

Supporting Mental Adaptability in STEM, ICT, and TechVoc Programs: Instructor Perspectives and Practices

**Razil M. Gumanoy¹, Regie M. Gumanoy², John Manuel C. Buniel³, Francis Isidore B. Ambray⁴,
Elvie Lyka L. Duran⁵, Roey C. Sumaoy⁶, Noel Y. Reyes Jr.⁷, Rhodora P. Arreo⁸, Jason V.
Chavez⁹**

¹ College of Teacher Education, North Eastern Mindanao State University, Tandag City, Surigao del Sur 8300, Philippines.

Email: rmgumanoy@nemsu.edu.ph

² College of Teacher Education, North Eastern Mindanao State University, Tandag City, Surigao del Sur 8300, Philippines.

³ College of Teacher Education, North Eastern Mindanao State University, Tandag City, Surigao del Sur 8300, Philippines.

⁴ College of Teacher Education, North Eastern Mindanao State University, Tandag City, Surigao del Sur 8300, Philippines.

⁵ College of Teacher Education, North Eastern Mindanao State University, Tandag City, Surigao del Sur 8300, Philippines.

⁶ College of Teacher Education, North Eastern Mindanao State University, Tandag City, Surigao del Sur 8300, Philippines.

⁷ College of Teacher Education, North Eastern Mindanao State University, Tandag City, Surigao del Sur 8300, Philippines.

⁸ College of Teacher Education, North Eastern Mindanao State University, Tandag City, Surigao del Sur 8300, Philippines.

⁹ School of Business Administration, Zamboanga Peninsula Polytechnic State University, Zamboanga City 7000, Philippines.

Corresponding Author:

Razil M. Gumanoy

Email: rmgumanoy@nemsu.edu.ph

Abstract

Students in STEM, ICT, and TechVoc programs face immense cognitive demands, which frequently leads to mental overload and a decline in their academic adaptability. This qualitative study examines how educators observe and mitigate these challenges. Using purposive sampling, researchers conducted phone interviews with 25 tertiary-level instructors from Mindanao, Philippines, who specialize in demanding coursework. The thematic analysis highlighted four main symptoms of cognitive strain: behavioral avoidance or overdependence, physical fatigue, disengagement, and difficulties with task sequencing. Furthermore, the findings reveal that conceptually dense, multi-step activities paired with concurrent deadlines severely hinder student performance, whereas highly structured tasks are more easily navigated. To support cognitive resilience, instructors rely on adaptive pedagogies. These include segmenting complex tasks, slowing the speed of instruction, offering emotional support, and building checkpoint scaffolds. Educators make real-time decisions on when to push or pause based on the classroom environment, cumulative academic workload, and individual student stress signals. The study concludes that cultivating mental adaptability requires an environment where instructor responsiveness, pedagogical judgment, and coordinated workload management support the learner's intrinsic efforts..

Keywords: Instructors, Mental Adaptability, STEM, ICT, TechVoc, Academic Tasks

Introduction

Higher education today is defined by escalating academic expectations, particularly within Science, Mathematics, ICT, and Technical-Vocational (TechVoc) programs. These fields inherently demand rapid information processing, sustained analytical focus, and relentless performance outputs, which expose learners to severe cognitive strain (Wu et al., 2024). As institutions strive for global competitiveness, the resulting dense curricula often trigger cumulative stress and information saturation, making student adaptability an essential yet frequently neglected component of academic success (Prakong, 2024; Gomez et al., 2025). This heavy cognitive load is

universally recognized; complex, multi-tiered tasks overwhelm working memory, reduce processing speeds, and impair analytical reasoning (Udita & Robert, 2020; Khurshid et al., 2025). In the Philippine context, this overwhelm is exacerbated by fast-paced content delivery and dense assessment cycles, leaving students with inadequate time for mental recovery. Consequently, learners in these rigorous disciplines often experience frustration, impaired comprehension, and mental shutdown during complex laboratory or computational tasks (Bustillo and Aguilos, 2022; Macaspac et al., 2025). Ultimately, this cumulative pressure diminishes learning efficiency and makes it increasingly difficult for students to process new information or sustain attention (Abd & Andi, 2021).

Navigating these rapid cognitive transitions—such as shifting from mathematical computations to digital coding or structured experiments—requires profound mental adaptability (Hidayat et al., 2025; Torres-Peña et al., 2025). Adaptability, defined as the metacognitive ability to shift frameworks, reorganize strategies, and sustain focus during demanding tasks, is a central pillar of academic resilience (Nakhostin-Khayyat et al., 2024; Stockinger et al., 2021). When students lack this flexibility, or when instruction fails to provide adequate scaffolding, learners experience executive functioning fatigue (Alyssa & Richard, 2024). Unmanaged academic stress severely impairs these cognitive processes, leading to burnout, emotional depletion, and an inability to meet rigorous expectations (Almarzouki, 2024; Von der Embse et al., 2012). This fragility becomes visible when students exhibit rigid thinking, delayed task-switching, or physical exhaustion during consecutive high-stakes challenges (Chen et al., 2023; Pappotas et al., 2023; Kayo, 2024; She et al., 2023). Conversely, in Philippine universities, highly adaptable learners demonstrate faster recovery from errors and better self-regulation (Abou Hashish et al., 2023). Those struggling with adaptability, however, often resort to passive engagement, late submissions, or sudden mental blocks—behaviors frequently magnified by socio-cultural and familial pressures to excel (Eunice & Kususanto, 2021; Dy et al., 2015; Serrano et al., 2025; Deng et al., 2022). Stress systematically deteriorates cognitive elasticity and emotional regulation, producing avoidant behaviors across both global and local contexts (Grace, 2022; Shan et al., 2025). Therefore, adaptability is not strictly innate; rather, it is heavily dependent on personal coping mechanisms, socio-cultural expectations, and the learning environment (Yin et al., 2025).

Because adaptability is shaped by the educational setting, instructors serve as the primary architects of cognitive resilience. Real-time pedagogical choices regarding task structure, lesson pacing, and emotional cues dictate how effectively students manage academic stress (Frenzel et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2025). Targeted, teacher-led interventions—such as chunking complex modules, differentiating instruction, and providing timely feedback—are proven to mitigate cognitive overload and foster strategic thinking (Córdova et al., 2023; Elsadig et al., 2021). By thoughtfully sequencing tasks and adjusting difficulty, educators help students maintain momentum and avoid accumulating mental strain during peak academic periods (Blindheim et al., 2025; Sankalaite et al., 2023). Specifically in the Philippines, empathetic teaching, flexible pacing, and culturally responsive encouragement have been shown to significantly reduce stress-induced disengagement (Ampofo et al., 2025). Supportive classroom realities built on structure and compassion protect learners from burnout, enabling them to rebound from setbacks and persist through heavy workload expectations (Rebecca & Andrew, 2016; Norozi, 2025).

Despite robust documentation of student academic stress, there is a critical empirical gap regarding how educators interpret and actively respond to visible signs of cognitive overload. While existing studies, such as those by Mutanga (2024) and Amerstorfer et al. (2021), extensively cover student perspectives on academic pressure, they largely overlook the experiential, nuanced judgments instructors make during continuous classroom interactions. There is insufficient research exploring how teachers in high-demand fields discern the precise moments to either intensify academic rigor or moderate demands to preserve their students' cognitive resources. Because misaligned pedagogical decisions—whether overly strict or overly lenient—can severely hinder adaptability and worsen academic burnout, prioritizing instructor-centered evidence is essential for understanding how to optimally support learners in high-stakes disciplines.

Methods

Research Design

To thoroughly investigate the intricate realities of student cognitive strain, this study utilized a qualitative exploratory framework (Saka et al., 2023). Because mental adaptability is an internal cognitive process rather than a readily quantifiable metric, it is best understood by examining how educators observe and interpret student behaviors during intense academic tasks. A qualitative lens captures the nuanced narratives of instructors, revealing how they detect cognitive overload and adjust their teaching accordingly. Furthermore, an exploratory approach was chosen due to the limited existing literature regarding mental adaptability in Philippine higher education, specifically within Science, Mathematics, ICT, and TechVoc disciplines. Rather than testing predetermined hypotheses, this design allowed authentic, context-driven themes to emerge directly from the lived experiences of educators in Mindanao.

Participants



The sample comprised 25 tertiary-level educators affiliated with various colleges and universities across Mindanao, Philippines. To ensure the collection of grounded and highly relevant data, participants were purposefully chosen based on a strict set of criteria. Specifically, eligible instructors had to:

Actively teach high-intensity Science, Math, ICT, or TechVoc courses that require rapid analytical processing.

Have consistent firsthand experience managing students who exhibit clear signs of academic burnout, such as mental fatigue, withdrawal, or delayed task completion.

Manage a heavy instructional load, including multiple class preparations and rigorous assessment schedules.

Routinely apply responsive classroom strategies—such as adjusting task pacing or providing differentiated support—when cognitive overload becomes visible.

Teach diverse student populations, ranging from highly resilient learners to those who struggle to maintain focus under pressure.

Data Collection Procedure

Data was gathered via semi-structured telephone interviews, a format chosen to accommodate the demanding schedules of the participating instructors. Ranging from 30 to 60 minutes, the phone calls provided a flexible yet structured environment where the researcher could ask foundational questions while spontaneously probing deeper into specific teaching experiences. Following the acquisition of informed consent, all conversations were audio-recorded. To capture the full depth of the qualitative data, the researcher transcribed the recordings verbatim immediately after each session, appending reflective notes to document important non-verbal cues like tone, hesitations, and emotional emphasis.

Sampling Technique

A criterion-based purposive sampling strategy was employed for this study (Tajik et al., 2024). This non-probability approach intentionally targets individuals who possess specific, specialized knowledge about the research topic. Because the goal was to achieve deep thematic insight rather than broad statistical generalization, purposive sampling ensured that only educators with substantial exposure to student cognitive overload were interviewed. The inclusion of instructors from diverse institutions across Mindanao further enriched the data, providing a representative cross-section of regional teaching experiences.

Research Instrument

A custom-designed, semi-structured interview guide served as the primary data collection tool. Featuring open-ended inquiries, the instrument was crafted to elicit detailed accounts of how educators perceive mental strain and the subsequent pedagogical adjustments they deploy. This open format permitted extensive follow-up questions, yielding richer narratives than a standardized survey would allow. Prior to deployment, the guide was thoroughly validated for relevance and clarity. A simplified breakdown of the instrument's alignment with the study's objectives is detailed in Table 1.

Table 1. Overview of the Research Instrument

Research Objectives	Interview Questions
Examine how educators recognize mental adaptability in students facing heavy academic workloads.	1. What specific student behaviors indicate to you that they are experiencing mental shutdown or struggling with multiple complex tasks? 2. Could you share a specific instance where you had to modify your academic expectations because your students had reached their cognitive limits? 3. Have you observed any correlations between specific types of assignments and how rapidly students either adapt or fail to adapt?
Identify instructor-driven strategies that effectively foster students' cognitive resilience.	1. What immediate interventions do you apply when you see learners mentally disengaging due to academic pressure? 2. In your specific classroom environment, which strategy has been the most successful in helping students mentally recover, and why?

Research Objectives	Interview Questions
	3. How do you determine the balance between challenging students to adapt and reducing the pace to preserve their mental well-being?

Data Analysis

Following data collection, the transcripts were subjected to thematic analysis (Rosairo, 2023). The researcher initiated the process through multiple readings of the textual data to achieve deep familiarization. Next, initial codes were assigned to significant statements concerning instructor observations, student stress signals, and supportive teaching interventions. These preliminary codes were subsequently grouped into broader categories based on recurring patterns. Finally, these categories were synthesized and cross-verified against all participant transcripts to establish credible, cohesive themes that accurately reflected the instructors' shared pedagogical realities.

Ethical Considerations

The research strictly adhered to established ethical protocols. Before participating, all instructors were fully briefed on the study's objectives, data handling procedures, and their right to withdraw from the interview at any time without consequence. Prior informed consent was secured for both participation and audio recording. To guarantee confidentiality and protect participant identities, all data files were securely encrypted, and pseudonyms were utilized in all transcriptions and final reports.

Results

Objective 1: Examine how educators recognize mental adaptability in students facing heavy academic workloads.

Theme 1: Behavioral and Physical Indicators of Cognitive Exhaustion

Instructors observe a range of physical and behavioral signals when students reach their cognitive limits. Instead of active engagement, overwhelmed learners exhibit profound disengagement, such as staring blankly or suddenly becoming quiet. Workflow routines break down, leading to out-of-sequence actions and repeated errors on basic procedures that students typically know well. Furthermore, this internal strain manifests physically through heavy sighing, lethargy, or resting their heads, often accompanied by coping mechanisms like sudden overdependence on the teacher or excessive talking to avoid the demanding task.

"The first clue is when confident students go quiet; the ones who usually speak up suddenly stop participating."

"You can observe them staring at their papers without actually processing anything, like their minds just froze."

"They repeatedly redo simple measurements, maybe ten times over, or forget basic order of operations like marking before cutting."

"They exhibit physical signs like heavy sighing, rubbing their temples, or just staring at a motionless cursor."

"Some suddenly ask very basic questions they already know, not out of ignorance, but because their mental battery is empty."

Theme 2: Observing Widespread Self-Doubt and Performance Paralysis

Instructors recognize that a critical threshold of cognitive overload has been reached when the classroom atmosphere shifts from productive struggle to complete paralysis. Educators observe that even typically assured learners begin to second-guess their abilities, evidenced by continuous corrections or a sudden inability to initiate tasks. Recognizing these widespread errors and lagging processing speeds serves as a definitive diagnostic signal for instructors, proving that the students' working memory is saturated and that immediate pedagogical intervention—such as pausing, guiding, or reducing the workload—is required to salvage the learning process.

"When I saw almost everyone just staring at the problem without attempting it, I paused the activity and turned it into a guided, step-by-step session."

"Even usually confident students were doubting themselves and making constant erasures." "Instead of forcing them to finish everything, I cut the assignment in half so we could just focus on doing one part correctly."

"When basic recall questions felt too slow and data interpretation was full of errors, I dropped one quiz and gave them an extension on their main proposal."

Theme 3: The Impact of Task Complexity and Structure on Adaptation

The speed at which students mentally adapt is heavily dictated by the nature and timing of the workload. Instructors note that highly structured, predictable tasks allow learners to rely on routine memory, accelerating

their adjustment and building confidence. Conversely, activities requiring deep analytical reasoning, logic building, or multiple layered skills quickly drain mental bandwidth, causing adaptability to plummet. Furthermore, adaptation is significantly hindered when complex tasks overlap with clustered deadlines from other subjects, highlighting that environmental pressure plays a crucial role in cognitive flexibility.

"Students adjust much faster when assignments have predictable patterns, clear steps, and a pacing guide, like typing basic HTML or sewing straight lines."

"Adaptability slows down significantly when a task involves multi-step reasoning, like translating word problems into algebra or debugging complex code."

"It is not just the volume of work; if the difficulty spikes too quickly or they have to combine theory and application at the same time, they mentally freeze."

"When deadlines from multiple subjects pile up, tasks requiring heavy logic become a massive struggle because their minds are no longer fresh."

Objective 2: Identify instructor-driven strategies that effectively foster students' cognitive resilience.

Theme 4: Strategic Scaffolding and Micro-Stepping

To help students recover from mental withdrawal, educators fundamentally alter the pace and structure of their instruction. By slowing down content delivery and breaking massive assignments into bite-sized micro-tasks, teachers create achievable progress checkpoints. This incremental scaffolding allows students to experience small victories, which rebuilds their cognitive momentum and confidence. Tackling one manageable step at a time removes the paralyzing feeling of a massive workload, guiding learners back into an active state of processing.

"I intentionally slow down the lesson and break the problem down so they don't completely shut down."

"Instead of giving them one massive output, I divide the work into checkpoints like setup, logic, and polishing."

"Students feel a sense of achievement every time they complete a mini-goal, which motivates them to keep going."

"They don't need the whole problem at once; they just need the first doable step to get their willingness to learn back."

Theme 5: Emotional Reassurance and Psychological Resets

Recognizing that mental adaptability is closely tied to emotional regulation, instructors integrate psychological support directly into their teaching. When the classroom atmosphere becomes tense or drained, teachers pause to offer short mental breaks, allowing students to stretch, breathe, or switch to lighter activities. This is paired with empathetic communication—validating the students' struggles without judgment. By normalizing academic difficulty and lowering the immediate pressure, educators create a safe space where students can mentally and emotionally reset before tackling complex concepts again.

"I assure them that struggling is normal and give them short breaks to let their minds reset."

"When the room feels exhausted, I switch to lighter, quick logic drills to wake their brains up."

"I allow them to stand up or stretch, and I speak to them with empathy so they know I understand their stress."

"Students bounce back faster when they feel supported rather than judged; blending kindness with structure is highly effective."

Theme 6: Dynamic Pacing and Context-Aware Decision Making

The decision of whether to challenge students further or reduce academic demands relies on the instructor's ability to read real-time cognitive and emotional cues. Teachers continuously evaluate classroom dynamics, looking for signs of active curiosity versus blank exhaustion. Furthermore, they factor in external academic pressures, such as overlapping exam weeks or heavy requirements from other courses. By balancing the desire to foster academic growth with the need to protect cognitive capacity, educators ensure that they only push students when learning is viable, consciously pulling back when fatigue begins to compromise mental well-being.

"If they are still curious and making progress, I push them gently. But if I see blank stares and irritation, I know I need to slow down."

"I always consider outside factors like exam weeks or overlapping deadlines because those drain their overall mental capacity."

"When the whole class is unusually quiet and slow, that is my signal to lighten the daily load."

"The main rule is: challenge them when their minds can stretch, but pause when their minds are about to break. You have to be human first before being a teacher."

Discussion

The results of this investigation highlight the deeply complex and fluid nature of mental adaptability among students in STEM, ICT, and TechVoc disciplines. Rather than functioning as a static or innate trait, adaptability is continuously molded by emotional environments, instructional scaffolding, and the broader academic workload.

This aligns closely with modern theories of cognitive flexibility, which define adaptability as a highly reactive construct heavily influenced by cumulative stress, motivational limits, and task difficulty (Siqu-Liu et al., 2020). The specific stress signals identified by educators—such as procedural errors, profound disengagement, disrupted workflows, and physical exhaustion—support the premise that cognitive saturation directly impairs executive functioning and strips learners of their ability to mentally recalibrate (Watson, 2016). Ultimately, a student's adaptive capacity deteriorates rapidly the moment academic demands surpass their available mental bandwidth. Furthermore, the data establishes task structure as a primary driver of student adaptability. When assignments were routine, highly structured, and predictable, learners exhibited much greater resilience, as these formats lower extraneous cognitive load and promote procedural automaticity (Feber et al., 2024). In stark contrast, cognitively dense, multi-layered, or open-ended activities triggered elevated error rates, hesitation, and sluggish mental adjustment. This reinforces existing literature demonstrating that complex academic demands severely restrict mental shifting and heighten intrinsic cognitive load (Zeithofer et al., 2024). Consequently, it is evident that a student's mental elasticity is compromised not solely by the sheer volume of tasks, but by the novelty and cognitive depth those tasks require.

A critical finding of this study is the indispensable role of the educator in identifying early symptoms of cognitive overload. The capacity of participants to read nuanced behavioral shifts strongly correlates with studies indicating that an instructor's situational awareness is vital for sustaining emotional and cognitive endurance in rigorous classrooms (Salvo-Garrido et al., 2025; Bagdziūnienė et al., 2023). The physical and emotional withdrawal observed by teachers—such as passive compliance and blank expressions—perfectly illustrates academic fatigue, where active reasoning shuts down. Thus, an instructor's observational sharpness acts as the primary frontline defense against complete student burnout.

When overload is detected, instructor-driven interventions serve as crucial cognitive stabilizers. Pedagogical adjustments like providing guided scaffolding, slowing the instructional pace, embedding micro-breaks, and segmenting complex assignments reliably restored students' mental momentum. These practices reflect findings that structural scaffolding successfully diffuses overwhelming processing demands into easily digestible sequences (Shao et al., 2023). Additionally, the participants' heavy reliance on emotional reassurance echoes research demonstrating that psychological safety minimizes stress-induced cognitive blocks and helps learners re-engage with difficult material (Wouters-Soomers et al., 2022). This proves that fostering adaptability requires a blend of both structural academic support and empathetic teaching.

The findings also illuminate the nuanced judgment educators use when deciding whether to challenge students further or pause instruction. This delicate balancing act embodies the concept of "optimal challenge," wherein cognitive growth flourishes within a specific "stretch zone" but rapidly collapses when thresholds are breached. The instructors' willingness to simplify tasks or delay deadlines perfectly mirrors theoretical frameworks arguing that true adaptability only matures when academic friction is kept at a tolerable, meaningful level. Therefore, effective pedagogy goes far beyond mere content delivery; it demands the continuous, real-time calibration of both psychological sustainability and academic rigor.

Finally, this study reveals that a student's adaptive capacity is fundamentally tethered to the broader academic ecosystem, which includes simultaneous subject requirements, overlapping deadlines, and high-stakes testing periods. Consistent with prior research, it is this cumulative, systemic pressure—rather than single, isolated assignments—that most accurately predicts cognitive breakdown (Sosnowski & Brosnan, 2023). Instructors' accounts validate that mental adaptability is not an isolated, internal characteristic of the learner; rather, it is a relational byproduct of institutional curriculum design and coordinated workload pacing. In highly demanding educational programs, cognitive resilience flourishes only when pedagogical designs are deliberate, when teachers respond with precision and compassion, and when the environment permits mental recovery. Ultimately, educators function not just as content experts, but as the foundational architects of the very conditions that make student adaptability possible.

Conclusion

This research establishes that cognitive flexibility among STEM, ICT, and TechVoc students is far from an automatic or passive trait. Instead, it is actively cultivated through the keen observation, pedagogical judgment, and responsive interventions of their teachers. By highlighting this dynamic, the study successfully bridges the existing literature gap regarding instructor-focused perspectives on academic resilience. By pinpointing specific behavioral signs of mental exhaustion and detailing how educators decode these cues in the classroom, this research fulfills its primary objective of exploring instructor perceptions of student adaptability amid intense academic pressure.

Furthermore, addressing the second research objective, the data proves that targeted, teacher-driven strategies—such as adjusting lesson pacing, providing step-by-step guidance, segmenting complex assignments, and offering psychological support—are essential for rebuilding mental equilibrium and helping overwhelmed students return to difficult activities. Ultimately, the results demonstrate that a learner's ability to adapt does not depend solely on

their innate capacity. Rather, it is heavily dictated by how educators structure their teaching and the overarching academic environment, proving the absolute necessity of empathetic, context-responsive instruction.

Crucially, the evidence warns that when signs of mental fatigue are ignored and heavy assignments are administered without moderation, cognitive stress worsens significantly. This points to an urgent need for educational institutions to better coordinate curriculum pacing and manage cumulative workloads across different courses. In summary, this investigation provides a deeply nuanced, teacher-guided perspective on mental adaptability, laying an empirical foundation for teaching frameworks that harmoniously blend academic difficulty with cognitive sustainability. It confirms that fostering true adaptability in rigorous educational fields demands more than just subject-matter mastery from educators. It requires a humane, observant, and highly responsive teaching approach—one that honors a student's mental limits as a critical component of their overall academic success and enduring resilience.

..

References

1. Wu, Hong & Bai, Shan & Liao, Yuanzhi & Tan, Chunhua. (2024). The Academic Performance and Upward Mobility of Students in Education Program. *Journal of World Englishes and Educational Practices*. 6. 137-166. 10.32996/jweep.2024.6.1.6.
2. Prakong, Somchai. (2024). The Role of Critical Thinking in Enhancing Students' Problem-Solving Abilities in Higher Education. *Journal of Education, Humanities, and Social Research*. 1. 10-16. 10.70088/scx8x622.
3. Jaramillo Gómez DL, Álvarez Maestre AJ, Parada Trujillo AE, Pérez Fuentes CA, Bedoya Ortiz DH, Sanabria Alarcón RK. Determining Factors for the Development of Critical Thinking in Higher Education. *J Intell*. 2025 May 22;13(6):59. Doi: 10.3390/jintelligence13060059. PMID: 40558809; PMCID: PMC12193751.
4. Stockinger, Kristina & Rinas, Raven & Daumiller, Martin. (2021). Student adaptability, emotions, and achievement: Navigating new academic terrains in a global crisis. *Learning and Individual Differences*. 90. 102046. 10.1016/j.lindif.2021.102046.
5. Kao PC. Exploring the roles of academic expectation stress, adaptive coping, and academic resilience on perceived English proficiency. *BMC Psychol*. 2024 Mar 16;12(1):158. Doi: 10.1186/s40359-024-01630-y. PMID: 38493172; PMCID: PMC10943803.
6. She C, Liang Q, Jiang W and Xing Q (2023) Learning adaptability facilitates self-regulated learning at school: the chain mediating roles of academic motivation and self-management. *Front. Psychol*. 14:1162072. Doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1162072
7. Hidayat, Hendra & Nordin, Norazah & Anwar, Muhammad & Saputra, Hadi. (2025). How Students' Problem-Solving Abilities Influence Their Digital Competence Skill in the Use of Problem-Based Learning Application. *TEM Journal*. 1494-1507. 10.18421/TEM142-49.
8. Torres-Peña, R. C., Peña-González, D., Lara-Orozco, J. L., Ariza, E. A., & Vergara, D. (2025). Enhancing Numerical Thinking Through Problem Solving: A Teaching Experience for Third-Grade Mathematics. *Education Sciences*, 15(6), 667. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15060667>
9. Lawson, Alyssa & Mayer, Richard. (2024). Effect of Pre-Training and Role of Working Memory Characteristics in Learning with Immersive Virtual Reality. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*. 41. 10.1080/10447318.2024.2325176.
10. Frenzel, A. C., Daniels, L., & Burić, I. (2021). Teacher emotions in the classroom and their implications for students. *Educational Psychologist*, 56(4), 250–264. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2021.1985501>
11. Zhang Y, Guan X, Wang J, Yin S, Li X, Li Y, Jobe MC, Ahmed MZ. The impact of perceived teacher support on students' learning approach: the chain mediating role of academic engagement and achievement goal orientation. *Front Psychol*. 2025 Jun 18;16:1513538. Doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1513538. PMID: 40606879; PMCID: PMC12213560.
12. Córdova A, Caballero-García A, Drobnic F, Roche E, Noriega DC. Influence of Stress and Emotions in the Learning Process: The Example of COVID-19 on University Students: A Narrative Review. *Healthcare (Basel)*. 2023 Jun 17;11(12):1787. Doi: 10.3390/healthcare11121787. PMID: 37372905; PMCID: PMC10298416.
13. Collie, Rebecca & Martin, Andrew. (2016). Adaptability: An Important Capacity for Effective Teachers. *Educational Practice and Theory*. 38. 27-39. 10.7459/ept/38.1.03.
14. Mutanga, M. B. (2024). Students' Perspectives and Experiences in Project-Based Learning: A Qualitative Study. *Trends in Higher Education*, 3(4), 903-911. <https://doi.org/10.3390/higheredu3040052>

15. Amerstorfer CM, Freiin von Münster-Kistner C. Student Perceptions of Academic Engagement and Student-Teacher Relationships in Problem-Based Learning. *Front Psychol.* 2021 Oct 28;12:713057. Doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.713057. PMID: 34777094; PMCID: PMC8580851.
16. Gupta, Udit & Zheng, Robert. (2020). Cognitive Load in Solving Mathematics Problems: Validating the Role of Motivation and the Interaction Among Prior Knowledge, Worked Examples, and Task Difficulty. *European Journal of STEM Education.* 5. 05. 10.20897/ejsteme/9252.
17. Khurshid, F., Hegazi, I., O'Connor, E., Noushad, B., & Thompson, R. (2025). Identifying and Exploring the Cognitive Nature of Threshold Concepts in Pharmacology to Improve Medical Students' Learning. *Teaching and Learning in Medicine*, 37(4), 514–530. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10401334.2024.2367670>
18. Bustillo, E., & Aguilos, M. (2022). The Challenges of Modular Learning in the Wake of COVID-19: A Digital Divide in the Philippine Countryside Revealed. *Education Sciences*, 12(7), 449. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12070449>
19. Macaspac, Libertine & Pascua, Griselda & Guerrero, Gianne & Damo, Jasheen. (2025). Common students' problems and interventions to enhance students' well-being. *Divine Word International Journal of Management and Humanities (DWIJMH)* (ISSN: 2980-4817). 4. 2022-2037. 10.62025/dwijmh.v4i3.179.
20. Basith, Abd & Syahputra, Andi. (2021). ACADEMIC STRESS AND COPING STRATEGY IN RELATION TO ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT. *Cakrawala Jurnal Pendidikan.* 40. 292-304. 10.21831/cp.v40i2.37155.
21. Nakhostin-Khayyat M, Borjali M, Zeinali M, Fardi D, Montazeri A. The relationship between self-regulation, cognitive flexibility, and resilience among students: a structural equation modeling. *BMC Psychol.* 2024 Jun 7;12(1):337. Doi: 10.1186/s40359-024-01843-1. PMID: 38849898; PMCID: PMC11162044.
22. Chen C, Shen Y, Zhu Y, Xiao F, Zhang J, Ni J. The Effect of Academic Adaptability on Learning Burnout Among College Students: The Mediating Effect of Self-Esteem and the Moderating Effect of Self-Efficacy. *Psychol Res Behav Manag.* 2023 May 3;16:1615-1629. Doi: 10.2147/PRBM.S408591. PMID: 37163132; PMCID: PMC10164379.
23. Parpottas P, Christofi Y, Ioannou I. Adaptation, Academic Performance and Support: Students with and without Disabilities and Future Considerations for Counselling Psychology. *Behav Sci (Basel).* 2023 Oct 20;13(10):862. Doi: 10.3390/bs13100862. PMID: 37887512; PMCID: PMC10604788.
24. Abou Hashish EA, Bajbeir E, Almadadi SA, Alzebali ND, Alhubishi SF. Investigating Quality of Life, Academic Resilience, and Influential Factors in Nursing Education: A Mixed-Methods Study among Nursing Students. *SAGE Open Nurs.* 2024 Dec 13;10:23779608241303690. Doi: 10.1177/23779608241303690. PMID: 39676903; PMCID: PMC11645762.
25. Tan, Eunice & Prihadi, Kususanto. (2022). Fear of failure and academic procrastination among university students: The role of achievement expectancy and year of study. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education (IJERE).* 11. 69. 10.11591/ijere.v11i1.22201.
26. Shan J, Xu T. The role of hope, academic thriving, and adaptive coping in fostering peace of mind among university students: a mixed-methods study. *Front Psychol.* 2025 Aug 18;16:1510244. Doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1510244. PMID: 40900962; PMCID: PMC12400332.
27. Yin Z, Zhao Y, Wang Y. How teachers' caring behavior impacts the socio-cultural adaptability of international students: a chain mediation model. *Front Psychol.* 2025 Oct 10;16:1656033. Doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1656033. Erratum in: *Front Psychol.* 2025 Nov 11;16:1730687. Doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1730687. PMID: 41143061; PMCID: PMC12549284.
28. Almarzouki, A. F. (2024). Stress, working memory, and academic performance: a neuroscience perspective. *Stress*, 27(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/10253890.2024.2364333>
29. Von der Embse, Nathaniel & Hasson, Ramzi. (2012). Test Anxiety and High-Stakes Test Performance Between School Settings: Implications for Educators. *Preventing School Failure.* 56. 180-187. 10.1080/1045988X.2011.633285.
30. Dy, Marison & Espiritu-Santo, Klarisse & Ferido, Melissa & Ria, Sanchez. (2015). Stressors and stress responses of Filipino college students. *Asia life sciences.* 24. 737-759.
31. Serrano JO, Reyes MES. Bending not breaking: coping among Filipino University students experiencing psychological distress during the Global Health Crisis. *Curr Psychol.* 2022 Nov 10;1-11. Doi: 10.1007/s12144-022-03823-3. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 36406854; PMCID: PMC9647747.

32. Deng Y, Cherian J, Khan NUN, Kumari K, Sial MS, Comite U, Gavurova B, Popp J. Family and Academic Stress and Their Impact on Students' Depression Level and Academic Performance. *Front Psychiatry*. 2022 Jun 16;13:869337. Doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2022.869337. PMID: 35782431; PMCID: PMC9243415.
33. Pil Ingen, Grace. (2022). TEACHERS' LEVEL OF STRESS, BURNOUT, AND COPING MECHANISMS: INPUTS FOR A MENTAL HEALTH SURVIVAL KIT. 10.13140/RG.2.2.35080.69122.
34. Elsadig, Ali & Elsadig, & Hamad, Ayman & Hamdan, Elneil & Abdala, Ayman. (2021). Scaffolding Strategy and Customized Instruction Efficiency in Teaching English as a Foreign Language in the Context of Saudi Arabia. *The Journal of Literature and Science*. 77. 2021. 10.7176/JLLL/77-05.
35. Blindheim, S., Eriksen, H. R., & Langjordet Johnsen, T. (2025). Balancing expectancies: academic stress and coping in teacher education. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 49(8), 1148–1162. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2025.2539881>
36. Sankalaite, S., Huizinga, M., Pollé, S., Xu, C., De Vries, N., Hens, E., & Baeyens, D. (2023). A Qualitative Study into Teacher–Student Interaction Strategies Employed to Support Primary School Children's Working Memory. *Education Sciences*, 13(11), 1149. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13111149>
37. Ampofo J, Bentum-Micah G, Xusheng Q, Sun B and Mensah Asumang R (2025) Exploring the role of teacher empathy in student mental health outcomes: a comparative SEM approach to understanding the complexities of emotional support in educational settings. *Front. Psychol*. 16:1503258. Doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1503258
38. Norozi, S. A. (2025). Creating learning environment through well-being-related activities for university students. *Cogent Education*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2553830>
39. Saka, Rahmon & Chinagozi, OSADEME & Joe, ONONOKPONO. (2023). Exploratory Research Design in Management Science: A Review of Literature on Conduct and Application. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*. VII. 1384-1395. 10.47772/IJRISS.2023.7515.
40. Tajik, Omid & Golzar, Jawad & Noor, Shagofah. (2024). Purposive Sampling. 2. 1-9. 10.22034/ijels.2025.490681.1029.
41. Rosairo, Rohitha. (2023). Thematic Analysis in Qualitative Research. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences – Sri Lanka*. 18. 10.4038/jas.v18i3.10526.
42. Siqi-Liu A, Egner T. Contextual Adaptation of Cognitive Flexibility is driven by Task- and Item-Level Learning. *Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci*. 2020 Aug;20(4):757-782. Doi: 10.3758/s13415-020-00801-9. PMID: 32495271; PMCID: PMC7396276.
43. Watson, Silvana. (2016). The Role of Executive Functions in Classroom Instruction of Students with Learning Disabilities. *International Journal of School and Cognitive Psychology*. 03. 10.4172/2469-9837.1000167.
44. Faber THE, Dankbaar MEW, van den Broek WW, Bruinink LJ, Hogeveen M, van Merriënboer JJG. Effects of adaptive scaffolding on performance, cognitive load and engagement in game-based learning: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Med Educ*. 2024 Aug 29;24(1):943. Doi: 10.1186/s12909-024-05698-3. PMID: 39210381; PMCID: PMC11360721.
45. Zeithofer, Ines & Zumbach, Joerg & Schweppe, Judith. (2024). Complexity affects performance, cognitive load, and awareness. *Learning and Instruction*. 94. 10.1016/j.learninstruc.2024.102001.
46. Salvo-Garrido S, Cisternas-Salcedo P, Polanco-Levicán K. Understanding Teacher Resilience: Keys to Well-Being and Performance in Chilean Elementary Education. *Behav Sci (Basel)*. 2025 Mar 2;15(3):292. Doi: 10.3390/bs15030292. PMID: 40150187; PMCID: PMC11939180.
47. Bagdžiūnienė D, Kazlauskienė A, Nasvytienė D and Sakadolskis E (2023) Resources of emotional resilience and its mediating role in teachers' well-being and intention to leave. *Front. Psychol*. 14:1305979. Doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1305979
48. Shao J, Chen Y, Wei X, Li X, Li Y. Effects of regulated learning scaffolding on regulation strategies and academic performance: A meta-analysis. *Front Psychol*. 2023 Mar 22;14:1110086. Doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1110086. PMID: 37034913; PMCID: PMC10075206.
49. Wouters-Soomers L, Van Ruysseveldt J, Bos AER, Jacobs N. An individual perspective on psychological safety: The role of basic need satisfaction and self-compassion. *Front Psychol*. 2022 Aug 18;13:920908. Doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.920908. PMID: 36059778; PMCID: PMC9434267.

50. Sosnowski MJ, Brosnan SF. Under pressure: the interaction between high-stakes contexts and individual differences in decision-making in humans and non-human species. *Anim Cogn.* 2023 Jul;26(4):1103-1117. Doi: 10.1007/s10071-023-01768-z. Epub 2023 Mar 29. PMID: 36988737; PMCID: PMC10345073..
- .