

Institutional Quality Assurance as a Catalyst for Teachers' Psychological Preparedness and Instructional Functioning

Delfina A. Ampung¹

¹ Sulu State University, Jolo, Sulu 7400, Philippines.

Email: delfinaampung@gmail.com

Corresponding Author:

Delfina A. Ampung

Email: delfinaampung@gmail.com

Abstract

Institutional quality assurance has become a fundamental mechanism for promoting instructional accountability while shaping teachers' psychological readiness and professional practice. This qualitative exploratory inquiry examined how institutional quality assurance functions as a catalyst for teachers' psychological preparedness and instructional functioning in public educational institutions. Thirty licensed teachers from Western Mindanao, Philippines, who had direct experience with quality assurance processes, participated in semi-structured interviews. Data were analyzed using reflexive thematic analysis to identify recurrent patterns across participants' experiences. The findings revealed that documentation requirements, classroom observations, performance evaluations, and inconsistent implementation of standards frequently generated psychological strain, divided cognitive attention, emotional depletion, and reduced instructional spontaneity. Conversely, structured institutional systems, transparent expectations, mentoring, collaborative feedback, and standardized quality assurance procedures strengthened teachers' confidence, emotional stability, organizational competence, and instructional preparedness. Participants also developed adaptive workload management strategies, including systematic planning, task segmentation, and personalized organizational routines, to preserve instructional effectiveness while addressing compliance obligations. Interpreted through the Job Demands-Resources Theory, Cognitive Load Theory, and Self-Determination Theory, the findings demonstrate that institutional quality assurance simultaneously functions as a source of professional demands and organizational resources. The inquiry concludes that quality assurance achieves greater educational value when implemented through consistent, supportive, and developmental institutional practices that reinforce teachers' psychological preparedness while sustaining effective instructional functioning and long-term professional well-being...

Keywords Institutional Quality Assurance, Teachers, Psychological Preparedness, Instructional Functioning.

Introduction

Establishing a psychologically conducive teaching environment transcends pedagogical proficiency and effective classroom governance; it necessitates deliberate consideration of the mental conditions that influence teachers' professional performance. Within contemporary educational landscapes, teachers function not only as facilitators of learning but also as recipients of institutional accountability measures instituted to safeguard instructional excellence. In the Philippine educational system, quality assurance (QA) initiatives including lesson plan validation, classroom observations, and performance appraisals are systematically incorporated into routine instructional practice (Ventura & Fabro, 2026). Although these mechanisms are intended to promote consistency and elevate teaching standards, emerging evidence indicates that they also influence teachers' emotional and cognitive functioning in ways that remain insufficiently elucidated (Lekule, 2021).

Contemporary scholarship characterizes QA as a multidimensional construct with both advantageous and adverse implications. On one hand, systematically organized and transparently communicated QA frameworks can cultivate professional advancement, reinforce instructional coherence, and encourage reflective pedagogical practice (Osorio et al., 2025). Conversely, empirical investigations reveal that inflexible implementation, extensive documentation requirements, and inconsistent policy execution may intensify occupational stress, diminish professional autonomy, and precipitate emotional exhaustion among educators (Chow et al., 2023). Within the Philippine basic education sector, these complexities are further magnified through institutional structures such as School-Based Management and the Philippine Professional Standards for Teachers (PPST), both of which reinforce accountability while frequently expanding administrative obligations (Maca, 2020). As emphasized by Nwoko et al. (2024), the accumulated burden associated with compliance-oriented responsibilities may erode teacher well-being, particularly in educational environments where organizational support remains limited or inconsistently provided.

Mental preparedness, encompassing emotional equilibrium, cognitive vigilance, and instructional self-assurance, has consistently been recognized as a pivotal determinant of effective teaching practice (Agyapong et al., 2022). Nevertheless, prevailing literature predominantly conceptualizes mental preparedness as an inherent individual characteristic rather than an outcome influenced by institutional conditions. In actual educational settings, teachers navigate multifaceted organizational environments in which instructional obligations coexist with administrative expectations, compelling sustained emotional regulation and continuous cognitive adaptation (Fuentes-Vilugrón et al., 2024). This convergence presents a significant concern, as QA mechanisms intended to elevate instructional quality may inadvertently undermine the psychological foundations essential for optimal teaching performance. The present inquiry was anchored in established theoretical perspectives explaining how institutional arrangements shape psychological functioning within professional environments. Guided by the Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) Theory, QA practices were conceptualized either as job demands (e.g., documentation and performance evaluations) capable of generating occupational strain or as job resources (e.g., constructive feedback and mentoring) that foster motivation and psychological readiness (Bakker & Demerouti, 2007). Complementarily, Cognitive Load Theory asserts that excessive administrative obligations amplify extraneous cognitive load, thereby constraining teachers' capacity to concentrate on instructional responsibilities and influencing their mental preparedness (Sweller, 1988). Likewise, Self-Determination Theory proposes that institutional practices affect motivation through either satisfying or frustrating the psychological needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness; consequently, rigid compliance-driven QA systems may weaken these needs, whereas collaborative and supportive approaches may reinforce them (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Collectively, these theoretical foundations offer a coherent explanatory lens for understanding how QA mechanisms may either strengthen or impede teachers' psychological preparedness depending on their configuration and implementation. Despite the increasing scholarly emphasis on teacher well-being, a substantial knowledge deficit persists regarding the manner in which particular QA practices shape teachers' mental preparedness, especially within Philippine public schools. Existing studies have predominantly examined QA through the lenses of regulatory compliance, institutional performance, and organizational effectiveness, while providing comparatively limited attention to its psychological consequences for teachers' everyday instructional preparedness (Aragasi et al., 2021). Furthermore, prior literature rarely distinguishes between QA practices that facilitate teachers' psychological functioning and those that intensify cognitive overload and emotional distress. This limited differentiation constrains the capacity of policymakers and educational leaders to formulate QA systems that simultaneously uphold institutional effectiveness and long-term sustainability. This study reconceptualized quality assurance not merely as an instrument of institutional compliance but as a consequential determinant of the psychological milieu within which teaching occurs. The insights generated were intended to guide the development of QA frameworks capable of harmonizing accountability with teacher well-being, thereby strengthening instructional effectiveness, professional sustainability, and the cultivation of a psychologically supportive educational environment.

2. Methodology

Research Design

This study adopted a qualitative exploratory research design to examine the influence of quality assurance (QA) practices on the mental preparedness of teachers within the Philippine basic education sector. Such a design was deemed appropriate because it facilitated a comprehensive understanding of participants' lived experiences, psychological reactions, and cognitive interpretations associated with institutional quality assurance processes. Furthermore, it enabled an extensive examination of how QA mechanisms intersect with teachers' psychological readiness within authentic instructional environments. This methodological orientation aligns with interpretive qualitative traditions that seek to reveal context-bound meanings and socially constructed experiences (Abdurasul et al., 2025).

2.2. Research Instrument

Primary data were elicited through a semi-structured interview guide formulated in accordance with the objectives of the investigation and subsequently evaluated by specialists in the field of education. The interview protocol consisted of open-ended questions designed to explore (1) the psychological consequences of QA procedures and (2) teachers' perceptions regarding the influence of specific QA practices on their confidence, instructional preparedness, and emotional well-being. This interview format permitted adaptive probing while preserving consistency across all participants, reflecting qualitative interviewing approaches that prioritize contextual depth and experiential richness (Cuilan et al., 2024).

2.3. Research Respondents and Sampling Design

The participants consisted of 30 licensed public-school teachers from Western Mindanao, Philippines, who were recruited through purposive sampling. They were assigned to either the basic or higher education sectors and possessed a minimum of one year of experience operating within the basic and higher education quality assurance system. This selection criterion ensured that every participant had adequate exposure to QA-related activities, including classroom observations and performance evaluations. Purposive sampling was employed to identify information-rich participants capable of providing experience-based and substantively relevant insights.

2.4. Data Gathering Procedure

Data collection was carried out through face-to-face interviews and secure online communication platforms, depending on each participant's availability and accessibility. Before the commencement of the interviews, participants received a comprehensive explanation regarding the purpose of the investigation, the data collection procedures, and the ethical safeguards governing the process, including voluntary participation and their right to discontinue involvement at any stage without consequence. Upon obtaining informed consent, all interview sessions were audio-recorded and transcribed verbatim to preserve the precision and completeness of the collected information. This procedure is consistent with qualitative data collection practices that emphasize direct participant interaction and contextualized understanding (Fernandez et al., 2025).

2.5. Data Analysis

The collected data were examined through reflexive thematic analysis. Interview transcripts were reviewed multiple times to establish familiarity with the dataset before manual coding was undertaken to identify meaningful patterns across participants' narratives. The resulting codes were subsequently consolidated into broader themes that reflected recurring psychological experiences and responses associated with quality assurance practices. This recursive analytical procedure facilitated the generation of empirically grounded and conceptually meaningful interpretations derived directly from the participants' accounts (Inoferio et al., 2024).

2.6. Ethical Considerations

Rigorous ethical standards were upheld throughout every phase of the investigation. Ethical clearance was secured from the university's research ethics committee prior to the initiation of data collection activities. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants, while anonymity and confidentiality were safeguarded through the assignment of pseudonyms and coded identifiers. All research materials were securely maintained in encrypted digital files accessible exclusively to the researcher. Throughout the interview process, participants were engaged with professionalism, respect, and cultural sensitivity, particularly during discussions involving stress, emotional well-being, and psychologically sensitive experiences, in accordance with recognized ethical principles governing qualitative inquiry (Murro et al., 2023).

3. Results

Research Objective 1. To examine how quality assurance practices influence the psychological readiness of basic and higher education teachers in their daily teaching responsibilities.

Research Question 1. How do specific QA tasks affect mental preparation before teaching?

1.1 Intensified Psychological Burden Before Instruction

Twelve (12) respondents disclosed that QA-related responsibilities, particularly lesson plan evaluations and classroom observations, heightened their psychological stress before entering the classroom. These institutional requirements redirected their mental attention toward satisfying procedural expectations and anticipating formal evaluations rather than concentrating on instructional preparation. Consequently, participants described experiencing cognitive fatigue and persistent concern over compliance instead of lesson delivery.

“Lesson plan evaluations can become overwhelming, especially when expectations from supervisors are extremely rigorous. Even before teaching begins, I find myself mentally occupied with whether the format is accurate, the objectives are sufficiently written, and every component aligns with the required standards.”

“Whenever I know my lesson plan will be checked or my class will be observed, my whole mindset immediately changes. Instead of concentrating on meaningful instruction, I become preoccupied with satisfying every evaluation criterion, making my teaching feel unusually mechanical.”

1.2 Instructional Authenticity Constrained by Evaluation

Sixteen (16) respondents indicated that QA procedures altered the manner in which they conducted classroom instruction during formal observations. Participants explained that they became increasingly cautious and deliberately aligned their teaching with observable evaluation indicators, limiting instructional spontaneity and

responsiveness to students' immediate learning needs. Consequently, teaching became more structured and performance-oriented whenever evaluative activities occurred.

"Repeatedly revising documents that I already understand is mentally demanding. During classroom observations, I rarely teach as naturally as I normally would because someone is constantly monitoring my performance through an evaluation checklist. At that point, I feel like I am performing instead of genuinely teaching."

"Rather than focusing on making the lesson more engaging and meaningful for my students, I become more concerned about fulfilling every required criterion. It makes me considerably more cautious, and my classroom interactions begin to feel overly scripted."

Research Question 2. *Have there been times when QA requirements either strengthened or disrupted your focus or mindset during teaching?*

2.1 Quality Assurance as Reinforcement and Interruption

Thirteen (13) respondents perceived QA requirements as producing both beneficial and adverse influences on their instructional focus. Participants acknowledged that clearly organized QA processes accompanied by constructive feedback encouraged professional reflection and instructional enhancement. Nevertheless, they also emphasized that compressed deadlines and excessive documentation frequently disrupted their concentration, making it more difficult to sustain attention during classroom instruction.

"There are occasions when the process becomes beneficial because it helps me recognize areas where I need improvement. However, there are also instances when the volume of required documentation becomes excessive, eventually leading to mental exhaustion and burnout."

"At times, the pressure encouraged me to improve my performance and become more prepared. However, there were also many situations where it interrupted my teaching momentum because my attention was divided between delivering lessons and completing documentation requirements."

2.2 Divided Cognitive Attention During Compliance

Nine (9) participants recounted situations in which multiple QA obligations coincided with their instructional responsibilities, particularly during academically demanding periods. Twelve (12) respondents reported experiencing difficulty sustaining concentration when compliance-related requirements had to be completed simultaneously with classroom instruction. This overlap fragmented their attention and reduced their capacity to remain fully engaged with students.

"I remember conducting a graded recitation when I was suddenly reminded about a pending QA submission. From that moment onward, my attention shifted, and I found it difficult to fully concentrate on how my students were participating in the activity."

"Based on my experience, when your attention is divided between an unfinished accomplishment report and a classroom filled with students, something inevitably receives less focus. That mental division significantly affects your ability to stay fully engaged while teaching."

2.3 Psychological Fatigue from Inconsistent Standards

Twenty-one (21) respondents reported experiencing psychological exhaustion and declining motivation whenever QA standards were implemented inconsistently across departments or evaluators. Participants expressed confusion and frustration when identical requirements were interpreted differently, creating uncertainty regarding institutional expectations. Such inconsistencies diminished their confidence and made compliance increasingly discouraging.

"It becomes mentally exhausting when some departments are given flexibility while others are subjected to stricter monitoring. The inconsistency makes me question the real purpose of quality assurance because it becomes difficult to remain motivated when expectations depend on who is conducting the evaluation."

"Complying with every requirement becomes discouraging when you strictly follow all the procedures, yet others submit incomplete work and still receive recognition. It is emotionally draining to remain committed to standards that appear to change depending on the evaluator."

Research Objective 2. To identify which institutional QA measures contribute to teachers' sense of preparedness, confidence, and emotional stability in performing instructional duties.

Research Question 1. *Which QA practices in your school help you feel more confident and in control of your teaching tasks?*

1.1 Collaborative Guidance Strengthening Professional Confidence

Sixteen (16) respondents identified peer mentoring, constructive guidance, clearly communicated expectations, and organized implementation schedules as institutional practices that strengthened their confidence in accomplishing QA-related responsibilities. Participants explained that access to observation rubrics, standardized templates, and collaborative discussions enabled them to prepare more systematically while minimizing uncertainty regarding institutional expectations.

"One aspect of our QA process that genuinely helps is peer mentoring. Experienced colleagues share effective strategies, and instead of simply pointing out mistakes, they explain how improvements can be made."

"QA becomes genuinely beneficial when it is implemented through coaching, constructive feedback, and clearly communicated expectations. I have worked with coordinators who took the time to guide me professionally rather than simply giving instructions."

1.2 Structured Quality Assurance Organizational Systems

Fifteen (15) respondents emphasized that structured QA resources, including standardized templates, evaluation rubrics, and systematic tracking mechanisms, enhanced their ability to organize professional responsibilities. Participants explained that these institutional supports minimized confusion, facilitated task monitoring, and promoted greater control over compliance requirements. Several respondents further indicated that structured systems allowing reasonable flexibility strengthened both organization and instructional confidence.

"Our school has developed a process where templates are standardized, timelines are communicated early, and collaboration is encouraged throughout the implementation. That system allows me to organize my responsibilities more effectively while still giving me enough flexibility to deliver lessons creatively."

"When QA is implemented with adequate institutional support, it becomes far more manageable because it helps me organize my work more effectively. I also believe schools should establish a digital monitoring platform where teachers can easily track their compliance progress."

Research Question 2. *How do the demands of QA reports or documentation affect your emotional capacity to focus on actual teaching?*

2.1 Emotional Depletion from Documentation Demands

Nineteen (19) respondents reported that the extensive volume of QA-related documentation substantially diminished their emotional energy during classroom instruction. Participants described experiencing psychological exhaustion after completing numerous reports and administrative requirements, leaving them with reduced emotional capacity to meaningfully engage with their students throughout the teaching process.

"There are days when numerous documents need to be completed, including daily logs, class profiles, matrices, and other reports. By the time I begin teaching, much of my mental energy has already been consumed. As a result, I find it more difficult to connect emotionally with my students or invest additional effort in keeping them actively engaged."

"After teaching several classes throughout the day, I still need to prepare detailed reports, written outputs, and reflective documents. That continuous emotional investment becomes exhausting. At times, it feels as though I spend more energy demonstrating that I have taught than actually teaching."

1.2 Adaptive Workload Management for Emotional Resilience

Twenty-four (24) respondents reported developing individualized strategies to effectively manage the demands associated with QA documentation. These approaches included distributing tasks over time, utilizing personally developed templates, employing organizational checklists, and dividing workloads into manageable portions. Participants indicated that these adaptive practices enabled them to preserve their emotional well-being while maintaining instructional effectiveness.

"Documentation continues to require considerable time and effort, but over the years I have learned how to manage it more effectively. I pace my responsibilities carefully and have created my own templates and checklists to simplify reporting task."

"There are still occasions when consecutive documentation requirements coincide with demanding teaching schedules, making the workload difficult to manage. However, I have developed a routine of completing smaller portions of work each day rather than postponing everything until the deadline. That approach has significantly reduced my emotional exhaustion and helped me achieve a healthier balance."

4. Discussion

The findings demonstrate a persistent tension between institutional quality assurance (QA) requirements and teachers' instructional concentration. Although QA systems are intended to promote instructional excellence and consistency, their implementation frequently introduces additional psychological and cognitive demands that

reshape teachers' professional responsibilities. Consequently, teachers must simultaneously manage instructional obligations and compliance-related tasks, resulting in divided attention and reduced emphasis on learner-centered instruction. Interpreted through the Job Demands–Resources (JD-R) framework, QA functions as a job demand that depletes mental and emotional resources when adequate institutional support is lacking. This observation corroborates earlier evidence that procedural expectations often redirect teachers' priorities from pedagogy toward compliance (Li et al., 2025), while leadership substantially influences how these responsibilities are experienced (Eyana et al., 2024; Ytem, 2023). Similarly, Biri et al. (2026) reported that institutional expectations frequently generate emotional and professional challenges that affect teachers' classroom practice.

The findings further indicate that documentation-intensive and time-sensitive QA requirements contribute to fragmented instructional attention. This observation supports Cognitive Load Theory, which proposes that excessive extraneous demands diminish individuals' capacity to sustain focus on primary instructional responsibilities. Qureshi et al. (2021) observed that educators frequently encounter competing professional demands that reduce instructional presence, often resulting in emotional exhaustion and lower professional efficacy (Maas et al., 2021). Moreover, inconsistent implementation of QA standards creates uncertainty that weakens teachers' motivation and confidence. From the perspective of Self-Determination Theory (SDT), such inconsistency undermines perceptions of autonomy and competence, encouraging more cautious and compliance-oriented instructional behavior (Ornaghi et al., 2024; Shin, 2025).

Despite these challenges, the findings also reveal that several QA practices serve as institutional resources that strengthen teachers' preparedness. Clearly articulated guidelines, organized timelines, mentoring, and collaborative feedback enhanced professional confidence and psychological readiness. Within the JD-R framework, these practices function as job resources that mitigate occupational demands while promoting engagement. Transparent and predictable systems reduce unnecessary cognitive burden and strengthen teachers' perceptions of control (Kolbe et al., 2020). Nevertheless, prolonged exposure to QA processes also influences instructional behavior, encouraging teachers to adopt more structured yet less spontaneous teaching approaches. This pattern is consistent with studies indicating that institutional expectations shape pedagogical decision-making and classroom practices (Wali et al., 2025; Lumbini & Barbara, 2024). Likewise, Legarde et al. (2025) emphasized that instructional behaviors evolve through sustained institutional and pedagogical influences.

Participants likewise demonstrated adaptive strategies for managing QA-related demands, including systematic time allocation, the use of standardized templates, and task prioritization. Although these approaches enabled teachers to preserve instructional focus and emotional capacity, their widespread use suggests considerable reliance on individual coping rather than organizational support. Shareefa et al. (2025) argued that self-regulation strengthens instructional organization; however, its long-term effectiveness depends upon adequate institutional assistance. This perspective is reinforced by Wiedermann et al. (2023), who highlighted the importance of organizational structures that safeguard teacher well-being. Overall, the findings indicate that QA systems become more effective when implemented as developmental and supportive mechanisms rather than purely compliance-driven procedures.

5. Conclusion

This study examined how institutional quality assurance (QA) practices influence the mental preparedness of public-school teachers. The findings revealed that QA serves as both a source of challenge and professional support. Documentation demands, classroom observations, and inconsistent implementation heightened psychological strain and reduced instructional focus, whereas clear guidelines, structured procedures, and collaborative feedback strengthened teachers' confidence, preparedness, and emotional stability. These findings affirm that teachers' mental readiness is substantially shaped by institutional QA practices. The findings further indicate that prolonged exposure to QA promotes more structured instructional practices but may also reduce spontaneity in teaching. Although teachers adopted personal coping strategies to manage these demands, such adaptations reflect the need for stronger institutional support. Overall, QA systems are more effective when implemented as developmental and supportive rather than purely compliance-driven mechanisms. This study was limited to public-school teachers from a single geographic area and relied on self-reported experiences. Future investigations may include broader settings, multiple stakeholders, and mixed-method approaches to provide a more comprehensive understanding of QA practices. Overall, strengthening QA through consistency, transparency, and supportive implementation can enhance teachers' psychological preparedness and instructional effectiveness.

Acknowledgment

The authors sincerely thank all participating teachers for their time, trust, and valuable insights, which were essential to the completion of this study. The authors also express their appreciation to their mentors, colleagues, and respective institutions for their guidance and support throughout the research process. The authors further disclose that artificial intelligence (AI)-assisted language tools were used solely to improve grammar, sentence

structure, and language clarity. All research concepts, study design, data collection, analysis, interpretation of findings, and the intellectual content of the manuscript were conceived, conducted, and written by the authors. The authors assume full responsibility for the accuracy, originality, and integrity of the work...

References

1. Abdurasul, R. T., Samilo, P. J. E., Cabiles, N. V. A., et al. (2025). Preservation habits towards sustainable use of the Filipino language. *Forum for Linguistic Studies*, 7(5), 358–372. <https://doi.org/10.30564/fls.v7i5.8573>
2. Agyapong, B., Obuobi-Donkor, G., Burbach, L., & Wei, Y. (2022). Stress, burnout, anxiety and depression among teachers: A scoping review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(17), 10706. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph191710706>
3. Albright, K., Navarro, E. I., Jarad, I., Boyd, M. R., Powell, B. J., & Lewis, C. C. (2022). Communication strategies to facilitate the implementation of new clinical practices. *Translational Behavioral Medicine*, 12(2), 324–334. <https://doi.org/10.1093/tbm/ibab139>
4. Ancho, I. (2019). Quality assurance and the Philippine education system: Inputs from future Filipino school leaders. *Jurnal Penjaminan Mutu*, 5(2), 197–211. <https://doi.org/10.25078/jpm.v5i2.850>
5. Aragasi, N. A., & Pangandaman, H. K. (2021). Coping style, anxiety level, organizational support, and work commitment of educators during the COVID-19 pandemic in the Philippines. *Belitung Nursing Journal*, 7(4), 267–276. <https://doi.org/10.33546/bnj.1393>
6. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2007). The job demands–resources model: State of the art. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(3), 309–328. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02683940710733115>
7. Biri, A. K., Arilin, A., Rasdi, A., Dawami, M., Usman, K., Teh, A., ... Nassal, F. J. (2026). When English Isn't Enough: Exploring the Emotional and Professional Dilemmas of English Teachers Using Translanguaging in Multilingual Classrooms. *Journal of Cultural Analysis and Social Change*, 11(1), 2218–2232. <https://doi.org/10.64753/jcasc.v11i1.4284>
8. Chow, J. C., Sayers, R., Fu, Y., Granger, K. L., McCullough, S., Kingsbery, C., & Morse, A. (2023). A systematic meta-review of measures of classroom management. *Assessment for Effective Intervention*, 49(2), 60–74. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15345084231208671>
9. Cuilan, J. T., Chavez, J. V., Soliva, K. J. G., et al. (2024). Verbal and non-verbal communication patterns of persuasive selling among live online sellers. *Environment and Social Psychology*, 9(8), 2519. <https://doi.org/10.59429/esp.v9i8.2519>
10. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01
11. Eyana, J. A., Muring, C. E., & Bauyot, M. M. (2024). Supervisory roles of school heads in public stand-alone senior high schools: A phenomenological case study. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 50(2), 95–120.
12. Fernandez, C. M. B., Chavez, J. V., Jr. B. F. C., et al. (2025). Assessing the utilitarian value of economics and business on personal beliefs and practices among working students. *Environment and Social Psychology*, 10(5), 3228. <https://doi.org/10.59429/esp.v10i5.3228>
13. Fuentes-Vilugrón G, Sandoval-Obando E, Caamaño-Navarrete F, Arriagada-Hernández C, Etchegaray-Pezo P, Muñoz-Troncoso F, Cuadrado-Gordillo I, Del Val Martín P, Riquelme-Mella E. Difficulties among Teachers' Emotional Regulation: Analysis for the Development of Student Well-Being in Chilean Schools. *Behav Sci (Basel)*. 2024 Aug 27;14(9):749. doi: 10.3390/bs14090749. PMID: 39335965; PMCID: PMC11428235.
14. Gunawardena, H., Leontini, R., Nair, S., Cross, S., & Hickie, I. (2024). Teachers as first responders: Classroom experiences and mental health training needs. *BMC Public Health*, 24, 268. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-17599-z>
15. Inoferio, H. V., Espartero, M. M., Asiri, M. S., et al. (2024). Coping with math anxiety and lack of confidence through AI-assisted learning. *Environment and Social Psychology*, 9(5), 2228. <https://doi.org/10.54517/esp.v9i5.2228>
16. Jangelynne, T., & Genesis, N. (2024). Experiences of teachers in managing dual roles. *Eureka Journal of Educational Research*, 3, 17–27. <https://doi.org/10.56773/ejer.v3i1.34>

17. Kolbe, M., Eppich, W., Rudolph, J., Meguerdichian, M., Catena, H., Cripps, A., Grant, V., & Cheng, A. (2020). Managing psychological safety in debriefings. *BMJ Simulation and Technology Enhanced Learning*, 6(3), 164–171. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjstel-2019-000470>
18. Legarde, M. A. A., Nazareth, I. M., Chavez, J. V., Biri, A. K. A., Berry, E. B., Dela Calzada, K. P., & Calles, A. B. (2025). Deliberate behavior modelling of teacher education faculty in integrating gender and development concepts among higher education learners. *Environment and Social Psychology*, 10(9). <https://doi.org/10.59429/esp.v10i9.3850>
19. Lekule, C. (2021). The impact of quality assurance officers' activities on teaching and learning. *International Journal of Humanities & Social Studies*, 9(5), 227–242. <https://doi.org/10.24940/theijhss/2021/v9/i5/HS2105-054>
20. Li, Yingwu & Chen, Chunji & Yuan, Yaping. (2025). Evolving the job demands-resources framework to JD-R 3.0: The impact of after-hours connectivity and organizational support on employee psychological distress. *Acta Psychologica*. 253. 104710. [10.1016/j.actpsy.2025.104710](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2025.104710).
21. Lumbini, B., & Locke, B. (2024). Strategies to incorporate flexibility in higher education course design. *Discover Education*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-024-00213-8>
22. Maas, J., Schoch, S., Scholz, U., Rackow, P., Schöler, J., Wegner, M., & Keller, R. (2021). Teachers' perceived time pressure and emotional exhaustion. *Social Psychology of Education*, 24(2), 441–464. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-020-09605-8>
23. Maca, M. (2020). School-based management in the Philippines. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.27193.39525>
24. Muehlbacher, F., Hagenauer, G., & Keller, M. M. (2022). Teachers' emotion regulation in the classroom. *Frontiers in Education*, 7, 787224. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2022.787224>
25. Murro, R. A., Lobo, J. G., Inso, A. R. C., & Chavez, J. V. (2023). Difficulties of parents in modular distance learning. *Environment and Social Psychology*, 9(1), 1957. <https://doi.org/10.54517/esp.v9i1.1957>
26. Nwoko, J. C., Anderson, E., Adegboye, O., Malau-Aduli, A. E. O., & Malau-Aduli, B. S. (2024). Teachers' occupational well-being. *Education Sciences*, 14(11), 1225. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14111225>
27. Ornaghi, V., Conte, E., & Cavioni, V. (2024). Supporting teachers' professional growth and wellbeing.
28. Osorio Vanegas, H. D., Segovia Cifuentes, Y. d. M., & Sobrino Morras, A. (2025). Educational Technology in Teacher Training: A Systematic Review of Competencies, Skills, Models, and Methods. *Education Sciences*, 15(8), 1036. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15081036>
29. Qureshi, M. A., Khaskheli, A., Qureshi, J., Raza, S. A., & Qamar, S. (2021). Factors affecting learning performance. *Interactive Learning Environments*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2021.1884886>
30. See, B. H., Morris, R., Gorard, S., Kokotsaki, D., & Abdi, S. (2020). Teacher recruitment and retention. *Education Sciences*, 10(10), 262. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci10100262>
31. Shareefa, M., Moosa, V., Saeed, K., Hassan, V., & Kashif, M. (2025). Impact of students' self-regulation and mindfulness on academic self-efficacy; the mediation effect of mental well-being. *Cogent Education*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2025.2498183>
32. Shin, H., & Gyeong, S. (2025). Teacher attitudes and motivation. *BMC Psychology*, 13, 609. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-025-02959-8>
33. Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving. *Cognitive Science*, 12(2), 257–285. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog1202_4
34. Ventura, Junel & Fabro, Arriane. (2026). Quality Assurance in Instruction and its Role in Promoting Sustainable Development in Selected State Colleges and Universities in Northern Luzon. *International Journal of Research in Interdisciplinary Studies*. 4. 54-69. [10.65138/ijris.2026.v4i7.327](https://doi.org/10.65138/ijris.2026.v4i7.327).
35. Wali Khan Monib, W., Qazi, A., & Apong, R. A. (2025). Microlearning beyond boundaries. *Heliyon*, 11(2), e41413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e41413>
36. Wiedermann, C. J., Barbieri, V., Plagg, B., Marino, P., Piccoliori, G., & Engl, A. (2023). Enhancing mental health support in educational policies. *Healthcare*, 11(10), 1423. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare11101423>
37. Ytem, N. C. J. (2023). Administrative functions of public school heads. *Psychology and Education: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 15(10), 1040–1057.