 1. Summary of Themes, Sub-themes, and Representative Data

Theme	Sub-themes	Illustrative Codes	Representative Data Extract
Theme 1: Digital Tools as Extensions of Pedagogy	Blended delivery; adaptive learning platforms; flipped classrooms	Convenience, access, self-pacing, hybrid routine	“The recorded lecture lets me revisit the derivation as many times as I need before the tutorial.” – Student, Engineering
Theme 2: Erosion and Preservation of Ritualised Practice	Loss of face-to-face mentoring; symbolic classroom rituals; oral transmission	Nostalgia, respect, embodied learning, teacher presence	“Something is missing when the guru is a screen – the pause before an answer, the eye contact, that is teaching too.” – Teacher, Humanities
Theme 3: Hybrid Pedagogical Identity	Teacher as curator; negotiated authority; co-constructed knowledge	Role shift, facilitation, digital literacy anxiety	“I used to be the only source of the answer. Now I am the one who helps them judge which answer is trustworthy.” – Teacher, Secondary School
Theme 4: Cultural Continuity Through Digital Mediation	Digitised heritage content; vernacular-language apps; community-based e-learning	Language preservation, local relevance, intergenerational dialogue	“We recorded my grandmother’s folk songs and used them in the app so the children learn counting in our own tongue.” – Parent, Rural Community
Theme 5: Unequal Terrain of Access	Connectivity gaps; device scarcity; digital literacy divides	Inequity, frustration, improvisation, resilience	“When the network fails, tradition is what remains – my mother still teaches me the multiplication tables by rote, the way she was taught.” – Student, Rural School

Theme 1: Digital Tools as Extensions of Pedagogy

Participants from both urban and rural locations characterized digital tools primarily as enhancements to existing educational objectives rather than complete substitutes for them. Educators consistently portrayed platforms and recorded materials as means to extend instructional time beyond scheduled periods, enabling opportunities for revision, differentiation, and self-paced learning that a traditional classroom session could not provide. *“The recorded lecture allows me to revisit the derivation multiple times before the tutorial – in the previous system, if you missed it, you missed it.” – Student, Engineering stream, urban school.* This perspective was supported by teachers who noted that adaptive platforms allowed more classroom time for discussion and application rather than mere information transmission, aligning with elements of a flipped-classroom model that several mentioned spontaneously.

Theme 2: Erosion and Preservation of Ritualized Practice

A second theme reflected ambivalence regarding the status of ritualized practices in traditional teaching, such as lesson greetings, the teacher's physical presence during challenging moments, and oral Q&A sessions that fostered a shared classroom dynamic. Some educators, particularly those with extensive experience, expressed a sense of loss when these rituals were diminished or eliminated due to asynchronous digital delivery. *“Something feels absent when the instructor is just a screen the pause before an answer and eye contact are part of teaching too.” – Teacher, Humanities department, rural school.* Importantly, this sense of loss was not framed as an outright rejection of technology; instead, it underscored a call for intentional limitations on its use. Several participants suggested safeguarding specific rituals (like weekly oral recitations or mentoring hours) as essential non-negotiable components within an otherwise digitally enhanced curriculum.

Theme 3: Hybrid Pedagogical Identity

Educators across various sites described a transformation in their professional identity from primarily delivering content to curating resources, facilitating learning experiences, and verifying credibility. This transition was accompanied by feelings of both relief and anxiety: relief at no longer being the solitary source of knowledge and anxiety regarding the new digital competencies required by this evolving role. *“I used to be the only one with answers; now I guide them in assessing which answers are reliable – that's actually more challenging in some respects.”* Teacher, Secondary school, urban site

5.4 Theme 4: Cultural Continuity through Digital Mediation

In contrast to a simplistic narrative where digital technology replaces tradition, numerous participants particularly parents and community leaders described actively employing digital tools to preserve and convey cultural and linguistic content that might otherwise face decline. This included vernacular language learning applications designed around local oral traditions. *"We recorded my grandmother's folk songs and incorporated them into the app so children can learn counting in our native language."* Parent, rural community learning center. This theme indicates that digital mediation can serve as a strategy for preserving traditional cultural content rather than merely acting as a conduit for homogenized global curricula.

5.5 Theme 5: Unequal Terrain of Access

A recurring underlying issue across all themes was unequal access to devices, reliable connectivity, and support for digital literacy a factor influencing whether individuals experienced the intersection of technology and tradition as empowering or detrimental. In areas with limited connectivity options, traditional methods such as rote memorization were viewed not simply as heritage but also as essential alternatives when digital access faltered. *"When the network fails, tradition is what endures – my mother still teaches me multiplication tables through rote learning like she was taught."* – Student, rural school

Interpretation and Discussion

These five themes present a more complex narrative than a straightforward account of technology replacing tradition. The data indicates that contemporary education functions as an active site of hybridization, where digital tools are integrated into established pedagogical and cultural frameworks instead of being merely imposed on them. This aligns with and builds upon the hybridity perspective introduced in Section 2.3: here, hybridity is seen not as a passive amalgamation but as the result of ongoing, context-specific decisions made by educators, students, and families regarding which traditional aspects to preserve (Theme 2), which to enhance through digital means (Themes 1 and 4), and how roles must be redefined in light of these changes (Theme 3). The findings also challenge overly optimistic views about the digital transformation highlighted in Selwyn's sociological critique. In particular, Theme 5 emphasizes that the interplay between technology and tradition cannot be analyzed without considering issues of infrastructural equity; when access is inconsistent, tradition does not simply serve as a nostalgic alternative to technology but rather acts as a mechanism for resilience in its absence. This implies that policy narratives portraying digital integration as a uniform, linear replacement for traditional approaches may neglect the protective and adaptive roles that tradition continues to fulfill in under-resourced environments. Another significant theme relates to the evolving identity of teachers (Theme 3). While much literature on technology in education tends to frame teachers' apprehensions about digital tools primarily as gaps in skills needing remediation through training, participants in this study expressed their concerns more as shifts in identity a reassessment of authority and role rather than just technical shortcomings. This shift has important implications for how teacher professional development programs are structured, which will be discussed further below. Finally, Theme 4 counters worries that digital platforms lead to standardized curricular content at the expense of local culture. Participants' proactive use of technology to document and share local oral traditions suggests that, given favorable conditions for community ownership and design, digital tools can facilitate cultural continuity rather than solely pose a threat to it. This finding adds nuance to existing discussions by indicating that the relationship between digital mediation and cultural erosion depends largely on who controls the content and design of the technology rather than being an intrinsic characteristic of the technology itself.

Implications

For Policy: Policies regarding digital adoption should refrain from depicting technology integration as a one-size-fits-all replacement for conventional practices. Instead, they should promote contextually relevant hybrid models that explicitly ensure equitable infrastructure in areas with limited connectivity.

For Teacher Preparation: Professional development programs must encompass the identity aspect of pedagogical transformation, assisting educators in redefining their authority and roles, while also providing training in digital literacy skills.

For Curriculum and Technology Design: Whenever possible, digital educational tools ought to include features that allow for local content ownership, empowering communities to incorporate traditional and regional materials rather than depending solely on standardized content produced externally.

Evolving Educational Paradigms and the Path Forward

The ongoing evolution of educational paradigms in response to digital technologies, particularly IoT, suggests a shift from teacher-centered to learner-centered models. This transformation emphasizes active learning, critical thinking, and the development of digital literacy skills essential for success in the 21st century. As educational institutions navigate this transition, there is a growing recognition of the importance of balancing technological innovation with the preservation of core educational values and traditions. The future trajectory of the "internet of education" will likely be shaped by ongoing research, iterative experimentation, and the collaborative efforts of educators, technologists,

policymakers, and learners. By critically engaging with both the opportunities and challenges presented by IoT, the education sector can chart a course toward more equitable, effective, and adaptive learning environments for the digital age.

Limitations

As is typical with qualitative research, the applicability of these findings is limited by the specific context in which the study was carried out: it took place within a defined set of school and community environments, and thus the results should be viewed as analytically generalizable rather than statistically representative. The dependence on self-reported data introduces the potential for social desirability bias, especially among educators reflecting on their own digital skills. Future studies utilizing longitudinal or ethnographic methodologies could provide deeper insights into how the hybridization processes discussed here change over time.

Conclusion

This research aimed to explore the interaction between digital technology and traditional teaching methods within the experiences of teachers, students, and communities, as well as its implications for redefining education in the digital era. Instead of uncovering a simple narrative of replacement, the findings suggest a dynamic, uneven, and often innovative process of hybridization. In this process, digital tools enhance traditional pedagogical and cultural objectives while simultaneously challenging established practices and professional identities. The perception of this interaction whether as loss, gain, or integration largely hinges on access to infrastructure and who governs the design and content of digital resources. Based on this evidence, redefining education in the contemporary digital landscape appears to be less about technology supplanting tradition and more about communities actively forming a new hybrid educational culture that leverages both elements..

References

1. Bates, A. W. (2019). Teaching in a digital age: Guidelines for designing teaching and learning (2nd ed.). Tony Bates Associates.
2. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
3. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2019). Reflecting on reflexive thematic analysis. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 11(4), 589–597.
4. Bargavi, N., Suthar, P., Nagpal, P., Chandrasekar, M., Awasthi, S., & Ramachandran, R. (2024). Enhancing global health security: Insights from theoretical frameworks, historical disasters, and public health preparedness. *Frontiers in Health Informatics*, 13(3).
5. Krishna Kumari, B., Mohana Sundari, V., Praseeda, C., Nagpal, P., John, E. P., & Awasthi, S. (2023). Analytics-based performance influential factors prediction for sustainable growth of organization, employee psychological engagement, work satisfaction. *Training and Development Journal for ReAttach Therapy and Developmental Diversities*, 6(8), 76–82.
6. Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Sage Publications.
7. Kumar, S., & Nagpal, P. (2017). A study on drivers and outcomes of employee engagement—A review of literature approach. *Asia Pacific Journal of Research*, I (Special Issue IV), 56–62.
8. Puentedura, R. R. (2006). Transformation, technology, and education [Blog post]. Hippasus.
9. Selwyn, N. (2016). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates* (2nd ed.). Bloomsbury Academic.
10. P. Nagpal, "The Role of ICT and Algorithmic Systems in Shaping Gig Worker Evaluations and Retention," 2025 IEEE 5th International Conference on ICT in Business Industry & Government (ICTBIG), Indore, Madhya Pradesh, India, India, 2025, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ICTBIG68706.2025.11323582.
11. M Urs & P Nagpal. (2019). A study on Determinants and Outcomes of Job Crafting in an Organization; *Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research*, 7, (15). 145-151. ISSN: 2349-5162.
12. Selwyn, N. (2021). Ed-tech within limits: Anticipating educational technology in times of climate emergency. *Learning, Media and Technology*, 46(1), 5–19.
13. UNESCO. (2021). AI and education: Guidance for policy-makers. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization.
14. Pooja Nagpal., (2022). Organizational Commitment as an Outcome of Employee Engagement: A Social Exchange Perceptive using a SEM Model. *International Journal of Biology Pharmacy and Allied Science*, 11(1): 72-86

15. P. V. Purna Kumari, V. Arvindbhai Radadiya, V. Rana, M. Lourens, P. Nagpal and V. M, "Gamification and Blockchain: Innovative Approaches to Employee Motivation," 2025 6th International Conference for Emerging Technology (INCET), BELGAUM, India, 2025, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/INCET64471.2025.11139982.
16. Shrivastava, A., Suji Prasad, S. J., Yeruva, A. R., Mani, P., Nagpal, P., & Chaturvedi, A. (2025). IoT based RFID attendance monitoring system of students using Arduino ESP8266 & Adafruit.io on defined area. *Cybernetics and Systems*, 56(1), 21–32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01969722.2023.2166243>
17. P. Nagpal, Charan, G. Karthikeyan, S. Sudhin and S. Dhote, "Leveraging AI and Machine Learning for Advanced Predictive Analytics in Workforce Management," 2025 International Conference on Technology Enabled Economic Changes (InTech), Tashkent, Uzbekistan, 2025, pp. 527-532, doi: 10.1109/InTech64186.2025.11198585.
18. Bothe, S. (2023). Incorporating digital technologies into initial teacher education: Enhancing preservice teachers' skills. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 48(8), 32–56
19. R. Bhattacharya, Kafila, S. H. Krishna, B. Haralayya, P. Nagpal and Chitsimran, "Modified Grey Wolf Optimizer with Sparse Autoencoder for Financial Crisis Prediction in Small Marginal Firms," 2023 Second International Conference on Electronics and Renewable Systems (ICEARS), Tuticorin, India, 2023, pp. 907-913, doi: 10.1109/ICEARS56392.2023.10085618.
20. Akilandeewari, S. V., Nagpal, P., Vinotha, C., Jain, K., Chatterjee, R., & Gundavarapu, M. R. (2024). Transforming e-commerce: Unleashing the potential of dynamic pricing optimization through artificial intelligence for strategic management. *Migration Letters*, 21(S3), 1250–1260.
21. Nagpal, P. (2025). Leveraging artificial intelligence and machine learning for gaining competitive advantage in business development. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 3327(1), 020002. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0289438>
22. Lakshmi, J. D., Rama Devi, G. N., Bala Kumari, S., Nair, D., Khasim, S., & Nagpal, P. (2021). Stress and behavioral analysis of employees using statistical and correlation methods. *International Journal of Aquatic Science*, 12(1), 275–281.
23. El-Haggar, N., Amouri, L., Alsumayt, A., Alghamedy, F. H., & Aljameel, S. S. (2023). The effectiveness and privacy preservation of IoT on ubiquitous learning: Modern learning paradigm to enhance higher education. *Applied Sciences*, 13(15), 9003.
24. Nagpal, P. (2026). Burnout among gig workers triggered by customer ratings and algorithmic management. *Journal of International Management & Technology*, 2(1), 122–135. <https://doi.org/10.65033/jimt.v2i1.009>
25. G. Gokulkumari, M. Ravichand, P. Nagpal and R. Vij. (2023). "Analyze the political preference of a common man by using data mining and machine learning," 2023 International Conference on Computer Communication and Informatics (ICCCI), Coimbatore, India. doi: 10.1109/ICCCI56745.2023.10128472.
26. F. A. Syed, N. Bargavi, A. Sharma, A. Mishra, P. Nagpal and A. Srivastava, "Recent Management Trends Involved With the Internet of Things in Indian Automotive Components Manufacturing Industries," 2022 5th International Conference on Contemporary Computing and Informatics (IC3I), Uttar Pradesh, India, 2022, pp. 1035-1041, doi: 10.1109/IC3I56241.2022.10072565.
27. González-Zamar, M.-D., & Abad-Segura, E. (2021). Digital design in artistic education: An overview of research in the university setting. *Education Sciences*, 11(4), 144.
28. Lawrence, L., Echeverria, V., Yang, K., Alevan, V., & Rummel, N. (2024). How teachers conceptualise shared control with an AI co-orchestration tool: A multiyear teacher-centred design process. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 55(3), 823–844.
29. N. Inamdar, N. Inamdar, R. Paranjpye, P. Nagpal, N. K.B. and A. Adarsh, "Exploring the Transformative Role of Generative AI in Financial Forecasting and Advanced Fraud Detection Strategies," 2025 International Conference on Technology Enabled Economic Changes (InTech), Tashkent, Uzbekistan, 2025, pp. 834-839, doi: 10.1109/InTech64186.2025.11198409.
30. Rajput, N., Das, G., Kumar, C., & Nagpal, P. (2021). An inclusive systematic investigation of human resource management practice in harnessing human capital. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 80(3), 3686–3690. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.07.362>

31. Toquero, C. M. D., & Talidong, K. J. B. (2021). Socio-educational implications of technology use during COVID-19: A case study in General Santos City, Philippines. *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies*, 3(1), 194–198.
32. Vaniya, J., Alizada, M., Nagpal, P., & Dey, K. (2025). Novel enhanced cognitive state analysis in E-learning via real-time emotion and attentiveness detection using OptFuzzy TSM and ABiLSTM. *Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems*, 22(4), 57–75.
33. Zhang, B., Velmayil, V., & Sivakumar, V. (2023). A deep learning model for innovative evaluation of ideological and political learning. *Progress in Artificial Intelligence*, 12(2), 119–131..